REVIEW OF RESEARCH
ISSN: 2249-894X
IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF)
UGC APPROVED JOURNAL NO. 48514
VOLUME - 8 | ISSUE - 9 | JUNE - 2019

A STUDY OF VOLATILITY IN INDIAN SHARE MARKET USING ARCH AND GARCH MODELS

Dr. J. Purushotham
Assistant Professor (C) , Department of Applied Statistics ,
Telangana University , Nizamabad.

ABSTRACT:

The global money related markets unrest, which began around mid-2007, has deteriorated generously since August 2008. The money related market emergency has prompted the breakdown of major budgetary foundations. All things considered, crashes as well as emergencies are not committed to just create markets and creating nations including India, are not avoided from this standard and it might face such a condition. The sharp decrease of Sensex value file from its end pinnacle of 20 873 on January 8, 2008, to under 10 000 by October 17, 2008, in accordance with comparable enormous decreases in other significant securities exchanges is great tokens of this reality. Unpredictability as a proportion of hazard assumes a significant job in numerous money related choices in such a circumstances. The principle motivation behind this investigation is to analyze the instability of the Indian securities exchanges and its related adapted certainties utilizing ARCH models. The BSE500 stock file was utilized to think about the unpredictability in the Indian financial exchange over a 10 years time frame. Two generally utilized symmetric unpredictability models, ARCH and GARCH were assessed and the fitted model of the information, chose utilizing the model determination foundation, for example, SBIC and AIC. The sufficiency of chose model tried utilizing ARCH-LM test and LB measurements. The examination reasons that GARCH (1, 1) model clarifies unpredictability of the Indian financial exchanges and its adapted realities including instability bunching, fat tails and mean returning acceptably.

KEYWORDS: *Sensex, ARCH Model, GARCH Model.*

INTRODUCTION :

Variance of stock costs isn't dangerous fundamentally and is an indication of market productivity in financial exchanges. In an effective market, stock value completely mirrors all accessible data. In this way, stock cost changes because of new data. The primary issue with value change that influences the monetary market productivity is dangerous abundance instability that winds up in accidents and additionally emergencies in money related markets. In such a circumstance, distinction between stock natural worth and its related market worth is noteworthy and has a few outcomes. The unrest in the universal money related markets of cutting edge economies, that began around mid-2007, has exacerbated generously since August 2008. The monetary market emergency has prompted the breakdown of major money related establishments. By the by, crashes or potentially emergencies are not given to just created markets and creating

nations including India, are not avoided from this standard and it might face such a condition. Top-11 Indian securities exchange accidents incorporate Apr 1992, May 2004, May 2006, April 2007, July 2007, Aug 2007 Oct 2007, Nov 2007,Dec 2007,Aug, 2007and especially, Jan 2008 are great tokens of this reality. With the instability in portfolio streams having been huge during 2007 and 2008, the effect of worldwide monetary disturbance has been felt especially in the Indian value advertise.

The BSE Sensex expanded altogether from a degree of 13 072 as at end-March 2007 to its pinnacle of 20 873 on January 8, 2008 within the sight of substantial portfolio streams reacting to the high development execution of the Indian corporate division. With portfolio streams turning around in 2008, incompletely on account of the global market disturbance (Mohan,2008) the Sensex tumbled from its end pinnacle of 20 873 on January 8, 2008, to under 10000 by October 17, 2008, in accordance with comparable enormous decreases in other real financial exchanges. Also, Between January 1 and October 16 2008, the rupee fell by almost 25 percent, even with respect to a powerless cash like the dollar, from Rs 39.20 to the dollar to Rs 48.86 (Chandrasekhar and Ghosh, 2008).Hence, the investigation of money related resource unpredictability is essential to scholastics, policymakers, and monetary markets members for a few reasons. To begin with, expectation of money related market instability is critical to monetary operators.

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

Stock price volatility and the dynamic nature of stock market returns are important observed phenomena in financial markets and a multitude of studies have been carried out to examine them. In his seminal study, Engle (1982) formulated the ARCH model to measure time-varying volatility, by expressing the variance forecast in terms of the most recent squared prices. Bollerslev (1986) introduced the GARCH Model that overcame the limitations of the ARCH Model by generalizing the ARCH Model over a long time period.

METHODOLOGY OF MODELING VOLATILITY

Since the development of GARCH models, a number of extensions and variants have been proposed. These variants are well classified in one of the two broad classes of symmetric and asymmetric GARCH models. Before we discuss these extensions, let us assume some notations that are useful to describe the general GARCH framework. Let denote a real valued discrete time stochastic process and is the information set of all information through time t.

The study is based daily stock prices covering the sample period of

1st January 2011 to 1st January 2017. Bombay stock market (BSE) indices are used as proxy of Indian stock market. With the availability of high frequency data being compiled by Bombay stock exchange (BSE), under this index, there are 12 sub-groups such as:

1) BSE SENSEX(BSESN),
2) BSE PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING (BSEPSU),
3) BSEPOWER,
4) BSEOIL,
5) BSE REAL ESTATE,(BSEREAL),
6) BSE TECHNOLOGY (BSETECK), 7) BSE MATERIALS (BSEMET),
8) BSEBANK,
9) BSE CAPITAL GOODS (BSECG),
10) S&P BSE HEALTH ,CARE(SPBSEHC),
11) S&P BSE FAST MOVING CONSUMER GOODS (SPBSEFMCG),
12) S&P BSE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (SPBSEIT). The stock price data is collected

ARCH model, done by specialists around there utilizing distinctive technique. Our goal in this segment is to give the peruser only a look at these investigations as pursues: Engle (1982) distributed a paper that deliberate the time-fluctuating unpredictability. His model, ARCH, depends on the possibility

that a characteristic method to refresh a change figure is to average it with the latest squired "surprise"(i.e. the squired deviation of the pace of come back from its mean).While traditional time arrangement and econometric models work under a presumption of steady difference, the ARCH procedure enables the contingent fluctuation to change after some time as an element of past blunders leaving the unequivocal change consistent. In the exact use of the ARCH model a moderately long slack in the contingent fluctuation condition is frequently called for, and to dodge issues with negative difference parameters a fixed slack structure is commonly forced. Bollerslev (1986) to beat the ARCH restrictions presented his model, GARCH, that summed up the ARCH model to take into account both a more drawn out memory and a progressively adaptable slack structure. As noted above, in the experimental use of the ARCH model, a moderately long slack in the restrictive change condition is frequently called for, and to dodge issues with negative difference parameters a fixed slack structure is normally forced. In the ARCH procedure the restrictive difference is determined as a direct capacity of past example fluctuation just, though the GARCH procedure permits slacked contingent changes to enter in the model too. Engle, Lilien, and Robins (1987) presented the ARCH-M model by stretching out the ARCH model to enable the restrictive change to be determinant of the mean. Though in its standard structure, ARCH model communicates the restrictive difference as a straight capacity of past squired advancements in this new model they theorize that, changing contingent fluctuation legitimately influence the normal profit for a portfolio. Their outcomes from applying this model to three unique informational indexes of security yields are very encouraging. Therefore, they infer that hazard premia are not time invariant; rather they differ efficiently with operator's impression of hidden vulnerability. Nelson (1991) expanded the ARCH structure so as to all the more likely depict the conduct of return volatilities.

Nelson's investigation is significant as a result of the way that it expanded the ARCH approach toward another path, breaking the unbending nature of the G/ARCH determination. The most significant commitment was to propose a model (EARCH) to test the speculation that the change of return was impacted contrastingly by positive and negative abundance returns. His investigation found that not exclusively was the announcement genuine, yet additionally that abundance returns were contrarily identified with securities exchange change. Glosten, Jagannathan and Runkle (1993),to adjust the essential confinements of GARCH-M model dependent on reality in view of reality that GARCH model uphold a symmetric reaction of instability to positive and negative stuns, presented GJR's (TGARCH) models. They reason that there is a positive yet critical connection between the restrictive mean and contingent instability of the abundance return on stocks when the standard GARCH-M system is utilized to demonstrate the stochastic unpredictability of stock returns.

Then again, Campbell's Instrumental Variable Model gauges a negative connection between contingent mean and restrictive instability. They observationally demonstrate that the standard GARCH-M model is misspecified and elective determinations give compromise between these two outcomes. At the point when the model is adjusted to permit positive and negative unexpected comes back to impactsly affect contingent fluctuation, they locate that a negative connection between the restrictive mean and the restrictive change of the overabundance return on stocks. At last, they likewise locate that positive and negative sudden returns have inconceivably various consequences for future restrictive fluctuation and the normal effect of a positive surprising return is negative. Engle and Ng (1993) measure the effect of awful and uplifting news on instability and report an asymmetry in securities exchange unpredictability towards uplifting news when contrasted with awful news. All the more explicitly, advertise instability is thought to be related with the landing of news. An unexpected drop in cost is related with terrible news then again, an abrupt increment in cost is said to be because of uplifting news. Engle and Ng locate that terrible news make more instability than uplifting news of equivalent significance. This hilter kilter normal for market instability has come to be known as the "influence impact". The investigations of Black (1976), Christie (1982), FSS (1987), Schwert (1990) and Pagan and Schwert (1989) likewise clarify this unpredictability asymmetry with the" influence impact". Be that as it may, their models don't catch this asymmetry. Engle and Ng (1993) give new

demonstrative tests and models, which fuse the asymmetry between the sort of news and unpredictability, they encourage analysts to utilize such upgraded models when considering instability.

Batra [2004] in an article entitled" stock return unpredictability designs in India" inspected the time shifting example of stock return instability and hilter kilter Garch procedure. He likewise analyzed abrupt moves in instability and the likelihood of fortuitous event of these unexpected movements with huge financial and political occasions both of local and worldwide starting point. Likewise, he inspected financial exchange cycles for variety in plentifulness, term and unpredictability of the bull and bear stages over the reference time frame. His investigation uncovered that progression of the securities exchange or the FII passage specifically does not have any immediate ramifications for the stock returns unpredictability. No basic changes in the stock value instability around any progression occasion or all the more significantly around the dates of breaks for unpredictability in FII deals and buys in India were watched. The obvious connection for the most part drawn between stock value instability and the unexpected withdrawal or overwhelming buy by the FIIs for example the unstable FII interest in the securities exchange did not appear to remain constant for India. In every one of the stages, as outlined by their auxiliary break examination, the period somewhere in the range of 1991:05 and 1993:12 was the most unpredictable.

STOCK EXCHANGE

period with the standard deviation of stock returns surpassing that in different periods. The examination likewise demonstrated that when all is said in done over the references time frame the bull stages are longer, the plentifulness of the bull is higher and the unpredictability in the stages is additionally higher. He likewise inferred that the increases during extensions are bigger than the misfortunes during the bear periods of financial exchange cycles. The bull stage, in correlation with its pre advancement character was progressively steady in the post progression stage. The consequences of their investigation additionally, demonstrated that the securities exchange cycles have hosed in the ongoing past. At long last, the examination demonstrated that instability has declined in the post advancement stage for both the bull and bear period of the securities exchange cycles.

Kumar [2006] in an article entitled "near execution of unpredictability guaging models in Indian markets" assessed the similar capacity of various factual and monetary instability anticipating models with regards to Indian stock and forex markets. In light of the out of test conjectures and the quantity of assessed estimates that rank a specific technique as predominant he reasoned that it is conceivable to surmise that EWMA will prompt enhancements in unpredictability figures in the financial exchanges and the GARCH (5,1) will accomplish the equivalent in the forex advertise. As he closed, his discoveries were in opposition to the discoveries of Brailsford and Paff [1996] who found no single strategy as prevalent, however the outcomes in financial exchange were like the discoveries of Akigray [1989] , McNillian [2001], Anderson and Bollerslev[1998] and Anderson et al [1999] in the Forex advertise. Banerjee and Sarkar [2006] in an article entitled" long memory property of stock returns; proof from India" inspected the nearness of long memory in resource returns in the Indian financial exchange. They found that albeit every day returns are to a great extent uncorrelated, there is solid proof of long memory in its contingent change. They reasoned that FIGARCH is the best-fit unpredictability model and it beats other Garch type models. They likewise saw that the influence impact is immaterial in SenSex returns and subsequently symmetric instability models end up being predominant as they anticipated.

IMPORTANT MODELS

Customary econometric models expect a steady one period estimate change. In any case, numerous money related time arrangement show instability bunching, that is, autoregressive restrictive heteroskedasticity (ARCH). The point of this paper is to appraise restrictive instability models with an end goal to catch the notable highlights of securities exchange unpredictability in India and assess the models as far as out-ofsample gauge precision. The paper likewise researches whether

there is any influence impact in Indian organizations. The estimation of unpredictability is made at the full scale level on two noteworthy market records, to be specific, S&P CNX Nifty and BSE Sensex.

The fitted model is then assessed as far as its estimating exactness on these two files. Likewise, 50 individual organizations' offer costs right now incorporated into S&P CNX Nifty are utilized to look at the heteroskedastic conduct of the Indian securities exchange at the miniaturized scale level. The vanilla GARCH (1, 1) model has been fitted to both the market records. We locate: a solid proof of time-changing instability an inclination of the times of high and low unpredictability to group a high steadiness and consistency of unpredictability. Restrictive instability of market return arrangement from January 1991 to June 2003 demonstrates a reasonable proof of unpredictability moving over the period where rough changes in offer costs bunch around the blast of 1992. Despite the fact that the more expensive rate development began in light of solid monetary basics, the genuine reason for unexpected development seems, by all accounts, to be the defect of the market. The anticipating capacity of the fitted GARCH (1, 1) model has been assessed by evaluating parameters at first over exchanging days of the in-test period and after that utilizing the evaluated parameters to later information, accordingly shaping out-of-test estimates on two market lists. These outof-test unpredictability figures have been contrasted with genuine acknowledged instability. Three elective strategies have been pursued to quantify three sets of gauge and acknowledged unpredictability. In every technique, the unpredictability figures are assessed and looked at through well known measures. To look at the data substance of figures, a relapse based effectiveness test has additionally been performed. It is seen that the GARCH (1, 1) model gives sensibly great gauges of market unpredictability. While going to 50 individual fundamental offers, it is seen that the GARCH (1, 1) model has been fitted for practically all organizations. Just for four organizations, GARCH models of higher request might be increasingly effective. When all is said in done, instability is by all accounts of an industrious sort. Just eight out of 50 offers show critical influence impacts and truly need a deviated GARCH model, for example, EGARCH to catch their unpredictability grouping which is left for future research. The ramifications of the examination are as per the following:

The different GARCH models give great conjectures of unpredictability and are helpful for portfolio distribution, execution estimation, alternative valuation, and so on. Given the foreseen high development of the economy and expanding enthusiasm of remote financial specialists towards the nation, it is critical to comprehend the example of securities exchange unpredictability in India which is time-fluctuating, determined, and unsurprising. This may help enhance global portfolios and detail supporting techniques.

MODELS OF ASYMMETRIC VOLATILITY

One of the primary restrictions of GARCH models is that they enforce a symmetric response of volatility to positive and negative shocks. This arises since the conditional variance in GARCH model is function of the magnitudes of the lagged residuals and not their signs (in other words, by squaring the lagged error in GARCH, the sign is lost). However, it has been argued that a negative shock to financial time series is likely to cause volatility to rise by more than a positive shock of the same magnitude. In the case of equity returns, such asymmetries are typically attributed to leverage effects, whereby a fall in the value of a firm's stock causes the firm's debt to equity ratio, to rise. This leads shareholders, who bear the residual risk of the firm, to perceive their future cash flow stream as being relatively more risky (Brooks, 2002).

Instability and acknowledged unpredictability have been estimated following three elective strategies. In every technique, the unpredictability gauges are assessed and looked at through prevalent measures, i.e., ME, PRSE, MAPE, and MAP. To look at the data substance of conjectures, a relapse based proficiency test has additionally been performed. It is seen that the GARCH (1,1) model gives sensibly great conjectures of market unpredictability. While going to 50 individual hidden offers, it is seen that unpredictability bunching is available for everything except one offer. Notwithstanding, evacuation of one single anomaly demonstrates the proof of unpredictability grouping for that specific offer as well. The GARCH (1,1) model has been fitted for practically all organizations. Just for four organizations, the

GARCH models of higher request might be increasingly fruitful. At the point when the GARCH (1,1) model is evaluated, the total of α + β gauge is observed to be under 1 for everything except one offer. For five offers, the whole of α + β gauge, however not as much as solidarity, is in reality near solidarity. These offers might be better demonstrated by an alternate GARCH model. The response coefficient (alpha) and the steadiness coefficient (beta) uncover that there are a few offers being more persevering and less receptive in unpredictability than the others thus their GARCH volatilities are increasingly tenacious. In actuality, a few offers are not so much relentless but rather more responsive in unpredictability than the remainder of the 50 offers. In this way, the GARCH volatilities of these offers are spikier. In any case, all in all, instability is by all accounts of a constant sort. Just eight out of 50 offers show critical influence impacts and truly need a topsy-turvy GARCH model, for example, EGARCH to catch their instability grouping which is left for future research.

Suggestions One of the targets of the different GARCH models is to give great gauges of unpredictability which would then be able to be utilized for an assortment of purposes including portfolio allotment, execution estimation, alternative valuation, and so forth. Financial specialists looking to dodge hazard, for instance, may change their portfolios by lessening their responsibilities to resources whose volatilities are anticipated to increment or by utilizing increasingly complex unique enhancement ways to deal with support anticipated unpredictability increment. In a market where such techniques work, harmony resource costs ought to react to estimates of unpredictability just as to the hazard avoidance of financial specialists.

Once more, perceiving that portfolio β is commonly the proportion of the covariance of an individual offer with the blemish ket to the change of the market recommends that covariances and betas are perhaps forecastable similarly as fluctuations are forecastable. There are, accordingly, a few explanations behind the future scientists to be keen on multivariate GARCH forms that model fluctuations as well as covariances. Time-differing contingent unpredictability model may likewise be utilized to gauge VaR all the more fittingly. Additionally, it is notable that alternative costs as registered by the Black-Scholes equation rely on the change of the basic resource. Operating at a profit Scholes system, this change is thought to be consistent and subsequently its estimation is basic. Numerous experts accept that the Black-Scholes structure gives a decent guess yet that it must have state-of-the-art fluctuation gauges, even conceivably the suggested volatilities from some other contract or past exchange. The contingent fluctuation shapes a decent gauge for estimating alternatives. At last, given the foreseen high development of the economy and expanding enthusiasm of remote speculators towards the nation, it is critical to comprehend the example of financial exchange unpredictability in India which is time-changing, persevering, and unsurprising. This may help broaden worldwide portfolios and figure supporting systems.

CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have shown how some recently developed models for financial time series are used. The special feature of the models is that the series is modeled as a function of the previous values of the variable. The simple GARCH (1, 1) model has been estimated and then evaluated in terms of out-of-sample forecast accuracy on two market indices: S&P CNX Nifty and BSE Sensex. GARCH parameters have also been estimated on 50 individual companies currently included in Nifty. Finally, the leverage effect has been investigated for all the data series. Once the volatility clustering is confirmed, the vanilla GARCH (1,1) model has been fitted to both the indices. The conditional volatility for the two series has been plotted in Figures 3 and 4 over the period from January 1991 to June 2003. In both the figures, we find strong evidence of time-varying volatility. We also find that periods of high and low volatility tend to cluster. Also, volatility shows high persistence and is predictable. What are the possible sources for the time-varying volatility found in the daily market return? The related study reveals that much of the movement in stock market return volatility is not explained by the fundamental economic factors. It is possible that the presence of 'fads' due to the actions of noise traders in the market may be associated with these immeasurable elements of stock price volatility. In fact, the initial boost up of share prices and the resultant fluctuation was due to fundamental economic factors of the period which were

supplemented by a number of liberalizing policies and procedures of the government. However, the real cause of the excessive movement was the irrational behaviour of the market where the speculators along with the frenzy investors drove the price away from fundamental level resulting in fads or bubble as the natural outcome of the price formation process.

REFERENCES

1. Fair, R C and Shiller, R J (1989). "The Informational Content of Ex-ante Forecasts," Review of Economics and Statistics,
2. Engle, R F; Ito, T and Lin, Wen-Ling (1990). "Meteor Showers or Heat Waves? Heteroskedastic Intra-Daily Volatility
3. Engle, R F and Ng, Victor K (1993). "Measuring and Testing the Impact of News on Volatility," Journal of Finance, 48(5), 1749-1778
4. Evaluation of Volatility Forecasting Techniques," Journal of Banking and Finance, 20(3), 419-438.
5. Bollerslev, T. (1986). Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity. Journal of Econometrics, 31, (3), 307-327
6. Chakrabarti, B. B. & Nathan, O. B. (2013). Estimating stock index volatility in India (IIM Calcutta
7. Engle, R. F. (1982). Autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation. Econometrica, 50, (4), 987-1007.
8. Vijayalakshmi, S. & Gaur, S. (2013). Modeling volatility: Indian stock and foreign exchange markets. Journal of Emerging Issues in Economics, Finance and Banking, 2, (1), 583-598