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ABSTRACT:
Money related is viewed as the existence blood of a business undertaking. In the advanced arranged economy, fund is one of the essential establishments of a wide range of financial aspects exercises. Finance statements are prepared primary for decision-making. They play a dominant role in setting the frame work and managerial conclusion and can be drawn from these statements is of immense use in decision-making through analysis and interpretation of financial statements. As said earlier finance is said to be life blood of any business. Every business under taking needs finance for its smooth working. It has to raise funds from the cheapest and risky source to utilize this in most effective manner. So every company will be interested in knowing its financial performance. The study entitled “Financial performance analysis of a company” throw light on overall financial performance of the company.

KEYWORDS: The term ratio is refers to the relationship expressed in mathematical terms between two individual figures.

INTRODUCTION
A financial statement is an organized collection of data according to logical and consistent accounting procedures. The income statements give the total of different expenditure and revenues during the given period and the net result, viz., profit or loss during the given period. The balance sheet shows the balance of assets, liabilities and the capital as on the last date of the accounting period. Changes in these items between two dates and the effect of such changes. For this purpose, different tools of analysis are used by managements. Such analysis of items in the financial statements by using different tools of analysis is called financial statements analysis.

A financial statement is an organized collection of data according to logical and consistent accounting procedures. Its purpose is to convey an outstanding of some financial aspects of a business firm. It may show a position at a moment of time as in the case of balance sheet, or may reveal a series of activities over a given period of time, as in the case of an income statement.

I. Definition:
According to Metcalf and Titard,” Examination budgetary explanations is a procedure of assessing the connection between segment parts of money related articulations to get a superior comprehension of association’s position and execution"

II. Financial statement:
A money related explanation is a sorted out accumulation of information as indicated by sensible and reliable bookkeeping strategies. Its motivation is to will be to pass on a comprehension of
some money related parts of a business firm. It might demonstrate a situation at a snapshot of time as on account of an asset report, or may uncover an exercises over a given Period of time, as on account of a salary articulation.

In this way, the term money related explanation for the most part alludes to the premise proclamations:
1) The income statement, 2) The balance sheet, 3) A statement of retained earnings,
4) A statement of charge in financial position in addition to the above two statement.

**FINANCIAL STATEMENT ANALYSIS:**

It is the process of identifying the financial strength and weakness of a firm from the available accounting data and financial statement. The examination is finished by legitimately setting up the connection between the things of asset report and benefit and misfortune account the principal take of money related expert is to decide the data important to the choice under thought shape the aggregate data contained in the budgetary articulation. The second step is to mastermind data in an approach to feature noteworthy relationship. The last advance is understanding and reaching of inductions and determination. Therefore money related investigation is the procedure of choice relating and assessment of the bookkeeping information data.

This studying contain following analysis:

**Ratio Analysis:**

Ratio analysis is a widely used tool of financial analysis. The term ratio is refers to the relationship expressed in mathematical terms between two individual figures or group of figures connected with each other in some logical manner and are selected from financial statements of the concern. It communicates the connection between two bookkeeping figures so that clients can reach determinations about the execution, qualities and shortcoming of a firm.

**Scope of the Study:**

1. The study covers the financial performance of the Company.
2. The study is made by making comparison of five year of its operation.
3. The study covered aims to reveal where the stands in respect to liquidity and an effective use of asset.

**Objectives of the Study:**

1. To know the financial position of the company.
2. To know the Liquidity and profitability position of the company.
3. To know the financial strength and weakness of the company.

**III. Research Methodology**

**Research design:**

The study is based on secondary data. Data pertaining behaviour of liquidity solvency and profitability position were collection from the balance sheet and profit & loss account of a company. The necessary data were obtained from published annual report.

**Nature of data:**

The data required for the study has been collected from secondary sources and the relevant information were taken from annual reports, journals and internet etc.,

**Tools applied:**

To have a meaningful analysis and interpretation of various data collected, the following tools were made for this study. Ratio analysis, Common-size statement, Comparative statement, Trend analysis
IV. Literature Review

Gary W. Selnow (2003) examined various approaches to promote retirement investment. His study found that automatic enrolment has a good chance of overcoming the natural impediments to wise decisions about retirement investments.

Douglas A. Hershey and Hendrik P. Van Dalen (2006) in the study explored the psychological mechanisms that underlie the retirement planning and saving tendencies of Dutch and American Workers. The research suggests that policy analysts should take into account both individual and cultural differences in the psychological predispositions of workers when considering Pension reforms that stress individual responsibility for planning and saving.

M. Kabir Hassan and Dr. Shari Lawrance (2007) conducted a survey on “An Analysis of Financial preparation for Retirement”. In this study, the researcher analyzes the financial preparation for retirement. Regarding retirement plan contributions, the findings indicate significant positive effects regarding income and womanhood. Education is significant and positive as a predictor for the decision to contribute to a pension plan for women in their thirties, thus supporting the hypothesis of a significant positive relationship between education and pension plan Contributions.

Classification of ratios

a) Liquidity ratios, Leverage ratios, Activity ratios, Profitability ratio

**Liquidity Ratio:**
These ratios portray the capacity of the business unit to meet its short term obligation from its short-term resources (e.g.) current ratio, quick ratio.

**Current Ratio:**
Current ratio may be defined as the relationship between current assets and current liabilities it is the most common ratio for measuring liquidity. It is figured by isolating current resources and current liabilities. Current assets are those, which can be realized within a period of one year. Current liabilities are those amounts, which are payable within a period of one year.

**Current Ratio = current Assets/ Current liabilities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Assets Ratio</th>
<th>Current Assets</th>
<th>Current Liabilities</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YEAR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>374.91</td>
<td>2207.29</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>2849.22</td>
<td>3002.68</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>3573.64</td>
<td>3503.26</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>3493.56</td>
<td>4837.41</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>3329.37</td>
<td>4749.58</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** secondary data

**INTERPRETATION:**
The above table and diagram shows that the current ratio in the year 2010-11 was 1.08 and then it decreases to 0.95 in the year 2011-12, next year onwards it move upwards to 1.02 in the year 2012-13 and it again moves down to 0.72 and finally in the year 2013-14 it again moved down to 0.70 Profitability ratios.
The normal current ratio is 2:1. The above table shows current ratio is less than 2% in all the financial years. This shows that the company is not enjoying credit worthiness.

**Liquidity Ratio:**

The expression "liquidity alludes to the capacity of a firm to pay its fleeting commitment and when they wind up due. The term quick assets or liquid assets refers current assets which can be converted into cash immediately and it comprises all current assets except stock and prepaid expenses it is determined by dividing quick assets by quick liabilities.

\[
\text{Liquidity ratio} = \frac{\text{Liquid assets}}{\text{Liquid liabilities}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Liquid assets</th>
<th>Liquid liabilities</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>1308.46</td>
<td>2207.29</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>1537.13</td>
<td>3002.68</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>2594.74</td>
<td>3505.26</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>2797.41</td>
<td>4837.41</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>3770.98</td>
<td>4749.58</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Source:** Secondary Data

**Interpretation:**

The above table and diagram shows that the liquidity ratio during the study period is lower than the normal (i.e.) 1:1. It was 0.59 in the year 2010-11 and further reduced to 0.51 in 2011-12 and it has been fluctuating and are below the normal ratio. Hence the firm is not controlling its stock position because there are linear relationship between current ratio and liquidity ratio.

**Absolute Liquidity Ratio:**

Absolute liquidity ratio includes cash, bank, and marketable securities. This proportion gotten by partitioning money, bank and attractive securities by current liabilities.

\[
\text{Absolute liquidity ratio} = \frac{\text{Cash + bank + marketable securities}}{\text{Current liabilities}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Absolute Liquidity Ratio Years</th>
<th>Cash and securities</th>
<th>Current liabilities</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>350.49</td>
<td>2207.29</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>515.07</td>
<td>3002.68</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>1409.53</td>
<td>3505.26</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>1567.04</td>
<td>4837.41</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>2351.57</td>
<td>4749.58</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Interpretation:**

The above table and diagram shows the absolute ratio for the study period 2010-11 to 2014-15. There is a fluctuation in the absolute ratio. It was 0.16 in the year 2010-11. In 2011-12 it is 0.17 and 2012-13 it was 0.40. It was 0.32 in 2013-14 and it increases to 0.50 in the year 2014-15.
Leverage Ratio:
Many financial analyses are interested in the relative use of debt and equity in the firm. The term "solvent" refers to the ability of a concern to meet its long-term obligations. According to, long-term solvent ratios indicate a firm's ability to meet the fixed interests, costs and repayment schedules associated with its long-term borrowings. (E.g.) debt equity ratio, proprietary ratio, etc....

Debt Equity Ratio:
Expresses the relationship between the external equities and internal equities or the relationship between borrowed funds and "owners capital. It is a popular measure of the long-term financial solvency of a firm. This relationship is shown by the debt equity ratio. This proportion demonstrates the relative extent of obligation and value in financing the benefits of a firm. This ratio is computed by dividing the total debt of the firm by its equity (i.e.) net worth.

\[
\text{Debt equity ratio} = \frac{\text{Outsider's funds}}{\text{Proprietor's funds}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Outsider’s funds</th>
<th>Proprietor’s funds</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>1961.98</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>14.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>2280.45</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>17.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>2658.19</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>19.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>2395.53</td>
<td>266.07</td>
<td>9.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>3504.82</td>
<td>266.07</td>
<td>13.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Secondary Data

Interpretation:
The above table and diagram shows that the debt equity relationship of the company during the study period. It was 14.75 in the year 2010-11 and then reached up to 17.14 again in the next year moved up to 19.98 but from the year 2013-14 onwards it ultimately come down to .00 and in the year 2014-15 it again moved up to 13.17. In all the years the equity is less when compared with borrowings. Hence the company is not maintaining its debt position.

Proprietary Ratio:
Proprietary ratio relates to the proprietors funds to total assets. It reveals the owners contribution to the total value of assets. This ratio shows the long-time solvency of the business. It is calculated by dividing proprietor’s funds by the total tangible assets.

\[
\text{Proprietary ratio} = \frac{\text{Proprietor’s funds}}{\text{Total tangible assets}}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>Proprietor’s funds</th>
<th>Total assets</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>5435.87</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>5936.76</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>133.03</td>
<td>6621.14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>266.07</td>
<td>6607.32</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>266.07</td>
<td>7959.92</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Secondary data
Interpretation:

The above table and diagram shows that the proprietary ratio during the study period. In all the years the owner's contribution to the total assets was appropriate and they maintain their share in the company's assets.

Activity Ratio:

These ratios evaluate the use of the total resources of the business concern along with the use of the components of total assets. They are planned to quantify the adequacy of the advantages administration the effectiveness with which the benefits are utilized would be reflected in the speed and velocity with which the advantages are changed over into deals. The greater the rate of turnover, the more efficient the management would be the stock turnover ratio, fixed assets turnover ratios etc....

Total Assets Turnover Ratio:

This ratio is an indicator of how the resources of the organization utilized for increasing the turnover. It shows the ratio between the total assets and the net sales of the company. From this ratio one can understand how the assets are performing and being utilized in achieving the objectives of the company.

Total assets turnover ratio = Total assets / Net assets

Ratio On Total Assets:

Profitability can be measured in terms of relationship between net profit and total assets. It measures the profitability of investment. The overall profitability can be known by applying this ratio

Return on total assets = Net profit/ Total assets x100

Profitability Ratio:

The profitability ratios of a business concern can be measured by the profitability ratios. These ratios highlight the end result of business activities by which alone the overall efficiency of a business unit can be judged. (E.g.) gross Profit ratios, Net profit ratios.

Gross Profit Ratio:

This proportion communicates the connection between Gross benefit and deals. It indicated the efficiency of production or trading operation. A high gross profit ratio is a good management as it implies that the cost of production is relatively low.

Gross profit ratio = Gross profit / Net sales x 100

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>years</th>
<th>Gross profit</th>
<th>Net sales</th>
<th>100</th>
<th>Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2010-2011</td>
<td>473.09</td>
<td>6168.99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011-2012</td>
<td>761.40</td>
<td>7436.18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012-2013</td>
<td>1217.56</td>
<td>11407.15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013-2014</td>
<td>1256.35</td>
<td>13309.59</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014-2015</td>
<td>876.46</td>
<td>12488.58</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>7.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Secondary Data
Interpretation:
The above table and diagram shows the relationship between the gross profit and net sales in percentage. During the study period the average gross profit position was 9% and it is in decreasing trend , however the company maintains a good gross profit ratio

Net Profit Ratio :
Net profit ratio establishes a relationship between net profit (after taxes) and sales. It is determined by dividing the net income after tax to the net sales for the period and measures the profit per rupee of sales

Net profit Ratio = Net profit/ Net sales x 100

V. FINDINGS, SUGGESTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Findings:
1. The current ratio in the year 2010-11 was 1.08 and then it decreases to 0.95 in the year 2011-12, next year onwards it move upwards to 1.02 in the year 2012-13 and it again moves down to 0.72 and finally in the year 2013-14 it again moved down to 0.70. The normal current ratio is 2:1. The above table shows current ratio is less than 2% in all the financial years. This shows that the company is not enjoying credit worthiness.
2. The liquidity ratio during the study period is lower than the normal (i.e.) 1:1. It was 0.59 in the year 2010-11 and further reduced to 0.51 in 2011-12 and it has been downward trend. Hence the firm is not controlling its stock position because there are linear relationship between current ratio and liquidity ratio.
3. There is a fluctuation in the absolute Ratio. It was 0.16 in the year 2010-11. In 2011-12 it is 0.17 and 2012-13 it was 0.40. It was 0.32 in 2013-14 and it increases to 0.50 in the year 2014-15.
4. The debt equity relationship of the company during the study period was 14.75 in the year 2010-11 and then reached up to 17.14 again in the next year moved up to 19.98 but from the year 2013-14 onwards it ultimately come down to 9.00 and in the year 2014-15 it again moved up to 5. 17. In all the years the equity is less when compared with borrowings. Hence the company is not maintaining its debt position.
6. The net profit and net sales during 2010-11 it was 3.08% on sales and in 2011-12 it was 5.70%. But in all other 3 years it is moved downwards. This means that there is a defect in pricing the product or excess non-value added expenditures which reduces the net profit of the company. The sales are increasing; hence the management should take care of the quality and market situations. The administration and selling expenses are normal.

SUGGESTIONS:
✓ The company should get the goodwill from the creditors so as to enjoy the credit worthiness.
✓ Current assets should be increased.
✓ The company should maintain its debt position.
✓ Sales should be increased without the additions of fixed assets.
✓ Net profit is increasing by the result of increased total assets, hence it should be avoided
✓ The company should take necessary steps to control administrative and selling expenses

VI. CONCLUSION:
The study reveals that the financial performance is fair. It has been maintaining good financial performance and further it can improve if the company concentrates on its operating, Administrative and selling expenses and by reducing expenses. The company should increase sales volume as well as gross profit. Despite price drops in various products, the company has been able to maintain and grow its market share to make strong margins in market, contributing to the strong financial position of the company. The company was able to meet its entire requirements for capital expenditures and higher level of working capital commitment with higher volume of operations and from its operating cash flows.
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