

Vol III Issue VII April 2014

ISSN No : 2249-894X

*Monthly Multidisciplinary
Research Journal*

*Review Of
Research Journal*

Chief Editors

Ashok Yakkaldevi
A R Burla College, India

Flávio de São Pedro Filho
Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Ecaterina Patrascu
Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Kamani Perera
Regional Centre For Strategic Studies,
Sri Lanka

Welcome to Review Of Research

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2249-894X

Review Of Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Advisory Board

Flávio de São Pedro Filho Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Horia Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Mabel Miao Center for China and Globalization, China
Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Ruth Wolf University Walla, Israel
Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Xiaohua Yang University of San Francisco, San Francisco	Jie Hao University of Sydney, Australia
Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Karina Xavier Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), USA	Pei-Shan Kao Andrea University of Essex, United Kingdom
Catalina Neculai University of Coventry, UK	May Hongmei Gao Kennesaw State University, USA	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania
Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania	Marc Fetscherin Rollins College, USA	Ilie Pinte Spiru Haret University, Romania
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Liu Chen Beijing Foreign Studies University, China	
Mahdi Moharrampour Islamic Azad University buinzahra Branch, Qazvin, Iran	Nimita Khanna Director, Isara Institute of Management, New Delhi	Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai
Titus Pop PhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania	Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain
J. K. VIJAYAKUMAR King Abdullah University of Science & Technology, Saudi Arabia.	P. Malyadri Government Degree College, Tandur, A.P.	Jayashree Patil-Dake MBA Department of Badruka College Commerce and Arts Post Graduate Centre (BCCAPGC), Kachiguda, Hyderabad
George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi	S. D. Sindkhedkar PSGVP Mandal's Arts, Science and Commerce College, Shahada [M.S.]	Maj. Dr. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.
REZA KAFIPOUR Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran	Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	AR. SARAVANAKUMARALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, TN
Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur	C. D. Balaji Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai	V.MAHALAKSHMI Dean, Panimalar Engineering College
	Bhavana vivek patole PhD, Elphinstone college mumbai-32	S.KANNAN Ph.D , Annamalai University
	Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut (U.P.)	Kanwar Dinesh Singh Dept.English, Government Postgraduate College , solan

More.....

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India
Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.ror.isrj.net



COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SELECTED MOTOR COMPONENTS OF SCHOOL LEVEL BASKETBALL PLAYERS ON PLYOMETRIC, CIRCUIT TRAINING AND CIRCUIT BREAKER PROGRAMMES

Baiju Abraham

Assistant Professor , Department of Physical Education
Lucknow Christian College, Lucknow, India.

Abstract:

The purpose of the study was to compare the effects of plyometrics, circuit training and circuit breaker programmes on selected motor components of school level basketball players. For the purpose of the study; four groups: three experimental groups viz: plyometrics training group (A), circuit training group (B), and circuit breaker programme group (C) and the fourth group served as the control group. Random group design was employed. Reliability coefficients for the test- re- test scores on selected motor components: leg power (standing broad jump) 0.99, shoulder and arm power (two hand medicine ball put test) 0.98, muscular endurance (burpee test) 0.99, speed (50 yards dash) 0.98, and agility(right boomerang run) 0.97 were selected to collect the data. To find out the comparative effects of plyometric training, circuit training and circuit breaker programme on selected motor components of school level Basketball players, analysis of covariance was employed, the proposed hypothesis was tested at .05 level of confidence. The result revealed significant improvement in most of the selected motor components. All the three experimental groups were effective in improving the explosive strength of the subjects (leg power, shoulder and arm power). The plyometric and circuit breaker programme groups were comparatively better than the circuit training group in improving the leg power and arm and shoulder power of the subjects.

KEYWORDS:

lyometric, Circuit Training And Circuit Breaker , plyometric training.

INTRODUCTION:

Now-a-days specific training has been playing a predominant role with emergence of different methods having sustained scientific knowledge for outstanding achievements in various levels of competition. The sportsman is able to achieve a high level of performance by concentrating on major areas like physical power, physiological efficiencies, psychological development, application of biomechanics and environment adjustments.

Any activity that activates the stretch reflex mechanism is plyometric exercise. Plyometric exercise is based upon the belief that a rapid lengthening of a muscle just prior to the contraction will result in much stronger contraction.

Circuit training is based on the premise that the athlete must do the same amount of work in a shorter period of time or must do considerably more work within the limits of an assigned training period.

Circuit breaker programme is a combination of plyometrics and circuit training. Basketball is an

Title: "COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SELECTED MOTOR COMPONENTS OF SCHOOL LEVEL BASKETBALL PLAYERS ON PLYOMETRIC, CIRCUIT TRAINING AND CIRCUIT BREAKER PROGRAMMES" , Source: Review of Research [2249-894X] Baiju Abraham yr:2014 | vol:3 | iss:7

COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SELECTED MOTOR COMPONENTS OF SCHOOL LEVEL BASKETBALL

excellent sport for developing and maintaining physical fitness. It also presents a real challenge to its participants. It provides them with a wonderful opportunity to develop strength, endurance, agility, coordination and other physiological benefits.

METHOD

Eighty male school level basketball players of Gorakhpur (Uttar Pradesh) were randomly selected as the subjects for the study. The age of the subject's ranged between 13-18 years. Four groups: three experimental groups viz: plyometrics training group (A), circuit training group (B), and circuit breaker programme group (C) and the fourth group served as the control group. Random group design was employed. Three experimental groups under went the experimental training programme for 12 weeks, thrice a week on alternate days. Reliability coefficients for the test- re- test scores on selected motor components: leg power (standing broad jump) 0.99, shoulder and arm power (two hand medicine ball put test) 0.98, muscular endurance (burpee test) 0.99, speed (50 yards dash) 0.98, and agility(right boomerang run) 0.97 were selected to collect the data.

In order to find out the comparative effects of circuit training, plyometric training and circuit breaker programme on selected motor components of school level Basketball players, analysis of covariance was employed, the proposed hypothesis was tested at .05 level of confidence.

FINDINGS

**TABLE – 1
PAIRED ADJUSTED FINAL MEANS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
MEANS AMONG THE EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND CONTROL
GROUP IN STANDING BROAD JUMP PERFORMANCE**

Plyometric	Group Means			Mean Difference	Critical Difference
	Circuit Training	Circuit Breaker	Control		
1.72	1.71			0.01	0.02
1.72		1.72		0.00	0.02
1.72			1.62	0.10*	0.02
	1.71	1.72		0.01	0.02
	1.71		1.62	0.10*	0.02
		1.72	1.62	0.10	0.02

* indicates significant difference

The analysis of data in table-1 indicates significant differences for all the three experimental groups when compared with the control group. However, the differences among any of the experiment groups are not significant as the mean difference values are lesser than the critical difference value of 0.02 required for significance.

**TABLE – 2
PAIRED ADJUSTED FINAL MEANS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
MEANS AMONG THE EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND CONTROL
GROUP IN MEDICINE BALL PUT PERFORMANCE**

Plyometric	Group Means			Mean Difference	Critical Difference
	Circuit Training	Circuit Breaker	Control		
5.26	4.86			0.40*	0.19
5.26		5.09		0.17	0.19
5.26			4.43	0.83*	0.19
	4.86	5.09		0.23*	0.19
	4.86		4.43	0.43*	0.19
		5.09	4.43	0.66*	0.19

* indicates significant difference

COMPARATIVE EFFECTS OF SELECTED MOTOR COMPONENTS OF SCHOOL LEVEL BASKETBALL

The analysis of data in table-2 shows that the paired adjusted final means of plyometric group is greater than of other groups there by indicates that plyometric training is most effective than other two training programme to develop shoulder and arm power. No significant difference is found between plyometric and circuit breaker programme.

**TABLE – 3
PAIRED ADJUSTED FINAL MEANS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
MEANS AMONG THE EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND CONTROL
GROUP IN BURPEE TEST PERFORMANCE**

	Group Means				
Plyometric	Circuit Training	Circuit Breaker	Control	Mean Difference	Critical Difference
75.01	74.56			0.45	2.39
75.01		73.94		1.07	2.39
75.01			69.24	5.77*	2.39
	74.56	73.94		0.62	2.39
	74.56		69.24	5.32*	2.39
		73.94	69.24	4.70*	2.39

* indicates significant difference

The analysis of data in table-3 indicates significant differences of 5.77, 5.32 and 4.70 respectively for the plyometric, circuit training and circuit breaker programme group with that of the control group. The mean differences among any of the experimental groups are not significant as are lesser than the critical difference value of 2.39 required for significance.

**TABLE – 4
PAIRED ADJUSTED FINAL MEANS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
MEANS AMONG THE EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND CONTROL
GROUP IN 50-YARD DASH PERFORMANCE**

	Group Means				
Plyometric	Circuit Training	Circuit Breaker	Control	Mean Difference	Critical Difference
7.24	7.22			0.02	0.38
7.24		7.20		0.04	0.38
7.24			7.63	0.39*	0.38
	7.22	7.20		0.02	0.38
	7.22		7.63	0.41*	0.38
		7.20	7.63	0.43*	0.38

* indicates significant difference

The analysis of data in table-4 indicates significant differences of 0.39, 0.41 and 0.43 respectively for the plyometric, circuit training and circuit breaker programme group with that of the control group. The mean differences among the three experiment groups are not significant as are lesser than the critical difference value of 0.38 required for significance.

TABLE – 5
PAIRED ADJUSTED FINAL MEANS AND DIFFERENCES BETWEEN
MEANS AMONG THE EXPERIMENTAL GROUPS AND CONTROL
GROUP IN RIGHT BOOMERANG RUN PERFORMANCE

	Group Means				
Plyometric	Circuit Training	Circuit Breaker	Control	Mean Difference	Critical Difference
12.09	11.46			0.63	0.85
12.09		11.83		0.26	0.85
12.09			13.47	1.38*	0.85
	11.46	11.83		0.37	0.85
	11.46		13.47	2.01*	0.85
		11.83	13.47	1.64*	0.85

* indicates significant difference

The analysis of data in table-5 indicates significant differences of 1.38, 2.01 and 1.64 respectively for the plyometric, circuit training and circuit breaker programme group with that of the control group. The mean differences among the three experimental groups are not significant as are lesser than the critical difference value of 0.85 required for significance.

DISCUSSIONS OF FINDINGS

The result revealed significant improvement in most of the selected motor components. All the three experimental groups were effective in improving the explosive strength of the subjects (leg power, shoulder and arm power). The plyometric and circuit breaker programme groups were comparatively better than the circuit training group in improving the leg power and arm and shoulder power of the subjects. The increase in the muscle force as evident from the improvement of leg power and shoulder and arm power of the subject may be attributed to two inherent properties of the muscle tissue. A muscle possibly stretched prior to a contraction utilizes the stretch reflex to activate the muscle to shorten vigorously, and the elastic nature of the muscle fibers allows the muscle to store energy during negative work or the phase of amortization, to be later released during the overcoming phase or shortening contraction

All the three experimental groups significantly improved the muscular endurance of the subjects. This may be due to the fact that the load which was experienced by the subjects in these three training programmes was adequate to produce significant improvement in muscular endurance. No significant improvement incase of the control group may be due to their nonparticipation in the training programme contributing to development of muscular endurance.

In the case of speed performance and agility the changes from pre to post suggest that all the three experimental training programmes were effective in improving speed and agility. Probably the reason was the involvement of the same group of muscles in these three training programmes.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1.Chu, Donald A. Jumping into Plyometrics. Champaign Llinois: Leisure Press, 1992
- 2.Connel, A. O. and Gardner, E. Understanding the subject of Human of Movement. Battimore: The William and Wilkens Co., 1972.
- 3.Johnson, Barry L and Nelson, Jack K. Practical Measurement for Evaluation in Physical Education. Delhi: Surjeet Publication, 1982.
- 4.Annie Brown, "The Effects of Circuit Training on the Physical Fitness of Grade V Girls" Completed Research in Health, Physical Education and Recreation 4 (1962): 29.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Books Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ Directory Of Research Journal Indexing
- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- ★ OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- DOAJ
- EBSCO
- Crossref DOI
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Database
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Review Of Research Journal
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005, Maharashtra
Contact-9595359435
E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com
Website : www.ror.isrj.net