



ON FRACTIONAL INTEGRAL OPERATORS ASSOCIATED WITH ALEPH-FUNCTION FOR REAL POSITIVE SYMMETRIC DEFINITE MATRIX

Yashwant Singh

Department of Mathematics,
Government College, Kaladera, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India.

ABSTRACT :

In the present paper, the author has defined fractional integral operators associated with Aleph(\aleph)-function for real positive symmetric definite matrix . Some special cases of our operators have been mentioned.

KEYWORDS : Fractional Integral Operators, \aleph -function, H -function, Matrix transform, Symmetric matrix
(2010 Mathematical Subject Classification : 33C99)

INTRODUCTION:

(a) \aleph -function with matrix argument

Let X is a $p \times p$ real symmetric positive definite matrix of functionally independent variables. Let the \aleph - function introduced by Suland et.al. [7] defined and represented in the following form:

$$\aleph[z] = \aleph_{p_i, q_i; \tau_i; r}^{m, n}[z] = \aleph_{p_i, q_i; \tau_i; r}^{m, n} \left[z \mid \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n}, [\tau_i(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i})]_{n+1, p_i} \\ (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m}, [\tau_i(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})]_{m+1, q_i} \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi\omega} \int_L \theta(s) z^s ds \quad (1.1)$$

Where $\omega = \sqrt{-1}$;

$$\theta(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j - \beta_j s) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j + \alpha_j s)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left\{ \prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma(1 - b_{j_i} + \beta_{j_i} s) \prod_{j=n+1}^{p_i} \Gamma(a_{j_i} - \alpha_{j_i} s) \right\}} \quad (1.2)$$

We shall use the following notations:

$$A^* = (a_j, \alpha_j)_{1, n}, [\tau_i(a_{j_i}, \alpha_{j_i})]_{n+1, p_i}; B^* = (b_j, \beta_j)_{1, m}, [\tau_i(b_{j_i}, \beta_{j_i})]_{m+1, q_i}$$

It is assumed that $\aleph(XY) = \aleph(YX)$ for real symmetric $m \times m$ positive definite matrices X and Y , $\aleph(X)$ is defined by the following integral equation:

$$\int_{X>0} |X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} \aleph(X) dX = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma_m(b_j - \beta_j \xi) \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma_m(\frac{m+1}{2} - a_j + \alpha_j \xi)}{\sum_{i=1}^r \tau_i \left\{ \prod_{j=m+1}^{q_i} \Gamma_m(\frac{m+1}{2} - b_{ji} + \beta_{ji} \xi) \prod_{j=N+1}^{p_i} \Gamma_m(a_{ji} - \alpha_{ji} \xi) \right\}} \quad (1.3)$$

(b) Matrix transform

A generalized matrix transform or M-transform of a function $f(X)$ of a $m \times m$ real symmetric positive definite or strictly negative definite matrix X is defined as follows:

$$M_f(s) = \int_{X>0} |X|^{s-\frac{m+1}{2}} f(X) dX \quad (X > 0) \quad (1.4)$$

Whenever $M_f(s)$ exists. Also $f(X)$ is assumed to be a symmetric function i.e. $f(BX) = f(XB) = f(B^{1/2}XB^{1/2})$ for $B = B' > 0$. When $X < 0$ replace X by $-X$ in M -transform.

(c) Integral operators involving \aleph -function

$$Y[f(X)] = Y\left[f(X) \middle| \sigma, \rho, \gamma;_{B^*}^{A^*}\right] =$$

$$\frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} \aleph\left[\gamma(1-UX^{-1}) \middle|_{B^*}^{A^*}\right] f(U) dU \quad (1.5)$$

$$N[f(X)] = N\left[f(X) \middle| \delta, \rho, \gamma;_{B^*}^{A^*}\right] =$$

$$\frac{|X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U > X} |U|^{-\delta-\rho} |U-X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} \aleph\left[\gamma(I-XU^{-1}) \middle|_{B^*}^{A^*}\right] f(U) dU \quad (1.6)$$

The above defined operators exists under the following conditions:

- (i) $p_i \geq 1, q_i < \infty, \frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i} = 1, |\arg(I-a)| < \pi$, (ii) $(\operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > \frac{1}{q_i}, \operatorname{Re}(\delta) > \frac{1}{p_i}, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2})$
- (iii) $\operatorname{Re}(\alpha + \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \tau_i \frac{b_j}{\beta_j}) > \frac{m+1}{2}$ (iv) $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$.

The last condition ensures that $Y[f(X)]$ and $N[f(X)]$ both exist and also both belong to $L_p(0, \infty)$.

2. Main Results

The following theorems of the operators defined by (1.5) and (1.6) have been established in the expression of matrix transform:

Theorem 1: If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$ $1 \leq P \leq 2$ [orf $(X) \in M_p(0, \infty)$ and $P > 2$] where

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha + \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \frac{b_j}{\beta_j}\right) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma) > -\frac{1}{q_i}, \operatorname{Re}(t) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \operatorname{Re}(\sigma - t + 1) > \frac{m+1}{2} \text{ and } |\arg(I - a)| < \pi \text{ then}$$

$$M\{Y[f(X)]\} = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(\sigma - t + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \mathfrak{S}_{\rho_i+1, q_i+1; \tau_i, r}^{m, n+1} \left[\gamma I \left\| \begin{matrix} \left(\frac{m+1}{2}, 1, 1\right), A^* \\ B^*, \left(\frac{m+1}{2} - t - \sigma - \rho, 1, 1\right) \end{matrix} \right. \right] M[f(U)] \quad (2.1)$$

Where I is $m \times m$ identity matrix.

Proof: Taking the matrix transform of equation (1.5), we get

$$M\{Y[f(X)]\} = \int_{X>0} |X|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} \left[\frac{|X|^{-\sigma - \rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |X - U|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} \mathfrak{S} \left[\gamma (I - UX^{-1}) \Big|_{B^*}^{A^*} \right] f(U) dU \right] dX$$

Changing the order of integration which is permissible under the conditions stated with the theorem, we obtain

$$M\{Y[f(X)]\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{X>0} |U|^\sigma f(U) dU \int_{0 < U < X} |X|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} |X - U|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} \mathfrak{S} \left[\gamma (I - UX^{-1}) \Big|_{B^*}^{A^*} \right] dX$$

On evaluating X -integral with the help of the result :

$$\int_0^1 |X|^{\delta - \frac{m+1}{2}} |I - X|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} \mathfrak{S} \left[\gamma XZ \Big|_{B^*}^{A^*} \right] dX = \Gamma_m(\rho) \mathfrak{S}_{\rho_i+1, q_i+1; \tau_i, r}^{m, n+1} \left[\gamma Z \Big|_{B^*}^{\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \delta, 1\right), A^*} \right]$$

Where $\operatorname{Re}\left(\alpha + \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \tau_i \frac{b_j}{\beta_j}\right) > \frac{m+1}{2}$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2} - 1$.

We obtain the required result.

Theorem 2: If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$ $1 \leq P \leq 2$ [or $f(X) \in M_p(0, \infty)$ and $P > 2$] where $\text{Re}(\delta + \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \frac{b_j}{\beta_j}) > \frac{m+1}{2}$

, $\text{Re}(\delta) > -\frac{1}{q_i}$, $\text{Re}(t) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, $\text{Re}(\delta + t) > \frac{m+1}{2}$ and $|\arg(I - a)| < \pi$ then

$$M\{N[f(X)]\} = \frac{\Gamma_m(\delta+1)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \mathfrak{S}_{p_i+1, q_i+1; \tau_i, r}^{m, n+1} \left[\gamma I \left[\begin{matrix} \left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \delta, 1\right)^{A^*} \\ B^* \left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \delta - \rho, 1\right) \end{matrix} \right] M[f(U)] \right] \tag{2.2}$$

Where I is $m \times m$ identity matrix.

Proof: Taking the matrix transform of equation (1.6), we get

$$M\{N[f(X)]\} = \int_{X>0} |X|^{\delta - \frac{m+1}{2}} \left[\frac{|X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^{-\sigma-\rho} |U-X|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} \mathfrak{S}_{p_i, q_i; \tau_i, r}^{m, n} \left[\gamma(I - XU^{-1}) \Big|_{B^*}^{A^*} \right] f(U) dU \right] dX \tag{2.3}$$

And changing the order of integration and evaluation X -integral with the help of (2.3), we obtain the required result.

Theorem 3:

If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$, $g(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$ where $\text{Re}(\delta + \min_{1 \leq j \leq M} \frac{b_j}{\beta_j}) > \frac{m+1}{2}$,

$\text{Re}(\delta) > -\frac{1}{q_i}$, $\text{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, $\text{Re}(\sigma) > \max\left(\frac{1}{p_i} + \frac{1}{q_i}\right)$ and $|\arg(I - a)| < \pi$ then

$$\int_{X>0} f(X) Y \left[g(X) \Big|_{B^*}^{\sigma, \rho, \gamma; A^*} \right] dX = \int_{X>0} g(X) N \left[f(X) \Big|_{B^*}^{\sigma, \rho, \gamma; A^*} \right] dX \tag{2.4}$$

Proof: Equation (2.4) immediately follows on interpreting it with the help of equations (1.5) and (1.6).

Special Cases

(i) If we put $m = 1, n = 1, p = 2, q = 2, \gamma = 1, \tau_i = 1, r = 1$, then the operators (1.5) and (1.6) reduce to their Mellin transforms in the following form:

$$Y[f(X)] = \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |X-U|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} H_{2,2}^{1,2} \left[(I - UX^{-1}) \right] f(U) dU$$

Here

$$Y[f(X)] = Y \left[f(X) \Big|_{(b_1, \beta_1), (b_2, \beta_2); 1, 2}^{\sigma, \rho, 1, \left(\begin{matrix} (a_1; \alpha_1; 1), (a_2; \alpha_2) \end{matrix} \right)_{1,2}} \right]$$

And

$$H_{2,2}^{1,2}[(I-UX^{-1})] = H_{2,2}^{1,2}[(I-UX^{-1})]_{(b_1, \beta_1), (b_2, \beta_2; 1)}^{(a_1, \alpha_1; 1), (a_2, \alpha_2)}$$

Then

$$Y[f(X)] = \frac{\Gamma_m(\chi_1) |X|^{-\sigma - \frac{m+1}{2}}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |I-UX^{-1}|^{\rho - \beta_1 - \frac{m+1}{2}} {}_2F_1[-; (I-UX^{-1})] f(U) dU$$

Where

$$\Gamma(\chi_1) = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_1 + \beta_1\right) \Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_2 + \beta_2\right)}{\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + \beta_1 + \beta_2\right)}$$

$${}_2F_1[-; (I-UX^{-1})] = {}_2F_1\left[\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_1 - \beta_1, \frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_2 - \beta_2; \frac{m+1}{2} - \beta_1 - \beta_2; -(I-UX^{-1})\right]$$

By virtue of the result [6].

Taking M -transform on both sides, we get

$$M\{Y(f(X))\} = \frac{\Gamma_m(\chi_1) \Gamma_m(\chi_2)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} {}_3F_2(-; I) M[f(U)]$$

$$\text{Where } \Gamma(\chi_2) = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(\frac{m+1}{2} + \sigma\right) \Gamma_m(\rho + \beta_1)}{\Gamma_m\left(\sigma + \rho + \beta_1 + \frac{m+1}{2}\right)}$$

And

$${}_3F_2(-; I) = {}_3F_2\left(\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_2 + \beta_2, \sigma + \frac{m+1}{2}; \frac{m+1}{2} - \beta_2 + \beta_1, \sigma + \rho + \frac{m+1}{2} + \beta_1; I\right)$$

$$N[f(X)] = \frac{|X|^\sigma}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U > X} |U|^\sigma |U-X|^{\rho - \frac{m+1}{2}} H_{2,2}^{1,2}[(I-XU^{-1})] f(U) dU$$

Where

$$N[f(X)] = N\left[f(X) \middle| \sigma, \rho, 1; \begin{matrix} (a_1; \alpha_1; 1), (a_2; \alpha_2) \\ (b_1; \beta_1), (b_2; \beta_2; 1) \end{matrix} \right]_{1,2}, \text{ and}$$

$$H_{2,2}^{1,2}[(I - XU^{-1})] = H_{2,2}^{1,2}[(I - XU^{-1})]_{\begin{matrix} (a_1; \alpha_1; 1), (a_2; \alpha_2) \\ (b_1; \beta_1), (b_2; \beta_2; 1) \end{matrix}}$$

Then

$$N[f(X)] = \frac{\Gamma_m(\chi_1) |X|^{\delta+\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^{-\delta-\rho} |I - XU^{-1}|^{\rho+\beta_1-\frac{m+1}{2}} {}_2F_1[-; (I - XU^{-1})] f(U) dU$$

Where

$${}_2F_1[-; (I - XU^{-1})] = {}_2F_1\left[\frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_1 + \beta_1, \frac{m+1}{2} - \alpha_2 + \beta_2; \frac{m+1}{2} + \beta_1 - \beta_2; -(I - XU^{-1})\right]$$

Taking M -transform on both sides, we get

$$M\{N(f(X))\} = \frac{(-1)^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} \Gamma_m(\chi_1) \Gamma_m(\chi_2)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} {}_2F_1(-; I) M[f(U)]$$

(ii) Putting $p = 0, q = 1, m = 1, n = 0, \gamma = 1, \tau_i = 1, r = 1$, then operators (1.5) and (1.6) reduce to their Mellin transform in the following forms:

$$Y[f(X)] = \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |X - U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} H_{0,1}^{1,0}[(I - UX^{-1})]_{(\beta,1)}^- f(U) dU$$

Where

$$Y[f(X)] = Y\left[f(X) \middle| \sigma, \rho, 1; \begin{matrix} - \\ (\beta, 1) \end{matrix} \right], \text{ and } H_{0,1}^{1,0}[(I - UX^{-1})]_{(\beta,1)}^- = |I - UX^{-1}|^\beta e^{-tr(i-UX^{-1})} \\ = \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\frac{m+1}{2}}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |I - UX^{-1}|^{\rho+\beta-\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-tr(1-UX^{-1})} f(U) dU$$

By virtue of the result [7]. Taking M -transform on both sides, we get

$$M\{Y(f(X))\} = \frac{\Gamma_m(\rho + \beta)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} M[f(U)]$$

Also

$$N[f(X)] = \frac{|X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^{-\delta-\rho} |U-X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} H_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[(I-XU^{-1}) \Big|_{(\beta,1)}^- \right] f(U) dU$$

Where

$$N[f(X)] = N \left[f(X) \Big|_{\delta, \rho, 1; (\beta,1)}^- \right], \text{ and}$$

$$H_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[(I-XU^{-1}) \Big|_{(\beta,1)}^- \right] = |I-XU^{-1}|^\beta e^{-tr(i-XU^{-1})}$$

$$= \frac{|X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^{-\delta-\frac{m+1}{2}} |I-XU^{-1}|^{\rho+\beta-\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-tr(1-XU^{-1})} f(U) dU$$

By virtue of the result [3]. Taking M -transform on both sides, we get

$$M \{ N[f(X)] \} = \frac{\Gamma_m \left(\rho - \delta + \beta - \frac{m+1}{2} \right)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} M[f(U)]$$

If we put $\alpha_j = \beta_j = 1; (j = 1, \dots, P; j = 1, \dots, Q)$ the operators reduce to G -function given by Vyas [8].

Theorem4. If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty) 1 \leq P \leq 2$ [or $f(X) \in M_p(0, \infty)$ and $P > 2$] where $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{m+1}{2}$,

$\text{Re}(\sigma) > -\frac{1}{q}, \text{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \text{Re}(\alpha - \sigma) > \frac{m+1}{2}$ and $|\arg(I-a)| < \pi$ then

$$M \{ R_{\sigma, \rho, a}^\alpha [f(X)] \} = \frac{\Gamma_m \left(\sigma - s + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) \Gamma_m(\rho + \alpha)}{\Gamma_m \left(\sigma - s + \alpha + \rho + \frac{m+1}{2} \right) \Gamma_m(\rho)}$$

$${}_1F_1 \left[\rho + \alpha; \sigma - s + \alpha + \rho + \frac{m+1}{2}; I \right] M[f(U)] \tag{2.5}$$

Proof: Using the Mellin transform of

$$R[f(X)] = R \left[{}_{\sigma, \rho, a}^{(a_r), (b_r)}; f(X) \right] =$$

$$\frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0 < U < X} |U|^\sigma |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{r,s}^{p,q} \left[a(I-UX^{-1}) \Big|_{(b_r)}^{(a_r)} \right] f(U) dU \tag{2.6}$$

We get

$$M\{R_{[\sigma,\rho,a]}^\alpha; f(X)\} = \int_{X>0} \frac{|X|^{\frac{s-m+1}{2}} |X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \left[\int_{0<U<X} |U|^\sigma |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{0,1}^{1,0} [a(I-UX^{-1})]_{(b)}^- f(U) dU \right] dX$$

Changing the order of integration which is permissible under the conditions stated with the theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{0<U<X} |X|^{s-\sigma-\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{0,1}^{1,0} [a(I-UX^{-1})] dX = \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^\sigma f(U) dU$$

$$\int_{X>U} |X|^{s-\sigma-\rho-\alpha-\frac{m+1}{2}} |X-U|^{\rho+\alpha-\frac{m+1}{2}} e^{-tr(1-UX^{-1})} dX$$

On evaluating X -integral with the help of result given by Mathai [3]

$$\int_0^1 e^{-tr(XZ)} |X|^{\delta-\frac{m+1}{2}} |I-X|^{\rho-\delta-\frac{m+1}{2}} dX = \frac{\Gamma_m(\delta)\Gamma_m(\rho-\delta)}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} {}_1F_1[\delta; \rho; -Z] \tag{2.7}$$

For $\text{Re}(\delta) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \text{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \text{Re}(\rho-\delta) > \frac{m+1}{2}$

We obtain the required result.

Theorem5. If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty) 1 \leq P \leq 2$ [or $f(X) \in M_p(0, \infty)$ and $P > 2$] where $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \text{Re}(\delta) > -\frac{1}{p}, \text{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \text{Re}(\alpha-\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ and $|\arg(I-a)| < \pi$ then

$$M\{K_{[\delta,\rho,a]}^\alpha; f(X)\} = \frac{\Gamma_m\left(\delta+s+\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\Gamma_m(\rho+\alpha)}{\Gamma_m\left(\delta+s+\alpha+\rho+\frac{m+1}{2}\right)\Gamma_m(\rho)} {}_1F_1\left[\rho+\alpha; \delta+s+\alpha+\rho+\frac{m+1}{2}; I\right] M[f(U)] \tag{2.8}$$

Proof: Using the Mellin transform of

$$K[f(X)] = K\left[\begin{matrix} (a_r), (b_r) \\ \sigma, \rho, a \end{matrix}; f(X)\right] = \frac{|X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^\delta |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{r,s}^{p,q} \left[a(I-XU^{-1}) \Big|_{(b_r)}^{(a_r)} \right] f(U) dU \tag{2.9}$$

We get

$$M\left\{K\left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \delta, \rho, a \end{matrix}; f(X)\right]\right\} = \int_{X>0} \frac{|X|^{\frac{s-m+1}{2}} |X|^\delta}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \left[\int_{U>X} |U|^{-\delta-\rho} |U-X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[a(I-XU^{-1}) \Big|_{(b_r)}^- \right] f(U) dU \right] dX \tag{2.10}$$

Changing the order of integration and evaluating X -integral with the help of (2.6), we obtain the required result.

When $M = 1, N = 0, P = 0, Q = 1, a = 1$ in (2.6) and (2.9) reduces to the following form of operators:

$$R[f(X)] = R\left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \sigma, \rho, 1 \end{matrix}; f(X)\right] = \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{0<U<X} |U|^\sigma |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[a(I-UX^{-1}) \Big|_\beta^\alpha \right] f(U) dU \tag{2.11}$$

And

$$K[f(X)] = K\left[\begin{matrix} \alpha, \beta \\ \sigma, \rho, 1 \end{matrix}; f(X)\right] = \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>X} |U|^{-\delta-\sigma} |U-X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{0,1}^{1,0} \left[a(I-UX^{-1}) \Big|_\beta^\alpha \right] f(U) dU \tag{2.12}$$

Theorem6. If $f(X) \in L_p(0, \infty)$ $1 \leq P \leq 2$ [or $f(X) \in M_p(0, \infty)$ and $P > 2$] where $\text{Re}(\alpha) > \frac{m+1}{2}$,

$\text{Re}(\sigma) > -\frac{1}{Q}$, $\text{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, $\text{Re}(\alpha - \sigma) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{P} + \frac{1}{Q} = 1$ and $|\arg(I-a)| < \pi$ then

$$M\left\{R\left[\begin{matrix} \alpha \\ \sigma, \rho, 1 \end{matrix}; f(X)\right]\right\} = \frac{\Gamma_m(\sigma + \alpha - \beta - s) \Gamma_m(\rho + \beta)}{\Gamma_m(\sigma - s + \alpha + \rho) \Gamma_m(\rho) \Gamma_m(\alpha - \beta)} M[f(U)] \tag{2.13}$$

Proof: Using the Mellin transform of (2.11), we get

$$M\{R[\alpha_{\sigma,\rho,1}; f(X)]\} = \int_{X>0} \frac{|X|^{-\sigma-\rho} |X|^{\frac{s-m+1}{2}}}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \left[\int_{0<U<X} |U|^\alpha |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} G_{1,1}^{1,0} [a(I-UX^{-1})]^\alpha_\beta f(U) dU \right] dX$$

Changing the order of integration which is permissible under the conditions stated with the theorem, we obtain

$$M\{R[\alpha_{\sigma,\rho,1}; f(X)]\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>0} |U|^\sigma f(U) dU \int G_{1,1}^{1,0} [(I-XU^{-1})]^\alpha_\beta |X|^{s-\sigma-\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} |X-U|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} dX$$

Using the result given by Mathai [5].

$$G_{1,1}^{1,0} [X]^\alpha_\beta = \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\alpha-\beta)} |X|^\beta |I-U|^{\alpha-\beta-\frac{m+1}{2}} \quad (2.14)$$

Provided

$0 < X < I, \operatorname{Re}(\alpha - \beta) > \frac{m+1}{2}$, We get

$$M\{R[\alpha,\beta_{\sigma,\rho,1}; f(X)]\} = \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\rho)} \int_{U>0} |U|^{\sigma-\alpha-\beta-\frac{m+1}{2}} f(U) dU \frac{1}{\Gamma_m(\alpha-\beta)} \int_{X>U} |X|^{s-\sigma-\alpha} |X-U|^{\beta+\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} dX$$

On evaluating X -integral with the help of the following result

$$\int_0^1 |X|^{\delta-\frac{m+1}{2}} |I-X|^{\rho-\frac{m+1}{2}} dX = \frac{\Gamma_m(\delta)\Gamma_m(\rho)}{\Gamma_m(\rho+\delta)} \quad (2.15)$$

For $\operatorname{Re}(\delta) > 0, \operatorname{Re}(\rho) > \frac{m+1}{2}$

We arrive at the required result.

REFERENCES

1. Fox,C.; A formal solution of certain dual integral equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 119, (1965), 389-395.

2. Garding, L.; The solution of Cauchy's problem for two totally hyperbolic linear differential equation by means of Reisz integrals, Ann. Of Math. 48(1947), 785-826.
3. Mathai, A.M.; Special function of matrix argument II, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India 35, Sect. A(1995), 367-393.
4. Mathai, A.M. and Saxena, R.K.; Gneralized Hypergeometric Functions With Applications in Statistics and Physical Sciences, Spring-verleg, Lecture NotesNo. 348, Heidelberg (1973).
5. Mathai, A.M. and Saxena, R.K.; A generalized probability distribution, Univ. Nac. Tucuman Rev. Ser. A21, (1978), 193-202.
6. Srivastava, H.M., Gupta, K.C. and Goyal, S.P.; The H-Functions of One and Two Varibale with Applications, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi and Madras, (1982).
7. Sudland, N., Baumann, B. and Nonnenmacher, T.F.; Open problem: who knows about the Aleph(\aleph)-functions? *Frac. Calc. Appl. Annl.* 1(4),(1998), 401-402.
8. Vyas, A.K.; A study of Zonal polynomials and special functions of the real positive definite symmetric matrices, Ph. D. Thesis, J.N.V.Univ., Jodhpur (1996).