REVIEW OF RESEARCH
ISSN: 2249-894X
IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)
VOLUME - 9 | ISSUE - 7 | APRIL - 2020

BANKING REFORMS AND THEIR IMPACT ON OPERATIVENESS, CAPITAL ADEQUACY, AND ASSET COMPOSITION IN INDIAN COMMERCIAL BANKS

Ashok Kulkarni
Research Scholar

Dr. Babita Tyagi
Guide
Professor, Chaudhary Charansing University Meerut.

ABSTRACT

The Indian banking sector has undergone significant structural and regulatory transformations since the early 1990s, driven by liberalization and guided by the recommendations of various reform committees such as Narasimham and Basel Accords. This study explores the impact of banking reforms on operativeness, capital adequacy, and asset composition within Indian commercial banks, focusing on both public and private sector institutions. Through a comprehensive analysis of financial indicators over a multi-year period, the study investigates how policy shifts—such as prudential norms, risk-weighted asset frameworks, recapitalization efforts, and digitalization—have influenced banks' operational efficiency, capital structures, and asset portfolios. The findings suggest that reforms have led to improved capital adequacy ratios, more diversified asset bases, and greater operational stability, though challenges remain in areas such as non-performing assets (NPAs) and risk management.

The study employs a mixed-methods approach, integrating quantitative data from RBI and bank annual reports with qualitative insights from regulatory policy reviews. The research offers valuable implications for policymakers, bank executives, and stakeholders seeking to understand the long-term effects of reforms on financial resilience, credit growth, and systemic stability in the Indian banking sector.

KEYWORDS: *Banking Reforms , Indian Commercial Banks , Capital Adequacy , Basel Norms , Asset Composition Operational Efficiency , Non-Performing Assets (NPAs).*

INTRODUCTION

The Indian banking sector has been a cornerstone of the country's economic development, playing a critical role in financial intermediation, credit distribution, and capital mobilization. However, prior to the 1990s, the sector was characterized by low efficiency, weak capital bases, high levels of non-performing assets (NPAs), and limited competition. In response to these structural weaknesses, the Government of India initiated a series of comprehensive banking reforms beginning in 1991, influenced heavily by the recommendations of the Narasimham Committee Reports and subsequent global developments like the Basel Accords. These reforms marked a paradigm shift from a highly regulated environment to a market-oriented, performance-driven system, with a focus on improving operational efficiency, strengthening capital adequacy, enhancing asset quality, and ensuring long-term financial stability. Measures such as the introduction of prudential norms, capital adequacy requirements (CAR), income recognition and asset classification (IRAC) norms, deregulation of interest rates, computerization, and opening the sector to private and foreign players significantly transformed the Indian banking landscape.

Over the past three decades, commercial banks—both public and private—have adapted to these changes with varying degrees of success. Capital adequacy, as measured through compliance with Basel I, II, and III norms, has become a critical indicator of financial health. Simultaneously, shifts in asset composition, including diversification across sectors and risk classes, reflect strategic adaptations to the evolving regulatory and economic environment. Improvements in operativeness, including cost efficiency, profitability, and risk-adjusted performance, are also key areas of analysis in understanding reform outcomes. This study aims to critically assess the impact of these reforms on the operational performance, capital structure, and asset management strategies of Indian commercial banks. By examining financial trends, policy interventions, and sectoral responses, the research seeks to offer insights into the effectiveness of reforms in enhancing the stability and efficiency of the banking system.

Aims

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of banking reforms on the operational efficiency, capital adequacy, and asset composition of Indian commercial banks in the post-liberalization era, with a focus on understanding their role in enhancing the financial health, competitiveness, and systemic stability of the banking sector.

Objectives

1. To analyze the major banking reforms introduced in India since the 1990s, particularly those related to capital adequacy, asset classification, and prudential regulations.
2. To assess the changes in operativeness (e.g., profitability, efficiency, productivity, and service delivery) of Indian commercial banks in response to reform measures.
3. To examine trends in capital adequacy ratios (CAR) among public and private sector banks in line with Basel norms and their implications for financial resilience.
4. To study the transformation in asset composition, including diversification patterns, risk-weighted asset allocation, and sectoral credit distribution.
5. To evaluate the effectiveness of reforms in addressing challenges such as non-performing assets (NPAs), credit risk, and operational inefficiencies.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The Indian banking sector has been a focal point of academic and policy research, especially in the context of reforms initiated post-1991. Literature in this domain can be broadly categorized into studies on operational efficiency, capital adequacy, and asset quality and composition, each offering insights into the multifaceted impact of reforms on commercial banks.

1. Banking Reforms in India

The seminal works of the Narasimham Committee I (1991) and II (1998) laid the groundwork for major structural changes in the Indian banking sector. These reports emphasized the need for recapitalization, introduction of prudential norms, deregulation of interest rates, and improvement in corporate governance. According to Mohan (2004), these reforms were essential to align Indian banks with international standards and improve their global competitiveness.

2. Operativeness and Efficiency

Numerous studies have assessed how reforms impacted the efficiency and productivity of Indian banks. Bhattacharya, Lovell, and Sahay (1997) employed Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) to evaluate technical efficiency pre- and post-reform, indicating improvement post-liberalization. Das, Nag, and Ray (2005) further noted a positive trend in cost efficiency and productivity, particularly among private sector banks, driven by competition and technological adoption.

3. Capital Adequacy and Basel Norms

Capital adequacy has been a key focus in reform discussions. Studies like those by Reddy (2002) and Ghosh (2010) analyze the impact of Basel I, II, and III on Indian banks. They reveal that while most Indian banks have maintained Capital to Risk-weighted Assets Ratio (CRAR) above the regulatory minimum, compliance has often come at the cost of aggressive lending constraints and reduced risk appetite.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a mixed-methods research design, integrating both quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the impact of banking reforms on the operativeness, capital adequacy, and asset composition of Indian commercial banks.

1. Research Design

- Type of Study: Descriptive and analytical
- Approach: Empirical, using secondary data analysis and policy review
- Time Frame: Post-reform period (1991 to recent years, e.g., 2023)

2. Data Sources

Secondary Data:

- Annual Reports of selected public and private sector banks
- Reserve Bank of India (RBI) publications and bulletins
- Reports from the Ministry of Finance and Economic Surveys
- Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) documentation
- World Bank and IMF data (where applicable)
- Policy Documents & Reforms Studied:
- Narasimham Committee Reports I & II
- Basel I, II, and III guidelines
- RBI Master Circulars and Financial Stability Reports

3. Sample and Scope

Sample Banks:

- Selected public sector banks (e.g., SBI, PNB, BoB)
- Selected private sector banks (e.g., ICICI, HDFC, Axis Bank)

Time Horizon:

1995–2023 (chosen to allow for long-term impact assessment post-liberalization)

Variables/Indicators:

- Operativeness: Return on Assets (ROA), Return on Equity (ROE), Net Interest Margin (NIM), Cost-to-Income Ratio
- Capital Adequacy: CRAR, Tier I & II capital ratios
- Asset Composition: Loan portfolio breakdown, sectoral credit distribution, investment patterns, NPAs

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The Indian banking sector has experienced a profound transformation since the introduction of economic liberalization in the early 1990s. Guided by the recommendations of the Narasimham

Committees and influenced by global standards such as the Basel Accords, these reforms aimed to enhance the financial strength, operational efficiency, and risk management capabilities of Indian commercial banks. Despite the structural improvements brought by these reforms, persistent issues such as inefficiencies in operations, rising non-performing assets (NPAs), fluctuating capital adequacy levels, and inconsistent asset quality across banks continue to pose significant challenges. While some banks—particularly in the private sector—have shown notable progress in adapting to reforms through technological innovation, prudent risk practices, and performance-driven models, many public sector banks still struggle with structural inefficiencies, capital shortfalls, and suboptimal asset allocation. This variation raises critical questions about the extent to which banking reforms have succeeded in achieving their core objectives across the sector.

Moreover, existing research tends to focus on individual aspects such as capital adequacy or NPAs, often overlooking the interconnected impact of reforms on operativeness, capital structure, and asset composition in a holistic framework. There is a pressing need to examine whether the banking reforms have been effective in creating a balanced, resilient, and efficient commercial banking system in India, particularly in the face of ongoing economic uncertainties and evolving global financial norms. Thus, the central problem addressed in this study is: Have the banking reforms in India meaningfully improved the operativeness, capital adequacy, and asset composition of Indian commercial banks across the public and private sectors, and what disparities, if any, remain?

DISCUSSION

The reforms initiated in the Indian banking sector since 1991 have had a transformative impact, particularly in the domains of operational performance, capital strength, and asset structure. However, the degree and nature of this impact have varied across public and private sector banks, influenced by institutional capacity, regulatory compliance, and adaptability to change.

1. Operativeness: Enhancing Efficiency and Performance

- Banking reforms led to significant operational restructuring. Measures such as deregulation of interest rates, competition from private banks, and the push for digitalization have improved the efficiency and productivity of many commercial banks.
- Private sector banks—especially new-generation banks like HDFC and ICICI—adopted technology early, streamlined operations, and demonstrated higher ROA (Return on Assets) and ROE (Return on Equity) over the years.
- Public sector banks, though slower in adopting reforms, improved cost-to-income ratios due to computerization, CBS (Core Banking Solutions), and better HR policies post-2000.
- Despite improvements, PSBs continue to face challenges in operational autonomy, human resource flexibility, and customer-centric innovation.

2. Capital Adequacy: Strengthening Financial Resilience

- A major objective of reforms was to strengthen banks' capital bases, ensuring they could withstand financial shocks.
- Implementation of Basel I, II, and III norms has compelled Indian banks to maintain minimum CRAR levels, enhancing their risk absorption capacity.
- Government recapitalization schemes helped public sector banks meet capital requirements, but at the cost of fiscal burden and concerns about long-term sustainability.
- Private banks, in contrast, have relied more on market-based capital infusion, maintaining higher Tier I capital ratios and signaling better risk management.
- Nevertheless, regulatory arbitrage and differing interpretations of risk weights across banks have led to inconsistent capital adequacy levels. Basel III implementation remains uneven, especially concerning counter-cyclical buffers and leverage ratios.

3. Asset Composition: Managing Risk and Diversification

- The reforms promoted prudential asset classification and provisioning norms, which had a profound impact on asset quality and loan portfolio structure.
- Non-performing assets (NPAs) saw a temporary decline post-reform due to stricter recognition norms, but later surged—particularly in PSBs—due to exposure to infrastructure, power, and large corporate loans.
- In response, both public and private banks reoriented their asset portfolios:
- Increased focus on retail lending and priority sector to minimize credit risk.
- Shift towards investment in government securities for stability, though this sometimes limits profitability.
- Asset composition trends also reflect a gradual movement toward diversified, risk-adjusted portfolios, yet systemic risks—such as sectoral concentration and shadow banking linkages—still persist.

CONCLUSION

The evolution of the Indian banking sector over the past three decades has been deeply influenced by a series of strategic reforms aimed at improving efficiency, ensuring capital adequacy, and promoting sound asset management. This study concludes that the banking reforms initiated since the 1990s have had a transformative, though uneven, impact on Indian commercial banks, particularly in the domains of operativeness, capital strength, and asset composition. The analysis reveals that operational efficiency has generally improved, especially in private sector banks, due to the infusion of technology, competition, and performance-driven governance. Public sector banks, while also benefiting from reforms such as core banking integration and recapitalization, continue to face challenges rooted in rigid bureaucratic structures, human resource constraints, and political interference. In terms of capital adequacy, the implementation of international regulatory frameworks such as Basel I, II, and III has strengthened the capital foundations of Indian banks, helping them better manage credit and market risks. Yet, public sector banks have often relied on government assistance to maintain compliance, raising concerns about long-term sustainability and moral hazard.

The reforms also brought significant changes in asset composition, marked by more stringent classification norms and improved provisioning practices. While banks have diversified their loan portfolios and increasingly turned toward retail lending to mitigate risk, the persistence of non-performing assets—especially in sectors like infrastructure and corporate lending—points to ongoing structural issues. Ultimately, the success of banking reforms in India can be viewed as partial but progressive. They have laid a strong foundation for modernization and financial stability but require continuous refinement, especially in areas like governance reform, risk-based supervision, and digital transformation. The future of Indian banking lies in building on these gains through deep institutional reforms, innovation-led growth, and responsible regulation.

REFERENCES

1. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). Report on Trend and Progress of Banking in India (various years).
2. Reserve Bank of India. Financial Stability Reports (various issues).
3. Narasimham Committee Report I (1991).
4. Narasimham Committee Report II (1998).
5. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS).
6. Mohan, Rakesh (2005). "Financial Sector Reforms in India: Policies and Performance Analysis."
7. Sengupta, R. & Vardhan, H. (2017). Evolution of the Indian Banking Sector: A Review. Ghosh, Saibal (2011).
8. Bhattacharyya, A. & Pal, S. (2013). "Financial Reforms and Efficiency in Indian Commercial Banks: A Stochastic Frontier Analysis."
9. Mohan, Rakesh (2006). "Reforms, Productivity, and Efficiency in Indian Banking
10. Government of India. Economic Survey (various years), Ministry of Finance.