



RURAL-TO-URBAN MIGRATION AND ITS IMPACT ON AGRARIAN LIVELIHOODS

Syed Riyaz Pasha

Assistant Professor , HOD Department of Sociology

Sri D. Devaraj Urs Government First Grade College, Hunsur, Mysore , Karnataka, India.

ABSTRACT

Rural-to-urban migration has become one of the most significant demographic and socio-economic processes shaping contemporary India. The movement of rural populations towards towns and cities is closely associated with changes in agricultural employment, rural household income, landholding patterns, aspirations of younger generations, and the expansion of non-farm employment. This paper examines the relationship between rural-to-urban migration and agrarian livelihoods, with particular attention to the ways in which migration influences agricultural labour availability, household income, remittances, land use, gender relations, intergenerational relations, and rural social structures. The study adopts a descriptive and analytical research methodology based primarily on secondary sources, including government reports, census-related publications, academic studies, books, and research articles. Existing evidence indicates that migration is not solely a consequence of rural poverty or agricultural distress; it is also a livelihood diversification strategy through which households combine agricultural activities with urban employment. Remittances may contribute to household consumption, education, housing, debt repayment and agricultural investment. At the same time, prolonged or seasonal out-migration can reduce the availability of agricultural labour, increase the responsibilities of women and elderly household members, and contribute to the transformation of traditional agrarian institutions. Recent research also demonstrates that rural and urban spaces should increasingly be understood as interconnected livelihood systems rather than isolated categories. The paper argues for integrated rural development policies that strengthen agricultural livelihoods while recognising migration as an important component of household livelihood strategies.

KEYWORDS: *Rural Migration, Urbanisation, Agrarian Livelihoods, Remittances, Agricultural Labour, Rural Development*

1. INTRODUCTION

Migration is a fundamental component of social change and has historically influenced the demographic, economic and cultural structure of societies. In India, migration occurs through several forms, including rural-to-rural, rural-to-urban, urban-to-urban and urban-to-rural movements. Among these, rural-to-urban migration has particular significance because it is closely associated with urbanisation, industrialisation, expansion of the service sector and transformation of rural livelihoods.

The Indian rural economy has traditionally been dependent upon agriculture and allied activities. Agriculture continues to provide livelihood support to a substantial section of the rural population, although the nature of dependence on agriculture has changed considerably. Small and fragmented landholdings, increasing input costs, climate variability, indebtedness, fluctuations in agricultural prices, limited irrigation, declining profitability and inadequate local employment opportunities have encouraged many rural households to diversify their sources of income. Migration to nearby towns, metropolitan cities and industrial centres has become one of the mechanisms through which households respond to these pressures.

However, migration should not be interpreted only as a movement from a “poor rural area” to a “developed urban area.” Contemporary migration is often circular, seasonal, temporary or semi-permanent. Members of the same household may remain connected to agricultural land while one or more members work in urban construction, manufacturing, transport, domestic services, hospitality, retail, security or other informal-sector occupations. Earlier World Bank research also highlighted the importance of circular migration in India and observed that short-term migration can coexist with continued residence and livelihood activities in rural areas.

Recent research suggests that the conventional distinction between rural and urban areas is becoming increasingly inadequate for understanding livelihood transformation. Contemporary transformation is associated with declining agricultural employment, restructuring of local economies and new forms of permanent and circular labour migration. Research along rural–urban continua also demonstrates that migration and livelihood strategies operate across interconnected rural, peri-urban and urban spaces rather than within rigid geographical boundaries.

Migration can have both positive and adverse consequences for agrarian households. On one hand, income earned in cities may supplement agricultural income and provide resources for education, healthcare, housing, debt repayment and agricultural investment. On the other hand, migration of economically active members may reduce household labour available for cultivation and increase the responsibilities of women and older persons who remain in villages. Evidence from different Indian regions has found associations between out-migration of agricultural labourers and changes in household income sources.

The relationship between migration and agriculture is therefore complex. Migration may be a response to agrarian distress, but it can also become an instrument of livelihood diversification. Seasonal migration can provide households with income during periods when agricultural employment is unavailable.

The issue is particularly relevant to Karnataka, where rural areas have experienced increasing connections with Bengaluru and other urban and industrial centres. Studies of rural Karnataka have identified drought, limited employment opportunities and agricultural underdevelopment among factors associated with poverty-driven migration. The interaction between migration and agriculture therefore deserves sociological attention because it involves not only economic transformation but also changes in family organisation, gender roles, social networks, aspirations and rural institutions.

2. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

- 2.1 To examine the major causes and patterns of rural-to-urban migration in India.
- 2.2 To analyse the relationship between rural-to-urban migration and agrarian livelihoods.
- 2.3 To examine the impact of migration on agricultural labour availability and household farming practices.
- 2.4 To understand the role of remittances in strengthening or transforming rural household livelihoods.
- 2.5 To analyse the social consequences of migration for rural families, particularly women, children and elderly household members.
- 2.6 To identify major challenges faced by migrant-dependent agrarian households.
- 2.7 To suggest measures for strengthening sustainable rural livelihoods while recognising migration as an important livelihood strategy.

3. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Design

The study follows a descriptive and analytical research design. It examines existing evidence concerning rural-to-urban migration and its implications for agrarian livelihoods in India. The study does not claim to present primary survey findings; rather, it synthesises existing research and documentary evidence.

3.2 Sources of Data

The study is primarily based on secondary sources. These include government publications and statistical reports; migration-related reports of the Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation; Census-related literature; reports of international organisations; books and academic publications on migration and rural development; peer-reviewed journal articles; and studies concerning agricultural labour, remittances and rural livelihoods.

3.3 Method of Analysis

The collected literature was analysed thematically. Major themes include causes of rural-to-urban migration; agricultural labour and land use; household income and remittances; livelihood diversification; gender and family relations; rural social change; and policy implications. The study also compares findings from different geographical contexts in order to identify common patterns and variations.

3.4 Scope of the Study

The study focuses primarily on India, with particular relevance to rural Karnataka. The analysis considers migration as both a livelihood response and a factor contributing to the transformation of agrarian society.

3.5 Limitations

Since the study is based on secondary sources, it does not provide household-level primary data from a particular village or district. Migration patterns also differ according to region, caste, class, gender, landholding, irrigation availability, education and urban labour-market conditions. Therefore, the findings should be understood as a broad analytical synthesis rather than a statistical representation of every rural household in India.

4. FEATURES OF THE STUDY

4.1 Rural-to-Urban Migration as a Livelihood Strategy

Migration is frequently understood as an economic response to differences in employment and income opportunities between rural and urban areas. However, households do not necessarily abandon agriculture when a member migrates. Instead, they may develop a diversified livelihood portfolio involving cultivation, livestock, wage labour, self-employment and urban employment.

This approach is consistent with the sustainable livelihoods perspective, in which households combine different forms of economic and social resources to reduce vulnerability. Migration can therefore function as an additional livelihood activity rather than simply representing permanent exit from agriculture.

In many rural households, one family member may migrate seasonally while other members continue farming. The migrant contributes income to the household while the family maintains its connection with land. Such arrangements demonstrate the increasingly interconnected nature of rural and urban economies.

4.2 Causes of Rural-to-Urban Migration

Several factors contribute to rural-to-urban migration.

4.2.1 Agricultural Distress

Low agricultural profitability is an important factor influencing migration. Rising input costs, uncertain rainfall, crop losses, inadequate irrigation and fluctuating agricultural prices can make farming economically vulnerable, particularly for small and marginal farmers. Research on seasonal migration in North Bengal found that recurrent gaps in rural earnings and employment contributed to circular migration among marginalised households.

4.2.2 Lack of Rural Employment

Agriculture is seasonal and cannot always provide continuous employment. During periods between sowing and harvesting, agricultural labourers may experience unemployment or underemployment. Urban employment can provide an alternative source of income.

4.2.3 Education and Aspirations

Younger generations increasingly aspire to occupations outside agriculture. Education, exposure to mass media, mobile technology and contact with migrant relatives can influence perceptions of urban employment and lifestyles.

4.2.4 Social Networks

Migration is often facilitated through kinship, friendship and village networks. Individuals who have already migrated can provide information regarding employment, accommodation and transportation. Thus, migration networks reduce the risks and costs associated with movement.

4.2.5 Climate and Environmental Stress

Droughts, irregular rainfall, floods, land degradation and other environmental stresses can affect agricultural production. In such situations, migration may become a household adaptation strategy. Research from Karnataka has identified drought and agricultural underdevelopment among factors associated with poverty-driven migration.

4.3 Impact on Agricultural Labour

One of the most direct effects of rural-to-urban migration is the changing availability of agricultural labour. When young and working-age individuals migrate, farming households may face shortages of family labour during peak agricultural seasons. Farmers may therefore depend more heavily on hired labour or mechanised agricultural operations. In areas experiencing substantial out-migration, wages for agricultural labour may increase because of reduced labour availability. While this can benefit workers who remain in rural areas, it can increase cultivation costs for small farmers. Out-migration can also contribute to changes in cropping patterns. Households with insufficient labour may shift from labour-intensive crops to less labour-intensive crops or reduce the cultivated area.

4.4 Impact on Household Income and Remittances

Remittances are one of the most important economic links between migrants and their households of origin. Income earned in urban areas can be transferred to rural households for consumption, education, medical expenditure, house construction, debt repayment and agricultural activities. Remittances may also reduce household dependence on uncertain agricultural income. In this sense, migration can act as an economic buffer against crop failure or seasonal unemployment. However, the benefits of remittances vary considerably. Households with migrants in relatively secure and better-paid occupations may accumulate savings and invest in productive activities, while migrants employed in informal and insecure occupations may earn insufficient income to generate significant improvements in household assets.

4.5 Impact on Land and Agricultural Practices

Migration may produce different outcomes for land use. Some households continue cultivation by employing hired labour. Others lease their land to neighbouring farmers. In some cases, land may remain partially or completely uncultivated when households lack adequate labour or resources. Migration income can also support investment in agricultural inputs, irrigation, livestock, farm machinery and improved housing. Consequently, migration does not necessarily imply the abandonment of agriculture. A household may simultaneously participate in agriculture and urban labour markets.

4.6 Gender Dimensions

Migration can substantially change gender relations within rural households. When men migrate, women frequently assume greater responsibility for agriculture, household management, childcare and financial decisions. This may increase women's workload but can also expand their role in household decision-making. Women remaining in villages may become responsible for crop management, livestock care and interaction with agricultural institutions. However, increased responsibility does not automatically result in greater control over land or financial resources. Consequently, migration may simultaneously increase women's responsibilities and create opportunities for greater participation in livelihood decisions.

4.7 Impact on Family and Social Relations

Migration transforms the structure and functioning of rural families. Extended families may increasingly operate across geographical locations, with some members living in cities while others remain in villages. Mobile phones, digital payments and transportation networks make it possible for migrants to maintain close connections with their families. Thus, geographical separation does not necessarily result in complete social separation. At the same time, prolonged absence can create challenges relating to childcare, elderly care, marital relationships and community participation.

4.8 Migration and Rural Social Transformation

Rural-to-urban migration contributes to wider social change. Migrants are exposed to different occupational environments, social institutions, cultural practices and lifestyles. On returning to their villages, they may bring new ideas regarding education, consumption, housing, occupations and social relationships. Migration can therefore contribute to changes in aspirations and patterns of social mobility. However, access to urban opportunities is unequal. Caste, gender, education and social networks influence the type of employment migrants can obtain. Poor migrants are often concentrated in informal occupations characterised by low wages, insecure employment and limited social protection.

5. FINDINGS AND SUGGESTIONS

5.1 Migration is a Diversification Strategy

Rural-to-urban migration should not be understood exclusively as an indication of agricultural decline. For many households, migration is a strategy for diversifying income and reducing dependence on agriculture.

5.2 Agricultural and Urban Livelihoods are Interconnected

Migrants frequently maintain economic and social connections with their villages. Agricultural land, family property and social networks continue to connect migrants with their rural origins.

5.3 Remittances Can Strengthen Household Security

Urban earnings can provide rural households with additional financial resources. Remittances may support education, healthcare, housing, consumption and agricultural investment.

5.4 Labour Shortages Can Affect Farming

Out-migration of working-age people may reduce the availability of family labour. Small farmers can consequently experience increased dependence on hired labour and mechanisation.

5.5 Women's Responsibilities Increase

Female members of migrant households may undertake greater responsibilities for agriculture, household management, children and elderly family members.

5.6 Migration Outcomes are Unequal

Migration does not benefit all households equally. Educational qualifications, skills, caste, gender, social networks and access to secure employment influence the outcomes of migration.

5.7 Seasonal Migration Reflects Employment Gaps

Seasonal migration often occurs because agricultural employment is unable to provide continuous income. Migration therefore reflects the interaction between agricultural seasonality and rural labour-market conditions.

5.8 Rural Society is Becoming More Mobile

The traditional image of a permanently settled agricultural community is increasingly being replaced by a more mobile rural society in which people combine rural residence, agriculture and urban employment.

6. SUGGESTIONS

6.1 Strengthening Rural Employment

Rural employment opportunities should be expanded through agro-processing, food processing, dairy, horticulture, handicrafts, rural enterprises and other non-farm activities.

6.2 Improving Agricultural Productivity

Small and marginal farmers require improved irrigation, extension services, access to quality inputs, affordable credit, storage facilities and appropriate agricultural technology.

6.3 Supporting Migrant Households

Government programmes should recognise migrant households as important livelihood units and improve portability of social-security benefits across rural and urban locations.

6.4 Skill Development

Skill-development programmes should be connected to actual labour-market opportunities. Training should include construction, manufacturing, logistics, digital services, electrical work, hospitality and other sectors that employ rural migrants.

6.5 Women's Empowerment

Women in migrant households should receive greater access to land rights, agricultural extension, self-help groups, credit, skill development and local decision-making institutions.

6.6 Promoting Agricultural Mechanisation

Where labour shortages result from migration, affordable and locally appropriate mechanisation can help small farmers maintain agricultural production.

6.7 Strengthening Rural Infrastructure

Better roads, digital connectivity, irrigation, electricity, healthcare and educational facilities can reduce excessive livelihood vulnerability and provide rural households with greater economic choices.

6.8 Developing Migration-Sensitive Rural Policies

Migration should not automatically be treated as a problem that development policy must prevent. Policies should instead recognise migration as one component of rural livelihood strategies while addressing the distress conditions that force people to migrate without adequate choices.

6.9 Strengthening Social Protection

Migrant workers should have access to portable food security, health insurance, pension, accident protection and other social-security mechanisms irrespective of their place of employment.

6.10 Encouraging Rural-Urban Linkages

Development planning should recognise rural and urban areas as interconnected economic and social systems. Investment in transport, markets, digital services and financial systems can help rural households benefit from these connections.

7. CONCLUSION

Rural-to-urban migration is an important component of India's contemporary social and economic transformation. Its relationship with agrarian livelihoods is complex and cannot be explained through a simple framework of rural poverty leading to urban migration. Migration can represent distress, aspiration, livelihood diversification, occupational mobility or a combination of these processes.

For many agrarian households, migration provides an alternative source of income and enables families to manage the uncertainties associated with agricultural production. Remittances can support household consumption, education, healthcare, housing and agricultural investment. At the same time, out-migration may reduce the availability of agricultural labour and increase the workload of women and elderly household members.

The evidence also demonstrates that rural and urban livelihoods are increasingly interconnected. Contemporary households may combine cultivation in the village with wage employment in towns and cities. Circular and seasonal migration therefore needs to be understood as part of the changing organisation of rural livelihoods.

The appropriate policy response is not simply to prevent rural people from migrating. Instead, rural development should create conditions in which individuals can choose between agriculture, local non-farm employment and migration without being compelled to migrate because of extreme livelihood insecurity. Strengthening agriculture, expanding rural employment, improving social protection, supporting women, developing skills and ensuring portability of welfare benefits can help create more secure livelihood systems.

The future of agrarian society will therefore depend not only on the performance of agriculture but also on the relationship between agricultural households, rural non-farm activities and urban labour markets. Understanding migration as part of this wider rural–urban livelihood continuum provides a more comprehensive sociological framework for analysing rural transformation in contemporary India.

8. REFERENCES

1. Bhagat, R. B. (2017). Migration, gender and social justice: Perspectives from India. *Indian Journal of Human Development*, 11(1), 1–10.
2. Breman, J. (1996). *Footloose Labour: Working in India's Informal Economy*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Chandrasekhar, S., & Sharma, A. (2015). Urbanization and spatial patterns of internal migration in India. *Migration and Development*, 4(1), 1–17.
4. Deshingkar, P. (2006). Internal migration, poverty and development in Asia: Including the excluded. *IDS Bulletin*, 37(3), 88–100.

5. Deshingkar, P., & Akter, S. (2009). Migration and Human Development in India. Human Development Research Paper, United Nations Development Programme.
6. Government of India. (2011). Census of India 2011: Migration Tables. Office of the Registrar General & Census Commissioner, India.
7. Government of India, Ministry of Statistics and Programme Implementation. (2023). Migration in India, 2020–21. Government of India.
8. International Organization for Migration. (2024). World Migration Report 2024. IOM, Geneva.
9. International Organization for Migration. (2026). World Migration Report 2026. IOM, Geneva.
10. Islam, B., & Guha, P. (2023). Does outmigration of unskilled labourer from domestic agriculture affect household income sources differently at origin? Evidence from Assam, India. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*.
11. Keshri, K., & Bhagat, R. B. (2012). Temporary and seasonal migration in India. *Genus*, 68(3), 25–45.
12. Lee, E. S. (1966). A theory of migration. *Demography*, 3(1), 47–57.
13. Meher, A., Siriman, N., & Gudavarthy, V. (2026). Relationship between migration and rural livelihood: A grassroots analysis from an eastern state of India. *Journal of Human Development and Capabilities*.
14. Nijman, J., van Duijne, R. J., & Choithani, C. (2026). Urbanization and social change in rural India. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 63(3).
15. Rahman, A., Singh, C., & Srinivas, A. (2024). Migration along Bengaluru’s rural–urban continuum: Implications for household well-being and climate change adaptation. *Journal of South Asian Development*, 18(1).
16. Randolph, G. F., & Fotedar, E. (2026). What shapes urban economies in transitioning agrarian regions? Evidence from places “urbanizing from within” in India. *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space*.
17. Stark, O., & Bloom, D. E. (1985). The new economics of labor migration. *The American Economic Review*, 75(2), 173–178.
18. Thapa, R., & Mishra, D. K. (2022). Agrarian distress and seasonal out-migration: Insights from a field survey in North Bengal. *Sociological Bulletin*, 71(3).
19. Todaro, M. P. (1969). A model of labor migration and urban unemployment in less developed countries. *The American Economic Review*, 59(1), 138–148.
20. United Nations Development Programme. (2009). Overcoming Barriers: Human Mobility and Development. Human Development Report 2009.
21. World Bank. (2009). World Development Report 2009: Reshaping Economic Geography. World Bank, Washington, DC.
22. World Bank. (2011). Social Protection for a Changing India. World Bank, Washington, DC.
23. Bellampalli, P. N., & Yadava, N. (2024). Exploring poverty-driven migration in rural Karnataka, India: A call for effective implementation of policies and programmes. *Journal of Asian and African Studies*.

24. Desai, S., & Banerji, M. (2008). Negotiated identities: Male migration and left-behind wives in India. *Journal of Population Research*, 25, 337–355.
25. Lucas, R. E. B. (1997). Internal migration in developing countries. In M. R. Rosenzweig & O. Stark (Eds.), *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*. Elsevier.