



## HAPPINESS AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN RELATION TO GENDER AND FACULTY

Aditya Chamuah<sup>1</sup> and Dr. R. Sankar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Research Scholar of Psychology and Corresponding author.

<sup>2</sup>Head (i/c) and Assistant Professor , Department of Psychology, Annamalai University Tamil Nadu, India.



### ABSTRACT:

**Objective:** - Happiness is a positive emotional state. It involves the detailed evaluation of one's current position in the world. It is the sum total of positive effect and general life satisfaction. Happiness is one of the leading pursuits among university students. The present study examined effect of gender and course of study on happiness among university students. **Method:** - This study is based on sample of 60 university students divided into two groups 30 males and 30 females. In each group 15 students have science & 15 have arts subjects. Samples were selected through snowball sampling method. The Happiness among the students was measured using Happiness Scale constructed by Dr. Rastogi and Dr. Moorjani . Mean & ANOVA were applied for data analysis. **Results:** - The results of the present study demonstrated that male student's shows higher happiness than female students as the mean score of male students (278.00) is more than mean score of female students (238.20). Results also revealed that students from arts faculty have also high happiness tendency than science students as the obtained mean scores of arts students (269.23) is more than mean scores of students of science faculty (246.97). **Conclusions:** - Male and arts students have high happiness than female students and science students.

**KEYWORDS:** Happiness, Gender and Faculty.

### INTRODUCTION

Happiness is a positive emotional state. It involves the detailed evaluation of one's current position in the world. It is the sum total of positive effect and general life satisfaction. It is flourishing of human beings and associated with living a life of virtue. The happiness level of a person is governed by three factors which are a set point for happiness, happiness relevant circumstantial factors, and happiness- producing activities and practices. There are two traditions on happiness. They are eudemonic and hedonic.

Happiness is one of the important pursuits of life of every individual .Every person desires to be happy. Happiness is a positive emotional state that is exclusively defined by each person. Modern Western psychology generally holds a post- materialistic view of happiness that gives emphasis on pleasure , satisfaction and meaning in life.

Every person desires to lead a long life. Quality of life is the most important pre-requisite for all people. People favor happier and pleasant experiences. Hedonic view of happiness defines good life in terms of personal happiness. Hedonic psychology is associated with philosophy of hedonism. The chief goal of life according to hedonic psychology is pursuit of happiness and pleasure. Subjective well-being is an offshoot of hedonism .It is defined as life satisfaction, the presence of positive affect and relative absence of negative affect.

The eudemonic tradition of happiness follows Aristotle's writings and defines happiness as self-realization. Living with your true self is good life. It results from our personal expressiveness.

### REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Research on happiness has been growing on decades.

Lyubomirsky et al., (2006) found out that happy people are able to achieve across various lifedivisions.

Strack et al., (1985) found that students' ratings of happiness depended not only on the hedonic quality of the life experiences they happened to recall but also on the way in which they thought about them.

Chorro et al., (2017) found that students value happiness as a basic feature of life found from health, family and friends.

Flynn et al., (2015) found that variance in happiness of students were determined by financial security, self-esteem and academic success.

Abdollahi et al., (2019) showed that emotional intelligence emerged as significant moderator in the link between perfectionism and happiness.

Sapmaz et al., (2018) modeled the happiness classification of university students- as addicted, addiction risk, threshold and non-addicted to internet usage. There was a significant correlation between internet addiction and happiness.

Russo et al., (2014) examined how early-childhood educators pursuing their graduate degrees perceive the concept of happiness, as conveyed in visual representations. The findings revealed that the concept of happiness is perceived as love, spirituality and emotions. In their collages, approximately half of the participants represent happiness as a list of separate and fragmented components such as children, health etc., while others attempted to find connections and relations in order to achieve a more profound view of happiness.

Tingaz et al., (2018) compared the happiness and thinking styles of undergraduate students in the Physical Education and Sports Teaching Department and different departments, and to examine the relations between the students' happiness levels and their thinking styles. The analysis indicated that the Department of Physical Education and Sports Teaching students' average level of happiness was significantly higher than in some other departments. The happiness levels and thinking styles did not differ significantly by gender. There was a positive correlation between their faith in intuition and happiness.

Balish et al., (2016) found that sport membership brings happiness across many different societies.

Guilherme et al., (2017) examined that happiness education is of utmost importance in educational settings. Education should be understood as a political act and put forward a critical view of the simplistic notion of happiness education. Society must strive for a fuller, richer understanding of happiness in education, bringing back the pleasure to teach and to study, and transform educational settings in places that strengthen and encourage happiness in our lives.

Coskun (2017) explored the relation between values and happiness of the university students in the Context of Postmodernity. The university students' values were found to be very high while their happiness levels were above the average; a positive and medium level relationship was identified between participants' values and happiness levels. Female students were found to have higher levels of values and happiness than male students.

Lee et al., (2016) examined how social and individual factors influence the happiness of university students. The analyses suggest that students' perceptions of social support significantly predicted their happiness. Moreover their happiness was significantly shaped by their beliefs in their abilities to overcome difficult experiences.

### OBJECTIVES

1. To find the effect of gender on happiness among students in university.

2. To find the effect of faculty on happiness among students in university.
3. To find the interaction effect of gender and faculty on happiness among students in university.

### HYPOTHESES

1. There is significant effect of gender on happiness among students in university.
2. There is significant effect of faculty on happiness among students in university.
3. There is significant interaction effect of gender and faculty on happiness among students in university.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

**Variables:** An experimental variable is gender (Male and Female) and faculty (Science and Arts) and the criterion variable is happiness.

**Sample :** In the present study the investigator take sample of 60 students in the Annamalai University campus. These 60 subjects were equally divided into two main groups (male and female). Further these two groups were divided into two more sub- groups (Science and Arts). Samples were selected through snowball sampling method. **Measuring tool:** Happiness Scale constructed by Dr. Rastogi and Dr. Moorjani (2017) was used to measure the happiness among university students. The scale consists of 62 items. The reliability and validity of the scale was found to be 0.88 and 0.91 respectively.

### RESULTS

The present research endeavor was aimed to find out the effect of gender and faculty on happiness. For this purpose the data was collected from Annamalai University students by using Happiness Scale constructed by Dr. Rastogi and Dr. Moorjani. The obtained scores were assigned for different responses according to the item. The scores were arranged in tabular form to find Mean value; ANOVA was applied to test hypotheses. The obtained results are shown in tables given below.

**Table-1, Showing mean scores of male and female students**

Gender	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Male	30	278.00	17.840
Female	30	238.20	49.499
Total	60	258.10	41.994

**Table-2, Showing mean scores of science and arts students**

Faculty	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Arts	30	269.23	11.316
Science	30	246.97	56.597
Total	60	258.10	41.994

The results of present study demonstrated that male students shows high happiness mode than female students as the mean score of male students (278) is more than mean score of female students (238). Students from arts faculty have also high happiness mode than science students also mean scores of students of arts faculty (269.23) is more than mean scores of students of science faculty(246.97).

**Table-3, Showing ANOVA happiness score of gender and faculty**

Source of Variation	Type III Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Gender	23760.600	1	23760.600	52.471*	P<0.05
Faculty	7437.067	1	7437.067	16.423*	P<0.05

Gender * Faculty	47489.067	1	47489.067	104.871*	P<0.05
Within Group	25358.667	56	452.833		
Total	4100982.000	60			
a. R Squared = .756 (Adjusted R Squared = .743)					

\*denotes significant at 0.05 level of significance.

A close look at the ANOVA table indicates that the obtained F-value of the all experimental variable was found significant at 0.05 level Gender [F-(56, 1) = 52.471, P<.05], Faculty [F-(56, 1) = 16.423, P<.05] and Gender\*Faculty [F-(56, 1) = 104.871, P<.05]. Therefore our all the hypotheses are accepted.

### CONCLUSION:

The results of present study demonstrated that male students shows high level of happiness than female students as the mean score of male students is more than mean score of female students .Students from arts faculty have also high level of happiness than science students as mean scores of students of arts faculty is more than mean scores of students of science faculty . A close look at the ANOVA table indicates that the obtained F-value of the all experimental variable was found significant at 0.05 level Gender [F-(56,1) =52.471,P<.05],Faculty[F-(56,1)=16.423,P<.05]and Gender\*Faculty[F-(56,1) = 104.871, P<.05]. Therefore our all the hypotheses are accepted.

### SUGGESTION

The findings of study show a glimpse of the impact of student's faculty and gender on happiness. However, more researches are needed to study the moderating factors of happiness among different professions, culture as well as age groups. The findings of students could be compared with those found in other area of the world to decode similarities and differences.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author appreciates all those who participated in the study and helped to facilitate the research process.

**Conflict of Interests:** The author declared no conflict of interests.

### REFERENCES

1. Lyubomirsky, S., & Diener, E. (2005). The Benefits of Frequent Positive Affect: Does Happiness Lead to Success?. *Psychological Bulletin*, Vol. 131, No. 6, 803– 855.
2. Strack, F., Schwarz, N., & Gschneidinger, E. (1985). Happiness and reminiscing: The role of time perspective, affect, and mode of thinking. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol. 49, No. 6, 1460-1469.
3. Chorro, E.G., Fernández, M.A.M., and Corbí, R.G. (2017). Happiness and Values in the Formation of Personal Identity in Students of the Fifth and Sixth Grade at Primary School. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, v5, n5, p881-890, 2017.
4. Flynn, D.M. and MacLeod, S. (2015). Determinants of Happiness in Undergraduate University Students. *College Student Journal*, v49, n3, p452-460, Fall 2015.
5. Abdollahi, A., Hosseinian, S., Panahipour, H., Najafi, Mahmoud, S., and Fariba, F. (2019). Emotional Intelligence as a Moderator between Perfectionism and Happiness. *School Psychology International*, v40, n1, p88-103, Feb 2019.

6. Sapmaz, F. and Totan, T. (2018). Modeling the Happiness Classification of Addicted, Addiction Risk, Threshold and Non-Addicted Groups on Internet Usage. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, v6, n1, p45-55, 2018.
7. Tingaz, E, Ozan, H, Muhsin, B, Hacer Ö, Gökyürek, B and Çakiroglu, T. (2018). A Comparative Study of Students' Happiness Levels and Thinking Styles in Physical Education and Sport Teaching, and Other Departments, in Turkey. *International Journal of Higher Education*, v7, n3, p163-170, 2018.
8. Guilherme, A., Souza, F., and Ana, L. (2017). "Happiness Education": A Pedagogical-Political Commitment. *Policy Futures in Education*, v15, n1, p6-19, Jan 2017.
9. Balish, S., Conacher, D., and Dithurbide, L. (2016). Sport and Recreation Are Associated with Happiness across Countries. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, v87, n4, p382-388, 2016.
10. Coskun, Y. (2017). Values within the Context of Postmodernity and Their Roles in Happiness: A Research on University Students. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, v5, n12, p2331-2340, 2017.
11. Russo-Zimet, G. and Segel, S. (2014). The Concept of Happiness as Conveyed in Visual Representations: Analysis of the Work of Early Childhood Educators. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, v2, n4, p97-107, Oct 2014.
12. Lee, D.S., and Padilla, A. M. (2016). Predicting South Korean University Students' Happiness through Social Support and Efficacy Beliefs. *International Journal for the Advancement of Counseling*, v38, n1, p48-60, Mar 2016.



**Aditya Chamuah**

**Ph.D. Research Scholar of Psychology and Corresponding author.**