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#### Abstract

: Identification of a particular stock for investing is a major challenge which many investor faces while trading in the stock market. Investing allows investor to fallow certain myths regarding the day of investment and the day to sell the stocks. The present paper examines whether such day of week effect exist in Indian Stock Market or not.To analyses whether anomalies exist in India the data has been collected for the period from January 2008to December 2017 for two highly traded stocks. The results of this study show that the day of the week effect do exist in the Indian Stock Market and this market cannot be considered as informationallyefficient. The study suggests to investors that the existence of anomaliesmay provide opportunities to formulate profitable trading strategies so as to earn the abnormal returnand can adopt a fair return for risk strategy.
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## INTRODUCTION

In an era of scarcity of capital, the stock markets are vital economic institutions in developing countries. since Stock markets facilitate the transfer of private savings in to business investment efficiency plays a very important role. Market efficiency implies that stock prices reflect all available information so that prices are near their intrinsicvalue.

In anefficient market the stock prices must be independent of the day, week, month and other calendar dummies. When a market suffers from day of week effect anomaly, then thereturns in that market follow a pattern and these returns are not independent of the day of week. Further, day of week effect shows evidence against random walk theory.The paper is designed as fallows Section II reviewsthe literature and In section III The data base and the research methodology employed is presented. Section IV a report of the key results from the empirical investigation are presented, and in section V conclusions are drawn.

LITERATURE REVIEW
Empirical studies of the US stock market such as French (1980) and Gibbon and Hess (1981), found
 that the mean return is significantly negative on Monday, but it is significantly positive on Friday.

Wong et al. (1992) examined the days-of-theweek in the stock market returns of five Asian countries for the period 1975-1988. They found a significantly negative Monday effect in Singapore, Malaysia and Hong Kong. Further, they reported a negative Tuesday effect in Thailand, and a positive Friday effect in the four markets.

Balaban (1995) investigated the day-of-the-week effects on the stock market returns of Istanbul Securities Exchange and found a significant positive Wednesday and Friday effect, and that Monday was the most volatile day for stock returns.

Wong and Yuanto (1999) found a significant negative effect on Tuesdays and a positive effect on Fridays in the daily stock market returns of Indonesia.

Mookerjee and Yu (1999) observed significant positive Thursday and Friday effects in the Shanghai securities exchange, but no day-of-the-week effect was found in the Shenzen securities exchange. Choudhry (2000) studied for seven emerging Asian stock markets that include India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippine, South Korea, Taiwan, and Thailand. The empirical findings proved a presence of the day-of-the-week effect on 5 seasonal pattern in return volatility.

Gregoriou et al. (2004) examined the stock returns of the UK stock market utilizing the FTSE 100 index. The empirical results provide evidence of the no day-of-the-week effect, suggesting that the UK stock market appears to be weak-form efficient.

Dicle and Hassan (2007) showed that returns on Mondays were negative and significant while returns on Thursdays and Fridays were significantly positive. Similar results were obtained by ChukwuogorNdu (2007) who tested the East Asian financial markets. Besides, they found insignificant daily returns and volatility in most of these markets.

For the Canadian stock market, Baker et al. (2008) found that the day-of-the-week effect is sensitive in both the mean and the conditional volatility. Similarly, for the Russian stock market, McGowan and Ibrihim (2009) found significant day-of-the-week effects.

Al-Mutairi (2010) found evidence of presence of the day-of-the-week effect in Kuwait stock exchange. The empirical findings showed that Saturday returns were positive and higher than other days of the week except for Wednesday, suggesting that Kuwait stock market is inefficient.

Hussain et al. (2011) analyzed the day-of-theweek effect on the Karachi stock exchange and revealed significant Tuesday effects.

One such study which investigates the day of the week effect at individual stocks level is Dicle and Levendis (2014). Dicle and Levendis (2014) document the evidence for the day of the week effect, in a unified study of 51 markets, both at the market and individual stock level.

## III DATA BASE AND METHODOLOGY

### 3.1. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To analyze the day of the week effect in returns of Asian Paints Ltd
2. To analyze the day of the week effect in returns of Axis Bank Ltd
3. To compare the risk and return for investment purpose

### 3.2. HYPOTHESES FOR THE STUDY

1. Returns are same for all days of the week in Asian Paints Ltd.,
2. Returns are same for all days of the week in Axis Bank Ltd.,
3. Risk remains the same among all days of the week in Asian Paints Ltd
4. Risk remains the same among all days of the Week in Axis bank Itd.

### 3.3. METHODOLOGY

The daily stock price data has been taken for the study period from January 1, 2008 upto December, 31 2017. Daily closing share prices have been taken from PROWESS (the online database maintained by the Center for Monitoring of Indian Economy (CMIE)), which contains the information of all the actively traded stock at any given time on both BSE as well as NSE. In addition to the PROWESS, web resources such as www.bseindia.com have been used.

Daily stock prices have been converted to daily returns. The present study employs the logarithmic difference for the first order as the logarithmic difference is symmetric between up and down Movements

[^0]and is expressed in percentage terms for ease of comparability with the idea of percentage change. While computing daily returns, multi-period returns have been excluded to avoid any bias on account of holiday effect. For instance, as Saturday and Sunday are non-trading days, the returns on Monday have been excluded. In the same way, if any other trading day is holiday, the returns on next day to that holiday have been excluded. If $P_{t}$ is the closing price on date $t$ and $P_{t-1}$ be the same for the previous business day, then the one day return on the market portfolio is calculated as: $R_{t}=\log \left(P_{t} / P_{t-1}\right)$

Time series data often encounters with nonstationary series. If any regression analysis is performedon a non-stationary series, it results in spurious or nonsense regression hence unit root test is conducted to detect whether both the returns are nonstationary and then converted to stationary series.

## Dummy Variable Regression Model:

It enables to test the significant difference between mean values of differentcategories. A constant term may also be included in the regression equation but inthat case one has to eliminate any one of the dummy variables from the equation

$$
Y_{t}=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \text { DTue }+\alpha_{3} \text { DWed }+\alpha_{4} \text { DThu }+\alpha_{5} \text { DFri }+e_{1}
$$

After running the equation, the specified model is checked for any systematicprocess for the disturbance term or residual term. Therefore, residual autocorrelationand/or heteroskedasticity are primarily considered as an indication of specificationerrors in the systematic part of the model. Normality check is also necessary whichis taken care of by Jarque-Berra (JB) statistic. The null hypothesis of normaldistribution is rejected at $5 \%$ level of significance if JB $>5.99$.

## IV SECTION: DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION

Time series data are often non stationary These series follow a random Walk and if any regression analysis is performed on a non-stationary series, it results in spurious or nonsense regression. Therefore, to avoid such a situation, it is necessary to know, first whether the series is stationary or not. Graphical method is used to test for stationary.

Graph 4.1: AVERAGE RETURNS OF ASIAN PAINTS LTD FOR 2008-2017



In both the graph above, the time series data, gives an initial indication about the non-stationary nature of the series. If the series shows some upward or downward trend, it suggests, that the mean of the series is changing. but it is reverting back to its meani.e. it is showing mean reversion.

## ADF Unit root test for stationary

After conducting analysis based on the above mentioned methods i.e. Graphical method, if we found the series nonstationary, we can make the stationary through integration. One can take firstdifferences of the series to make it stationary. Some of the series are required to be differenced twice to make them stationary, which means they are integrated of order2 i.e. I (2).

| ASIAN PAINTS Ltd |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type | t-value | Critical values |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| Level | With Intercept | 0.5775 | -3.4327 | -2.8625 | -2.5673 |
|  | With trend \& Intercept | -2.7936 | -3.9617 | -3.4116 | -3.1276 |
|  | None | 2.4516 | -2.5658 | -1.9409 | -1.6166 |
| First diference | With Intercept | -35.057 | -3.4328 | -2.8625 | -2.5673 |
|  | With trend \& Intercept | -35.084 | -3.9617 | -3.4116 | -3.1276 |
|  | None | -34.926 | -2.5658 | -1.9409 | -1.6166 |
| Axis bank Ltd |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Type | t-value | Critical values |  |  |
|  |  |  | 1\% | 5\% | 10\% |
| Level | With Intercept | -0.6819 | -3.4327 | -2.8625 | -2.5673 |
|  | With trend \& Intercept | -3.065 | -3.9617 | -3.4116 | -3.1276 |
|  | None | 0.6969 | -2.5658 | -1.9409 | -1.6166 |
| First difference | With Intercept | -35.28 | -3.4328 | -2.8625 | -2.5673 |
|  | With trend \& Intercept | -35.28 | -3.9617 | -3.4116 | -3.1276 |
|  | None | -35.28 | -2.5658 | -1.9409 | -1.6166 |

From the above table, it can be understood that ADF test which was conducted for both the companies separately to test for stationarity shows that the returns were notstationary in level series and the $t$-value was rejected at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$. ( $H_{0}$ : assumed returns are stationary). By differentiation of
order I non stationary data was converted into stationary data and it is accepted at $1 \%, 5 \%$ and $10 \%$. Level of significance.

Graph 4.3: AVERAGE RETURNS OF ASIAN PAINTS LTD FOR 2008-2017 The graph below shows how stationary data looks for both the companies,


Graph 4.4: AVERAGE RETURNS OF AXIS BANK LTD FOR 2008-2017


Table 1:ASIAN PAINTS Ltd.,

|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | All days |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean | 0.0423 | 0.0611 | 0.1229 | 0.094 | 0.1428 | 0.1027 |
| Maximum | 6.1765 | 6.1715 | 7.8190 | 8.147 | 5.554 | 8.147 |
| Minimum | -6.56 | -6.64 | -5.95 | -7.2024 | -5.406 | -7.202 |
| Std. Deviation | 1.4210 | 1.4425 | 1.45 | 1.3897 | 1.2676 | 1.3939 |
| Skewness | -0.024 | -0.27 | 0.250 | 0.204 | -0.186 | 0.001 |
| Kurtosis | 6.005 | 6.513 | 7.519 | 9.0123 | 4.905 | 6.983 |
| No of observations | 542 | 547 | 547 | 535 | 533 | 2717 |
| Table 2: Axis Bank Ltd., |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | All days |
| Mean | 0.0132 | 0.118 | 0.1947 | 0.102 | 0.158 | 0.0292 |
| Maximum | 14.55 | 10.61 | 10.30 | 13.683 | 11.329 | 14.55 |
| Minimum | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 | -1.00 |
| Std. Deviation | 4.97 | 4.753 | 4.789 | 4.688 | 4.750 | 2.87 |


| Skewness | -15.57 | -16.95 | -16.51 | -17.60 | -16.93 | -15.40 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Kurtosis | 5.828 | 6.58 | 4.08 | 7.93 | 5.96 | 50.38 |
| No of <br> observations | 549 | 549 | 549 | 549 | 549 | 2717 |

In the data in table 1 we can identify the following features

1. The average return was maximum onFriday and least on Monday.
2. The range between maximum and minimum is maximum on Thursday,
3. The risk level is least on Fridays.
4. All the stocks show a leptokurtic distribution means that the investor will experience occasional large fluctuations more often than predicted by the normal distribution.
5. Negative skewness represents meaning frequent small gains and a few extreme lossesand positive skewness represents frequent small losses and a few extreme gains

In the data in table 2 we can identify the following features

1. The average return was maximum on Wednesday and least onMonday.
2. The range between maximum and minimum is maximum on Monday,
3. The risk level is least on Monday.
4. all the stocks show a leptokurtic distribution means that the investor will experience occasional large fluctuations more often than predicted by the normal distribution.
5. Negative skewness represents meaning frequent small gains and a few extreme lossesand positive skewness representsfrequent small losses and a few extreme gains

Estimation Results of Dummy variables for Asian Paints Return Series
Dependent Variable: RETURN
Method: Least Squares
Date: 12/26/18 Time: 18:53
Sample (adjusted): 22717
Included observations: 2716 after adjustments

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MONDAY(C) | 0.139948 | 0.059289 | 2.360454 | 0.0183 |
| $\quad$ FRIDAY | -0.065068 | 0.084630 | -0.768857 | 0.4420 |
| THURSDAY | -0.055513 | 0.084549 | -0.656573 | 0.5115 |
| TUESDAY | -0.089185 | 0.084076 | -1.060768 | 0.2889 |
| WEDNESDAY | -0.026188 | 0.084038 | -0.311623 | 0.7554 |
| R-squared | 0.000502 | Mean dependent var | 0.092998 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.000973 | S.D. dependent var | 1.393550 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 1.394227 | Akaike info criterion | 3.504397 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 5269.831 | Schwarz criterion | 3.515271 |  |
| Log likelihood | -4753.971 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | 3.508328 |  |
| F-statistic | 0.340191 | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.501925 |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.850957 |  |  |  |

Estimation Results of dummy variables for Axis Bank Return Series

Dependent Variable: RETURN
Method: Least Squares
Date: 12/26/18 Time: 20:11
Sample (adjusted): 22717
Included observations: 2716 after adjustments

| Variable | Coefficient | Std. Error | t-Statistic | Prob. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| MONDAY(C) | 0.143084 | 0.091156 | 1.569659 | 0.1166 |
| $\quad$ FRIDAY | -0.140676 | 0.130118 | -1.081140 | 0.2797 |
| THURSDAY | -0.079984 | 0.129994 | -0.615288 | 0.5384 |
| TUESDAY | -0.101484 | 0.129268 | -0.785068 | 0.4325 |
| $\quad$ WEDNESDAY | -0.179413 | 0.129208 | -1.388555 | 0.1651 |
| R-squared | 0.000809 | Mean dependent var | 0.043084 |  |
| Adjusted R-squared | -0.000666 | S.D. dependent var | 2.142916 |  |
| S.E. of regression | 2.143629 | Akaike info criterion | 4.364717 |  |
| Sum squared resid | 12457.44 | Schwarz criterion | 4.375591 |  |
| Log likelihood | -5922.285 | Hannan-Quinn criter. | 4.368648 |  |
| F-statistic | 0.548586 | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.469023 |  |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.700079 |  |  |  |

Table shows the results of dummy variables estimated for both the stocks. Results indicate a clear Monday and Tuesday relationship as coefficients for these two days are positive and negative respectively. But other statistics are not that much statistically significant.Adjusted R2 is very low. The F-Statistic with a low $p$-value is also indicating poor fit of the model. The D-W statistics is indicating existence of positive autocorrelation as it is less than 2. Positive autocorrelation means that residuals of current periods are positively correlated with residuals of prior periods.

## CONCLUSION

Thusinvestments in stocks requires careful analysis. Although theoretically Friday has more return and Monday has less return it may vary for different stocks and over different span of time. Stock market anomalies do present in Indian capital market. One golden stretegy cannot be applied for all stocks. Asian paints when compared with Axis bank has more return. Hence it is advisable for investor to analyze properly before investing.
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