Review Of Research
Vol. 3 | Issue. 9 | June. 2014
Impact Factor : 2.1002 (UIF)
ISSN:-2249-894X
Available online at www.lbp.world

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

FDI IN MULTI-BRAND RETAIL SECTOR-ISSUES AND CHALLENGES IN INDIA.

Asst. Prof. Vibha Pai Angle and Dr. Deepali Churi
DCT's S.S. Dempo College of Commerce & Economics, Panaji-Goa.

INTRODUCTION

India is at the cusp of a retail transformation, witnessing rapid changes in its retail landscape. With the concept of a global marketplace becoming a reality, India has emerged as a highly attractive destination for international brands and a dynamic hub for domestic retailers. Recognized as the leading emerging market for global retail businesses, India's retail sector is expanding and modernizing in alignment with the country's economic progress. The future appears promising, with a growing market, favorable government policies, and technological advancements streamlining retail operations.

Over the past few years, India has solidified its position as a key player in the global retail industry. The retail sector forms a crucial part of the Indian economy, contributing approximately 14-15% to the GDP. With an estimated market value of $450 billion, India ranks among the world's top five retail economies. As one of the fastest-growing retail markets, catering to a population of 1.2 billion, India's retail landscape is primarily dominated by small, owner-managed stores. In 2010, modern retail formats such as supermarkets and convenience stores made up only about 4% of the sector and were mostly concentrated in major urban centers. Although India remains the most attractive economy for Foreign Direct Investment (FDI), the country faced significant balance of payments and trade deficit issues in 2012, prompting adjustments in FDI policies.

The Indian retail industry is gradually evolving into a high-growth sector, with shifting shopping patterns and consumer preferences reshaping the industry. The rise of organized retail is evident in the proliferation of large shopping malls, multi-story complexes, and entertainment hubs that offer a blend of shopping, dining, and leisure experiences under one roof. The sector is currently at a pivotal stage, with increasing organized retail and rising consumption expected to drive accelerated growth.

India's growing economy, expanding markets, trade liberalization, tech advancements, and relaxed investment regulations make it a top destination for foreign investment.

OBJECTIVES

- To assess the impact of FDI in multi-brand retail on India’s economic growth.
- To evaluate the challenges and opportunities of FDI liberalization in India’s retail sector.

Pros & Cons of FDI in Multi-Brand Retail

Pros

- FDI in multi-brand retail contributes significantly to economic growth and development in India.
- The sector benefits from a young and dynamic workforce that is ready to meet the challenges of the industry.
- With the highest shop density globally, small retailers face minimal risk from the growth of larger retail chains.
- India’s retail and wholesale sectors are expanding rapidly, driven by the influx of foreign investment.
- Large corporations have the financial capacity to absorb losses, enabling them to weather economic downturns.
- The entry of foreign retailers is expected to generate significant employment opportunities in the future.
- By providing better market access, FDI helps improve the financial stability of farmers.
- The influx of FDI leads to improvements in retail efficiency and operational effectiveness, benefiting the sector overall.
- Foreign investment brings in additional capital, boosting investment in the retail sector.
- FDI provides access to advanced technology and better branding opportunities, further enhancing the competitiveness of Indian retail.
- It enhances product quality and reduces costs by introducing new technologies and practices.
- FDI strengthens India’s export potential by improving supply chains and logistics.

Cons

- The retail sector may suffer from limited capital investment, which could hinder its overall growth.
- There is a shortage of a skilled and educated workforce, which could pose challenges to the successful implementation of FDI policies.
- The lack of competition in the retail sector could result in monopolization, giving large players undue control over the market.
- Prices in multinational retail outlets could be higher compared to specialized local shops, affecting consumer affordability.
- Inadequate infrastructure to support large-scale retail expansion could slow down the growth of organized retail.
- The absence of sufficient warehouse and cold storage facilities leads to high wastage of goods, particularly perishable items.

- Small retailers and kirana stores could suffer long-term business losses due to the dominance of large multinational retailers.
- There is a risk that foreign investors or large corporations may dominate the retail sector, reducing the market share for domestic players.
- The introduction of FDI in retail could result in potential job losses in India's manufacturing sector, as some jobs may shift to foreign-owned businesses.
- Informal roadside bargaining may increase, leading to exploitation of farmers by middlemen and affecting the overall agricultural sector.
- While Indian labour may drive the work, the profits generated by foreign companies could be repatriated to their home countries, limiting local economic benefits.
- Farmers could be exploited by large foreign investors, losing their land and crops due to unfair business practices.

Prospects of FDI Policy for Multi-Brand Retail

The newly introduced FDI policy is expected to benefit both foreign retailers and Indian businesses. International retailers will gain deeper insights into local markets, allowing them to expand their consumer base, while Indian companies will have access to global best practices in management and technological advancements. The primary objective of this policy is to attract increased FDI inflows and foster a business-friendly environment. Foreign investors can expect a more liberalized legal and financial framework, providing potential growth opportunities in the multi-brand retail sector.

Research studies (Palit & Nawani, 2007; Pires, Stanton & Salavrakos, 2010; Jain & Sukhlecha, 2012) suggest that FDI contributes to technological advancement, facilitates capital inflow, and enhances production capabilities while maintaining overall macroeconomic stability. Implementing FDI reforms in multi-brand retail is seen as a crucial step in revitalizing the Indian economy by easing supply-side constraints and reducing inflation. These reforms are also expected to create better opportunities and higher profit margins for small and medium enterprises by increasing market access.

To safeguard domestic interests, the government has mandated that at least 50% of retail jobs be allocated to rural youth and that a portion of farm produce must be sourced from economically disadvantaged farmers. Additionally, measures have been put in place to ensure that food grain procurement for public distribution and food security systems remains unaffected.

A study by Joseph et al. (2008) on organized retail suggests that large corporate entities entering the multi-brand retail market could impact all segments of the Indian economy. Unorganized retailers may experience a decline in sales and profits due to competition from organized retail. The liberalization of FDI in this sector could lead to monopolization, where large corporate houses dominate pricing and product availability. A McKinsey Report (2012) highlighted that India's retail productivity is significantly lower than that of other global economies. While retail employment currently accounts for about 6% of India's labor force, which is largely unorganized, this is only half of what is observed in other emerging markets.

Expanding the retail sector comprehensively could generate over 50 million new jobs, although training and skill development for the workforce remains a major challenge.

The government's decision to permit up to 51% FDI in multi-brand retail has sparked debates across various industries. Studies indicate that over 20 crore people in India rely on street vending for their livelihood, selling vegetables and other goods on footpaths and hand carts. This includes farmers, milkmen, and fruit vendors, all of whom could be adversely affected by the policy (Hindu, 2012). Additionally, a lack of pricing transparency has fueled the growth of the unorganized sector. A 2010 discussion paper on FDI revealed that farmers currently receive only one-third of the final price paid by consumers. However, with the introduction of FDI reforms, pricing mechanisms are expected to become more transparent, reducing the role of intermediaries and ensuring better earnings for farmers.

Research by Chari and Raghavan (2011) suggests that allowing major retailers into the Indian market may help control inflation, particularly in food prices. The entry of global retailers could lead to improved supply chain management, better distribution systems, and technological advancements. Some studies also indicate that basic food items for urban poor populations are cheaper in supermarkets compared to traditional retail stores. For instance, a survey in Delhi found that supermarket prices for rice and wheat were 15% lower, while vegetable prices were 33% lower than those in traditional markets.

A Pan-Indian survey (2011) conducted across ten major cities found strong support for retail reforms among farmers and consumers. Around 90% of consumers believed that FDI in multi-brand retail would lower prices and provide better product choices. Additionally, 78% of farmers felt that the reforms would help them secure better prices for their produce, and 75% of traders anticipated increased sales due to the expansion of marketing channels. Recent studies on FDI policy reforms (Sukhlecha, 2012) have concluded that consumers stand to benefit the most from these changes.

Support for FDI reforms has also come from Indian farmers' associations such as the All India Vegetable Growers Association (AIVGA) and Bharat Krishak Samaj (Moghe, 2012). AIVGA strongly believes that the new policy will address challenges related to cold storage and reduce exploitation by middlemen. Bharat Krishak Samaj supports the reforms, asserting that they will not only benefit wholesalers in vegetable markets but also create positive ripple effects for small shopkeepers in the unorganized retail sector.

Balasubramanyam and Mahambare (2002) argue that FDI effectively promotes economic development, provided there are supporting factors such as adequate human capital in the host country. The new FDI policy is expected to bring structural changes to India’s labor market, ensuring better working conditions. Wakchaure (2011) highlights that workers in India’s unorganized retail sector often lack formal contracts, work long hours, and receive extremely low wages. Additionally, child labor is prevalent in these small shops. The introduction of FDI reforms aims to address these challenges by formalizing the sector and improving labour conditions.

Issues and Challenges for Multi-Brand Retail in India – The Road Ahead

The debate over allowing unrestricted Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) in India's retail sector has long been a contentious issue. Since the introduction of FDI, discussions have remained inconclusive, with strong support from corporate retail giants—both domestic and international—on one side, and fierce opposition from critics concerned about its negative impact on unorganized retail, which employs a significant portion of the Indian workforce.

Given that the unorganized retail sector is the second-largest employment provider after agriculture, there are concerns that global retailers might manipulate market power—raising consumer prices while suppressing prices paid to suppliers. Additionally, FDI in multi-brand retail could lead to disproportionate urban growth, causing socio-economic disparities. In such a scenario, both consumers and suppliers may face disadvantages, while large retail chains continue to maximize profits.

Several trade associations, political groups, and industrial bodies have voiced opposition to FDI in retail, arguing that Indian retailers are yet to establish themselves strongly. The current retail sector is dominated by small, family-owned businesses, which may struggle to compete with global retail giants. Furthermore, Indian retailers face higher borrowing costs, whereas foreign competitors have access to low-interest international funding, putting domestic businesses at a financial disadvantage.

Another criticism is that FDI in retail may not generate substantial foreign investment, as the retail business requires minimal capital to operate—most goods are acquired on credit while sales are made on a cash basis, leading to negligible working capital requirements. Additionally, after making an initial investment in basic infrastructure, multinational corporations could repatriate large portions of their profits back to their home countries, further raising concerns about the long-term benefits of FDI in the sector.

The Changing Retail Landscape in India

India ranks as the third most attractive destination for retail investment among 30 emerging markets. Domestic players such as Future Group, Tata’s Westside, Reliance Fresh, Raheja Group, and Bharti Retail are competing to expand their market presence. Market liberalization is accelerating the retail transformation, attracting more multinational companies (MNCs) alongside established Indian brands like Pantaloon, Reliance, Lifestyle, Foodworld, Raymond, Titan, and Bata. Global brands such as McDonald’s, Pizza Hut, Domino’s, Levi’s, Nike, and Adidas have already entered the market through licensing and franchising agreements.

Despite its potential benefits, FDI in multi-brand retail raises several challenges. The first major concern is its potential impact on employment in the retail sector, particularly for small-scale businesses. Critics argue that foreign investment could create unfair competition, ultimately forcing small family-run businesses to shut down. Additionally, India’s organized retail sector is still in its early stages of development, and allowing large-scale foreign retailers into the market may disrupt its natural growth.

However, evidence from the United States suggests that FDI in organized retail can help control inflation, particularly wholesale prices. Foreign firms also bring technological expertise in warehousing and distribution, improving supply chain efficiency. These improvements could enhance India's logistics infrastructure and boost exports. The experience of India's telecommunications and IT sectors between 1990 and 2010 demonstrates the benefits of opening up markets to large-scale foreign investment.

FDI in retail has the potential to modernize the agricultural and manufacturing sectors. Increased investment in post-harvest infrastructure could extend the shelf life of perishable goods, reducing food wastage, which currently stands at 20-30%. Additionally, foreign investment could introduce better-quality seeds, stricter standards, and advanced technology, ultimately improving productivity while lowering costs.

Despite these advantages, opponents argue that foreign investors may struggle with the same challenges that have hindered domestic retailers, particularly in setting up efficient supply chains. Many fear that instead of benefiting small farmers and businesses, foreign investors might rely on existing supply networks or import manufactured goods from other countries. Additionally, critics suggest that large corporations may source products primarily from medium and large farms, sidelining small farmers and potentially putting them out of business.

India's agricultural sector is largely composed of small farms, accounting for 83% of total agricultural holdings. Large corporations may find it impractical to engage with numerous small suppliers due to high transaction costs. Furthermore, small farmers often lack access to technology, making it difficult for them to meet the quality standards required by international retailers. As a result, many small-scale farmers may not experience significant income growth and could face financial hardship due to competition from larger, more efficient farms.

While these concerns are valid, they do not necessarily justify opposition to FDI in retail. A potential solution lies in the formation of **producer companies**, where small farmers can aggregate their resources and collaborate as a single entity. By pooling their land and resources, small farmers can reduce costs, achieve economies of scale, and access better technology to meet quality standards. This approach could attract large corporate buyers while simultaneously creating new employment opportunities in infrastructure, food processing, and logistics.

However, it is important to acknowledge that not all of India's 100+ million small farmers would have the opportunity to join producer companies. Some may have to leave farming altogether. Nevertheless, a more efficient food supply chain could generate alternative employment in food processing and retail, providing displaced farmers with new job opportunities.

CONCLUSION

In today's highly competitive environment, countries are increasingly liberalizing their economic policies to attract both domestic and international investments. India, recognizing these global shifts, has positioned itself as a leading destination for foreign investment. The retail sector in India, driven by consumer confidence, is expanding rapidly, and retail companies are adopting aggressive growth strategies. As organized retail continues to grow, innovation and expansion will play a key role in ensuring profitability. Increased investment in retail support services and logistics will be crucial for sustained success.

Opening up the retail sector to Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) has the potential to provide a much-needed boost to India's retail industry. However, this must be done with caution, as international experience indicates that while multinational supermarket chains benefit greatly, the local impact on farmers, small traders, wholesalers, and the environment could be negative. Therefore, alongside liberalization, it is essential to implement appropriate reforms.

India can draw valuable lessons from both developed and developing nations to create its own regulations and strategies that protect its interests. At present, the lack of clear definitions and frameworks for retailing and retail formats in India has led to international players exploiting the situation. The regulatory framework must address these issues, setting clear guidelines for approval, conditions, and quality standards for both domestic and imported goods.

Allowing FDI in retail can boost productivity, modernize distribution systems, and provide fiscal incentives, helping domestic companies compete in the global marketplace. However, this opening should be gradual, keeping in mind the concerns of small retailers while promoting growth in the industry, infrastructure, and employment. India can take inspiration from countries like China, where organized and unorganized retail sectors have thrived together.

In conclusion, the government has an opportunity to use liberalization to achieve national goals like infrastructure development, access to advanced technologies, and job creation. If managed properly, FDI in retail can contribute significantly to India's overall progress and welfare.

REFERENCES:

1. Fernandes, L. M., Banu, R. A., & Simon, S. (2012, November). Foreign direct investment in multi-brand: Issues and implications on the Indian economy. *Pacific Business Review International, 5*(5).
2. Gupt, S. (2012, November). FDI in retail: How can it benefit India's farm sector? *Issue Perspective, 2*(3).
3. Jain, P. (2013, September). Foreign direct investment in the Indian retail sector. *International Journal of Advance Research in Computer Science and Management Studies, 1*(1).
4. Kaushik, K. R., & Bansal, K. (2012). FDI in Indian retail sector: Pros and cons. *International Journal of Emerging Research in Management & Technology, ModiNagar*.
5. Moghe, D., & Menon, V. K. K. (2012). Critical study of foreign direct investment in Indian retail with special reference to multi-brand retail sector. *Abhinav National Monthly Refereed Journal of Research in Commerce & Management, 1*(3).
6. Pandey, S. K., & Chandra, P. (2012). Foreign direct investment in Indian retail sector: Issues and implications. *VSRD International Journal of Business & Management Research, 2*(2).
7. Renuka, R., Ganesan, M., & Durgamani, M. K. (2012, January). Impact of FDI on the Indian economy with special reference to the retail sector in India. *Global Research Analysis, 2*(1).

8. Wakchaure, R. N. (2011). Foreign direct investment and employment opportunities in the Indian retail sector. *ISRJ, 1*(2), 162-167.