

REVIEW OF RESEARCH

MPACT FACTOR : 5.7631(UIF) UGC APPROVED JOURNAL NO. 48514

ISSN: 2249-894X



VOLUME - 8 | ISSUE - 2 | NOVEMBER - 2018

SELF ESTEEM AMONG B.Ed. STUDENTS

Mrs. M. Paruvathaarasi¹ and Dr. (Mrs.) Doreen Gnanam² ¹Ph.D Scholar, Assistant Professor, A. K. T. Memorial College of Education, Kallakurichi.

²Research Supervisor , Former Associate Professor in EMH, Meston College of Education, Chennai.



ABSTRACT

Self - esteem is the feelings of self-worth, self-confidence and self-respect. It has been considered as an essential component of good mental health. It refers as a set of attitudes and beliefs that a personbrings with himself or herself when facing the world. In this research work carried out among B.Ed.student, the samples are 1109 B.Ed. students studying in Government, Government Aided and Self-financed collegesin Salem, Chennai, Vellore, Viluppuram and NammakkalDistricts. Normative survey method and Stratified random sampling technique was used. To determine the Self Esteem of B.Ed. students, the researcher used **Marilyn J. Sorensen(2006)** questionnaire. It consisted 26 items. The finding of this study reveals that both First and second year B.Ed. students had average level of self- esteem and also reveals that female students' selfesteem are better than male students.

KEYWORDS: Self – esteem, B.Ed. students.

INTRODUCTION

Self-esteem is a widely used concept both in popular language and in psychology. It refers to an individual's sense of his or her value or worth, or the extent to which a person v a l u e s, a p p r o v e s o f , appreciates, prizes, or likeshim or herself. (Mustaq. et.al, 2012).

Self - esteem is a belief in oneself; self-respect, confidence in one's own worth or abilities, confidence and satisfaction in oneself, personal feelings or opinions of oneself, pride in oneself; self-respect, a realistic respect for a favorable impression of oneself; holding a good opinion of one's self; self-complacency.

THE CHARACTERISTICS OF SELF ESTEEM

- Good self care skills emotional, physical, intellectual, financial.
- Valuing and managing one's own time.
- Being clear about themself will and they not do for others.
- Being able to say Yes or No confidently when they want to.
- Ability to experience joy.
- Acceptance of their shortcomings realistic appraisal of oneself.
- Acceptance of their skills and competencies.
- Willingness to accept responsibility.
- Feeling about themselves is not dependant on appearance, wealth, status or relationships.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Hira Abbas & Muhammad Waseem Shah.(2018) was undertaken to examine the perceived stress, life satisfaction and self-esteem among females facing domestic violence. A linear regression analysis revealed that perceived stress was a significant predictor of life satisfaction (β =0.40, p= 0.008) accounting for 16% of the variance in life satisfaction. Concerning the relationship between perceived stress and self-esteem highlights an in significant relationship was found. Also the relationship between life satisfaction and self-esteem was not significant.

Imani Akin &Leondra Radford.(2018) explored the existence of resilience and self-esteem in urban high school learning environments. Data collection stems from interviews and surveys of graduates of urban high schools, who transitioned into college or careers. The findings of the study showed that a major factor in helping students establish and develop resilience in urban educational settings include staff who mirrors the student body. One hundred percent of the graduates believed similar staff was a positive factor, contributing to the development of positive self-esteem in students.

Sajithlalraj, S. T.(2018) attempted to find out the Self-Esteem and job Aspiration of Prospective teachers of kanyakumari District. Normative survey method was adopted for the investigation. The result of the study showed that there was a significant difference in the self-esteem with respect to male-female sample..

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

L To identify the level of self- esteem of B.Ed. Students is moderate

🖊 To find the significant differences in the self - esteem of B.Ed. Students based on the select sub samples

HYPOTHESES OF THE STUDY

- 4 The level of self- esteem of B.Ed. Students is moderate
- + There is no significant differences in the self esteem of B.Ed. Students based on the select sub samples

OPERATIONAL DEFINITIONS

SELF ESTEEM

Self-esteem or self-worth includes a person's, positive, negative or mixed, subjective appraisal, thoughts, or feelings about him or her. The more positive these thoughts and feelings are, the higher his/her self-esteem will be and conversely the more negative these thoughts and feelings are, the lower his/her self-esteem.

B.Ed. STUDENTS

In this study B.Ed. students refers to those who are studying B.Ed first year and B.Ed second year students.

SAMPLE AND METHOD

Normative survey method was adopted for the study. Stratified Random sampling technique was used for the selection of 1109 B.Ed. students studying in Government, Government Aided and Self-financed collegesin Salem, Chennai, Vellore, Viluppuram and NammakkalDistricts.

TOOL

To determine the Self Esteem of B.Ed. students, the researcher used **Marilyn J. Sorensen(2006)** questionnaire.It consisted 26 items.

STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES USED

The collected data were analyzed by using statistical technique like percentage analysis, t - test and F - test.

DESCRIPTIVES ANALYSIS

HYPOTHESIS 1

The level of Self Esteem of B.Ed. Students is average in nature.

| | TABLE | -1 | | |
|--------------|---------------|-------------------|------------|----|
| LEVEL O | F SELF-ESTEEM | OF B.ED. STUDENTS | . <i>I</i> | |
| DIMENSIONS | LOW | AVERAGE | HIGH | |
| | | | | |
| Gender | 221 | 624 | 264 | |
| Year | 209 | 697 | 203 | p. |
| Locality | 165 | 694 | 250 | |
| Age in years | 168 | 687 | 254 | |
| Birth order | 178 | 656 | 275 | |
| Community | 223 | 642 | 244 | |

From the table it is noticed that B.Ed. students had average level of self-esteem with reference to demographic variables such as gender, year, locality, age in years, birth order and community.

DIFFERENTIAL ANALYSIS

HYPOTHESIS – 2

There is no significant difference in self - esteem of B.Ed. students based on the select sub samples gender, year, locality, age in years, birth order, community.

GENDER

Male and female B.Ed. students do not differ in their self – esteem

| MEAN DIFFERENCE | S IN SELF - EST | EEM OF | B.ED. STUD | ENTS BAS | ED ON GEND | DER |
|----------------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|-----------|------------|--------|
| DIMENCIONS | MALE(| MALE(284) FEMA | | | t | S / NS |
| DIMENSIONS | М | SD | М | SD | VALUE | 5 / NS |
| Low self esteem | 33.07 | 13.20 | 34.55 | 13.73 | 1.60 | NS |
| Moderate self esteem | 18.60 | 7.40 | 19.93 | 7.71 | 2.59** | S |
| High self esteem | 19.24 | 7.26 | 19.76 | 7.86 | 1.02 | NS |
| Total | 70.91 | 16.81 | 74.24 | 17.69 | 2.80** | S |
| **Significa | nt at 1% lovel | | t significan | + S_Signi | ificant | |

TABLE –2 MEAN DIFFERENCES IN SELF - ESTEEM OF B.ED. STUDENTS BASED ON GENDER

Significant at 1% level NS- Not significant S- Significant

From the above table, it is found that significant differences are not noted in two cases. Hence it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted in these cases. As there is significant difference in two cases, it is concluded that the hypothesis is not accepted in these cases.

CONCLUSION

- Male and female B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low and high self-esteem.
- Male and female B.Ed. students do differ in dimensions moderate self- esteemand in the total scores of self-esteem.

LOCALITY

Rural and Urban B.Ed. students do not differ in their self - esteem

| DIMENSIONS | RURAL(655 | 5) | URBAN | N(454) | 454) | | |
|----------------------|-----------|------------|------------|--------|---------|--------|--|
| DIMENSIONS | М | SD | М | SD | t VALUE | S / NS | |
| Low self esteem | 33.73 | 13.61 | 34.80 | 13.59 | 1.29 | NS | |
| Moderate self esteem | 19.27 | 7.51 | 20.06 | 7.83 | 1.68 | NS | |
| High self esteem | 19.72 | 7.54 | 1.49 | 7.96 | 0.46 | NS | |
| Total | 72.71 | 17.81 | 74.35 | 17.06 | 0.29 | NS | |
| | | NS - Not S | ignificant | | | | |

TABLE – 3 MEAN DIFFERENCES IN SELE - ESTEEM OF B.FD. STUDENTS BASED ON LOCALITY

As significant differences are not noted, it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted.

CONCLUSION

Rural and Urban B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

YEAR

First and second year B.Ed. students do not differ in their self - esteem

TABLE – 4

MEAN DIFFERENCES IN SELF - ESTEEM OF B.ED. STUDENTS BASED ON YEAR

| DIMENSIONS | I-B.E | d. (556) | II-B.Ed. (553) | | t VALUE | S/NS |
|----------------------|-------|--------------|----------------|-------|---------|-------|
| | M | SD | М | SD | I VALUE | 3/113 |
| Low self esteem | 34.45 | 13.91 | 33.89 | 13.30 | 0.68 | NS |
| Moderate self esteem | 19.69 | 7.68 | 19.49 | 7.62 | 0.45 | NS |
| High self esteem | 19.66 | 7.80 | 19.59 | 7.63 | 0.15 | NS |
| Total | 73.80 | 17.32 | 72.97 | 7.73 | 0.79 | NS |
| | / | NS - Not Sig | nificant | | | |

NS - NOT Significant

As significant differences are not noted, it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted.

CONCLUSION

First and second year B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

AGE IN YEARS

Below and above 25 years age group of B.Ed. students do not differ in their self – esteem.

| TABLE –5 |
|---|
| MEAN DIFFERENCES IN SELF - ESTEEM OF B.ED. STUDENTS BASED ON AGE IN YEARS |

| DIMENSIONS | 25 BELOV | N (536) | 25 ABOVE(573) t | | S / NS | |
|----------------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-------|--------|-------|
| DIVIENSIONS | М | SD | М | SD | VALUE | 37 N3 |
| Low self esteem | 34.13 | 13.5 | 34.21 | 13.64 | 0.10 | NS |
| Moderate self esteem | 19.01 | 7.54 | 20.13 | 7.72 | 2.44 | NS |
| High self esteem | 19.36 | 7.64 | 19.87 | 7.78 | 1.10 | NS |
| Total | 72.50 | 17.72 | 74.21 | 17.30 | 1.63 | NS |
| NS - Not Significant | | | | | | |

NS - Not Significant

As significant differences are not noted, it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted.

CONCLUSION

Below and above 25years age group of B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

TABLE -

BIRTH ORDER

B.Ed. students of different birth orders do not differ in their self – esteem

| MEAI | N DIFFERENCES IN S | ELF - ESTEEM OF B | ED. STU | DENTS BASED O | N BIRTH OF | RDER |
|-------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------|------------|-------|
| [| Dimensions | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F | Sig. |
| Low self | Between Groups | 77.851 | 3 | 25.950 | | |
| esteem | Within Groups | 204988.278 | 1105 | 185.510 | 0.140 | 0.936 |
| | Total | 205066.130 | 1108 | | | |
| Moderate | Between Groups | 142.478 | 3 | 47.493 | | |
| self esteem | Within Groups | 64723.665 | 1105 | 58.573 | 0.811 | 0.488 |
| | Total | 64866.142 | 1108 | | | |
| High self | Between Groups | 26.925 | 3 | 8.975 | | |
| esteem | Within Groups | 65835.028 | 1105 | 59.579 | 0.151 | 0.929 |
| | Total | 65861.953 | 1108 | | | |
| Total | Between Groups | 616.110 | 3 | 205.370 | | |
| | Within Groups | 339506.482 | 1105 | 307.246 | 0.668 0 | 0.572 |
| | Total | 340122.592 | 1108 | | | |
| | | NC Not air | | | | |

NS- Not significant

As significant differences are not noted, it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted.

CONCLUSION

B.Ed. students of different birth orders do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

COMMUNITY

B.Ed. students of different communities do not differ in their self – esteem.

| | Dimensions | Sum of Squares | df | Mean Square | F |
|-------------|----------------|----------------|------|-------------|-------|
| Low self | Between Groups | 362.544 | 3 | 120.848 | |
| esteem | Within Groups | 204703.586 | 1105 | 185.252 | 0.652 |
| | Total | 205066.130 | 1108 | | |
| Moderate | Between Groups | 227.707 | 3 | 75.902 | |
| self esteem | Within Groups | 64638.435 | 1105 | 58.496 | 1.298 |
| | Total | 64866.142 | 1108 | | |
| High self | Between Groups | 50.890 | 3 | 16.963 | |
| esteem | Within Groups | 65811.064 | 1105 | 59.558 | 0.285 |
| | Total | 65861.953 | 1108 | | |
| Total | Between Groups | 214.078 | 3 | 71.359 | |
| | Within Groups | 339908.514 | 1105 | 307.610 | 0.232 |
| | Total | 340122.592 | 1108 | | |

TABLE – 7 MEAN DIFFERENCES IN SELE - ESTEEM OF B ED STUDENTS BASED ON COMMUNITY

NS - Not Significant

As significant differences are not noted, it is concluded that the hypothesis is accepted.

CONCLUSION

B.Ed. students of different communities do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

MAJOR FINDINGS OF THE STUDY

- ✓ B.Ed. students had average level of self-esteem with reference to demographic variables such as gender, year, locality, age in years, birth order and community.
- ✓ Male and female B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low and high self-esteem.
- Male and female B.Ed. students do differ in dimensions moderate self- esteem and in the total scores of self-esteem.
- Rural and Urban B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.
- ✓ First and second year B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self esteem.
- ✓ Below and above 25years age group of B.Ed. students do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.
- B.Ed. students of different birth orders do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem
- B.Ed. students of different communities do not differ in dimensions low, moderate, high and in the total scores of self – esteem.

DISCUSSION OF THE STUDY

Sajithlalraj, S. T.(2018) stated that there was a significant difference in the self-esteem with respect to male-female sample. These findings are in the same line as the present study as this study has also found that male and female students, do differ in their self-esteem.

CONCLUSION

The finding of this study reveals that both First and second year B.Ed. students had average level of self- esteem and also femalestudents' self-esteem are better than male students.

REFERENCES

- Reiss, Steven.(2000). Who Am I? the 16 basic desires that motivate our Actions and define our personalities. New York: Putnam INC.
- Petri, Harbert.1.(1996). Motivation theory, Research, and application fourth edition. USA: International Thomson Publishing.
- Hira Abbas & Muhammad Waseem Shah.(2018) Relationship Between Perceived Stress, Life Satisfaction and Self Esteem Among Females Facing Domestic Violence. Advances in Sciences and Humanities, 3(5), 73-75, doi: 10.11648/j.ash.20170305.15, ISSN: 2472-0941 (Print); ISSN: 2472-0984 (Online)
- **Imani Akin &Leondra Radford.(2018).** Exploring The Development Of Student Self-Esteem And Resilience In Urban Schools. Contemporary Issues in Education Research, 11(1).
- Sajithlalraj, S. T.(2018). Self-esteem and job aspiration: a study among the prospective teachers at secondary school level. Review of Research, 7(6), ISSN: 2249-894X

Available online at www.lbp.world