



2. To compare the academic achievement of the students in science experimental group (taught by Blended Learning Approach) and controlled group (taught by traditional approach).

### **HYPOTHESES**

1. There will be no significant difference in the mean scores of the Experimental and Control groups at the pretesting condition.
2. There will be no significant difference in the mean scores of the Experimental and Control groups at the post testing condition.

### **METHOD OF STUDY**

Parallel group experimental design was used as the method of study. Purposive sampling technique was used to select the sample of the study. The sample was selected based on the 1st mid-term marks in science. Thirty students of average mark scores (50-55%) were pooled and equalled into two groups. The control group (15 students) and the treatment group (15 students) were equated with equal level of students with respect to their academic achievement scores. The experimental group was taught the concept through blended learning approach. The control group was taught through traditional method.

The treatment lasted for a period of ten days. The online component consists of interactive multimedia learning modules which provided students with relevant knowledge towards the topic light, blogs for students to document their work was created. In the classroom the teacher would have discussions with the class on the topic, students would have group discussions and consultation sessions with the teacher.

### **RESEARCH TOOLS**

A Self-made achievement test on science in one unit (Light) was administered to all the students in the sample. The test was conducted on two occasions as a pre-test and as a post-test. The purpose of the pre-test was to examine the student's prior knowledge and post-test was to analyse the academic performance after lessons are taught through blended learning and traditional approach. The tool consists of 50 test items. Each test item has 4 multiple choices, of which, one is the correct answer and three are distracters.

### **SCORING PROCEDURE**

The scoring procedure was simple. For each right answer, one mark was awarded and no mark was given for the wrong response. The aggregate score of an individual reflects his/her knowledge regarding light.

### **RELIABILITY**

A Pilot study was conducted with 25 IX standard students and the reliability of the tool was calculated using test re-test method. The reliability coefficient of the tool was found to be 0.81.

### **DELIMITATIONS**

1. IX standard students of Blue Star School alone constituted the sample of the study
2. The sample was drawn using purposive sampling technique.
3. "Light" is the content selected for the present study
4. Blended learning approach was used.

### **STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES**

To analyse and interpret the data both descriptive and inferential statistical techniques were used. The data collected was analysed and interpreted using mean, standard deviation and t-test.

Test	Group	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	't' value	Level of Significance (0.05)
Pre-test	Control Group	15	25.47	4.19	0.533	0.597	N.S
	Experimental Group	15	24.93	4.28			

Test	Group	N	Mean	SD	Mean Difference	't' value	Level of Significance (0.05)
Post-test	Control Group	15	26.07	3.56	11.53	12.397	S
	Experimental Group	15	36.70	3.92			

**FINDINGS:**

There is a significant difference in the mean scores of the Experimental and Control groups at the post testing condition. Mean score of experimental group is found to be high which proves Blended learning approach in teaching science is effective.

**EDUCATIONAL IMPLICATIONS**

1. In this study blended learning approach was carried out at the rate of 50%-50% (50% face to face, 50% online). Various blended learning approach can be conducted as mixed ratios. Furthermore, they can be benefitted from diversified strategies and methods such as collaboration methods, project based learning etc.
2. The studies held on future can be carried out using enriched web materials which would be produced by professional content developer team.
3. This study has offered a blended learning approach for teaching science by using traditional learning environment and online learning environment together. Blended learning approach can be carried out for other subjects also.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this study has found that students' science achievement was enhanced when the learning environment was one that was supported by face to face as well as online learning environments. This provides positive support to the use of blended learning environments towards achievement enhancement.

**REFERENCE**

1. Al-Qahtani, A.A.Y. and Higgins S.E. (2012). Effects of Traditional, Blended and E-Learning on Students' Achievement in Higher Education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*.
2. Ceylan V. and A. E. Kesici. (2017). Effect of Blended Learning to Academic Achievement. *Journal of Human Sciences*. (14).
3. P. Valiathan, "Blended learning models," (2002) *Learning Circuits*, vol. 3, no. 8,
4. B. Klimova, "Evaluation of the blended learning approach in the course of business English—A case study," *Emerging Technologies for Education*, LNCS 10676, pp. 326–335.
5. Tseng, H. & Walsh, E. (2016). Blended VS. Traditional Course Delivery: Comparing Students' Motivation, Learning Outcomes, and Preferences *Quarterly Review of Distance Education*, 17 (1).



**Dr. I. Tharvin Sumi**  
Assistant Professor

LBP PUBLICATION