REVIEW OF RESEARCH
ISSN: 2249-894X
IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)
VOLUME - 13 | ISSUE - 6 | MARCH - 2024

POPULATION DYNAMICS OF SENGA SP. IN MASTACEMBELUS ARMATUS DURING FEBRUARY 2012 TO JANUARY 2013 FROM LATUR DISTRICT

Pathan A. V.
Department of Zoology and Fishery Science,
Azad Mahavidyalaya, Ausa, Maharashtra, India.

ABSTRACT :

The present study was undertaken to determine the Population dynamics of helminth Parasite Senga sp. in freshwater fish Mastacembelus armatus from Latur District (MS) India. The survey was conducted during, annual cycle 2012 to 2013 from different sampling station to estimate the Population dynamics. For this study 368 freshwater fish Mastacembelus armatus selected. Fish samples were collected from different localities of Latur District, Maharashtra State, namely Ausa, Nilanga, Ahemadpur, Deoni, Jalkot, Renapur, Latur, Shirur-Anantpal, Chakur and Udgir.



KEY WORDS: *Population dynamics, Freshwater fish, Mastacembelus armatus, Senga sp.*

INTRODUCTION:

India is the mega biodiversity country in the world. Fish are the most important inhabitants of the aquatic ecosystem mainly marine and fresh water and provides the human population cheap and easily digestible proteins. In India it is estimated that about 10 million tons of fishes are required to meet the annual demand of fish proteins as compared to an actual annual production of only 3.5 million tons (Shukla and Upadhyay, 1998). The major component of fish is protein. Fish proteins have a high biological value. It also contains variable quantities of calcium, phosphate, fat and other nutrient important for human health and growth. Fish provides the world's prime source of high quality protein, 14-16% of the animal protein consumed worldwide; over one billion people consume fish as their primary source of animal protein. Recent studies indicate that of 750 species of freshwater fish species found in India, a large number of them are familiar only to the local population. Intestinal parasitic helminths have a serious impact on fish health, productivity, quality and quantity of meat. Fish parasitic populations are known to differ due to variation in the environment and host population (Dogial, 1961). Helminth parasites of fishes are commonly divided into three main groups; cestodes, nematodes and trematodes. Kennedy, (1975) stated that population investigation can provide date for the predication of integrated methods to achieve the regulation of numbers of harmful parasites, because it has been stated that a single method of control have little value, where as co-ordinated activities ameliorate the infection.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Collection of host fish species:

Examination of fish for collection of parasites:

Examination of intestinal parasites was carried out by using the method described by Hassan et al., (2010). After the separating and counting the population of different helminth parasites from different freshwater fishes the parasites were preserved in separate bottles. Some of these were used for the taxonomic study.

Statistical analysis employed for the population dynamics studies of helminth Parasites:

The definitions and formulae of prevalence, mean intensity and relative density given by Margolis *et al.*, (1982) and Index of infection given by Tenoza and Zejda (1974)

OBSERVATIONS AND RESULTS

Infection of *Senga* sp. *in Mastacembelus armatus* during 2012-13:

Average month wise variations in the Prevalence, Mean Intensity and Relative Density of *Senga* sp. *in Mastacembelus armatus* were as follows:

During observation of population dynamics of *Senga* sp. a total 186 fishes of *Mastacembelus armatus,* out of which 94 females and 92 males were examined. Among them 21 females and 13 males found infected, resulting in 37.50 % prevalence of infection in males and prevalence of infection in females for year 2012-13.

Incidence of Infection:

The maximum prevalence (25.00) in male was recorded in the months of February, June, October, and January. Whereas minimum (0) in July, August. In rest of months between (12.50) to (14.29). The maximum prevalence (37.50) in female was recorded in the months of, February. Whereas minimum (12.50) in May and June, In rest of the months between (14.29) to (25.00).

Intensity of infection

The maximum mean intensity (9.00) in male was recorded in the months of March. Whereas minimum (0) in July, August, September. In the rest of the months between (1.00) to (8.00); (Table 18 Figure 22). The maximum mean intensity (8.0) in female was recorded in the months of May. Whereas minimumxc (1.50) in July. In rest of months between (2.00) to (6.00)

Density of infection

The maximum relative density (1.29 and 1.00) in male was recorded in the months of March and February, April and May respectively. Whereas minimum (0) in July and August. In the rest of the months between (0.13) to (0.88); (Table 19 Graph 9b). The maximum relative density (1.25, 1.00 and 1.00) in female was recorded in the months of March and February, April and May respectively. Whereas minimum (0.13) in June, in rest of months between 0.29 to 0.86.



Graph 1: Showing monthly fluctuation of *Senga sp.* in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February 2012 to January 2013).



Graph 2: Showing monthly gender wise Prevalence of *Senga sp*. in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February 2012 to January 2013)



Graph 3 : Showing monthly gender wise mean intensity of *Senga sp.* in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February 2012 to January 2013).



Graph 4: Showing monthly gender wise relative density of *Senga sp.* in the population of *Mastacembelus armatus* (February 2012 to January 2013).

DISCUSSION

The present studies on parasite fauna of fishes of Latur district would increase its relevance to understand key roles in ecosystems, regulating the abundance or density of helminth parasite populations and structuring host communities. Thus, the present study would be a useful tool to understanding of the biodiversity of fish parasites and consequently, fisheries management and conservation of aquatic resources. Bhure and Nanware (2011) described S. satarensis from M. armatus at various places of India. During the course of taxonomical investigations on helminth parasites of economically important fish hosts available throughout the year were periodically observed made to evaluate population dynamics of these fish helminth parasites. A complete record of the basic data comprising the number of host specimens examined, number of host specimens infected and the number of parasites found was maintained for two annual cycles and is included in this work from

February 2012 to January 2013.

CONCLUSION:

The one year survey (2012 to 2013) has shown that fresh water fishes from the Latur district shows wide range of freshwater fishes. After the analysis of data the present study can be concluded that the high infection of helminth parasites (incidence, intensity, density and index of infection) were occurred in summer seasons followed by winter and low in monsoon season. This type of results indicated that environmental factors and feeding habitant are influencing the seasonally of parasitic infection either directly or indirectly. Observing the prevalence of Senga sp. in the target host fish (Mastacembelus armatus) in this study, shows that the intermediate in this case, copepods, are present in the habitat. This is due to the abundant vegetation which gives rise to a more extensive habitat for the copepods therefore; fish are more exposed to greater concentrations of Senga sp. The helminth fauna of fish may depend on various environmental factors such as geographical location of the habitat, season of the year, physico-chemical characters of the water. The infection of helminth parasites may also be related to the availability of their intermediate host, life cycles of the parasites and feeding habits of the fish host. Individual parasite species may have widely differing effects on different host species. It is indeed important to acquire knowledge on different fish pathogens, their biology and life cycle in order to recognize fish diseases and for their control. The results obtained from current research will give preliminary knowledge of population dynamics of parasitic fauna of fishes from Latur District, Maharashtra, India which was till date less explored. At the same time it will help the scientific community and also pisciculturists to know about the parasite species found to be infected in different fish hosts.

REFERENCES

1. Bashirullah, A.K. and Hafizuddin, A.K.M. (2007). Seasonal distribution and population structure of P. fusifrmis (Digenea: Bucephalidae) in E. vacha in Kapti lake, Bangladesh. Saber, Universidad de Oriente, Venezuela, 19 (2):137:14
2. Dogial, V.A. (1961). Ecology of the parasites of freshwater fishes. In: Parasitology of fishes (Eds. Dogial, V.A., Petrushevski, G.K. and Polyanski, Yu.I.) pp.1-47. Oliver and Byod, London.
3. Hassan, A.A, Akinsanya, B and Adegbaju, W.A. (2010). Impacts Of Helminth Parasites on Clarias gariepinus And Synodontis clarias From Lekki Lagoon, Lagos, Nigeria. Report and Opinion, 2010; 2 (11)
4. Jadhav, B.V. and Shinde, G.B. (1976). New species of genus Circumoncobothrium Shinde, 1968 (Cestoda: Pseudophyllidea carus, 1863) from afreshwater fish Aurangabad, India. J. Indian Bio. Asso. 2: 163-166.
5. Kennedy, C. R. (1975). Dispersion of parasites within a Host-Parasite System in: Ecological animal parasitology, Kennedy, C.R. (Ed.). Blackwell Scientific Publication, Oxford London.
6. Margolis, L., Esch, G. W., Holmes, J. C., Kuris, A. M. and Schad, G. A. (1982). The use of ecological terms in parasitology (Report of and adhoc committee of the American Society of Parasitologists). J. Parasitol. 68:131-133.
7. Shukla, G.S. and Upadhyay, V.B. (1998). A textbook of economic zoology. Rastogi Publications, India. 205 p.
8. Tenoza, F. Zejda, J. (1974). The helminth synyster of Clethrionomys glareolus in a low land forest and its change. Acta. Sci. Nat. Brno. 8 (6):1-48.