ABSTRACT

Purpose: The purpose of this article is to find out that democracy, how much can fulfill the demand of general people, when there is a clear picture of violent nationalism and fierce autocracy is slowly comes into the screen of national and international level of politics; at the same time by analyzing the merits and demerits of democracy and autocracy, we will measure how much they are involved and helpful to the development of a country.

Research Process: Library based research method has been opted to prepared this article. Area survey is not applicable in the preparing of this article. As the subject is related with theoretical logical problems, so to analyze it, logic and counter logic to be opted to solve the problem.

Enquiry: Autocracy, democracy development and progress, all are based with some particular ideas and all are projected by political and economical intellectuals before us for erasing the problems of common people and for establishment of a modern and developed society. But here we will discuss and subsequently search the path which is not dependable on any particular type of ideology and which is self sufficient and theoretically correct.

Originality: Although the matter of thinking of development is not age old, here we will try to focus its relationship with autocracy and also compare it with democracy. In this article we are trying to find which type of ruling is perfect for people.
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INTRODUCTION

This is the time long discussed subject, in which path, autocracy or democracy, the economic and social development can be improved successfully. Most of the scholar who favored democracy but there are a small cell of scholars who support autocracy for economic and social development. Democracy mean rule of the people without judging their capabilities whereas autocracy is directed by one individual or by a small core group. In democracy, decision is taken by consensus, whereas in autocracy decision can be made by one individual, in course of this process, the participance of larger part of the people not considered. In the next paragraph we will discuss the best appropriate path for present society to be adopted between democracy and autocracy.

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

Here we have taken the required help of some literature during the preparation of this article, Avinash Dixit (2009) who stands against autocracy in his writings, state that autocracy never tolerate to its
own economic difficulties, on the other hand recent research prefers democracy and taking its side by declaration that the larger part of people can be involved in democracy and he mentioned that in autocracy; rich people only ruled the system (Salhi and Bolle 2007). Evidence shows with the remarks that in democracy, the economic escalation can be achieved but old autocratic shade may not be disappeared for ever (Tang and yung, 2004).

The main target of this article is to show that in the present system of the society where there is large gap exists between rich and poor people, is it possible to erase the growing difference between the above two classes and prepare a plain equal bond for development as well as to find a solution. Also in the article, we are trying to solve the growing problem and try to find out whether development can be achieved by a certain policy or it depends upon different system of society in different era of world.

**DISCUSSION:**

We will prepare some preplanning to find out which is the perfect path between democracy and autocracy to reach development for the people. According to Hobbes as cited by G.H.Sabine, (1988) 'in primitive era before bonded labour period, people usually like to stay in groups here and there aimlessly. But in present society, people become more and more disciplined all like to follow the first and strongest man of the line or group'. From the idea of pyramid we can realize that as the base was prepared solid, united strength full wide – so the height of the pyramid can be achieved. In this way if we consider the present society like a mirror of our heart and human nature then we will find that in the primitive age they are growing in numbers in different groups and when some thinking groups were started to stay unitedly, they can gather the braveness to fight the nature or attack of the other groups. It is possible with the help of some intelligent human to invent the process of preserving there collected food and meat and treatment of people the group. From that moment people believed the intelligence of human and learn patience and curiosity to the problems and try to solve the problem in easier way. From that auspicious time, human beings can differentiate themselves from other creatures of the world. In that transition period man can identify himself his self and realize he is uniqueness from other creatures. In other words, the society which is united from of intelligent people has some certain aims, which may be wrong or right. Our aim is to develop that society day to day for which some new policies or new steps should have to take inevitably. In present society, we are witnessing different types of cultures, languages different from of arts. Theoreticians of post modern era declare that this is the form of liberalism where the identity of the individuals, groups and regions are upheld. But is it possible that diversity can ignite the infinite negative attitude among people. In a various type of new social movements are giving us some new direction or going to crop up the society in pieces. In some developed countries like USA, UK, FRANCE, GERMANY- new type of so-called movements (PRO LIBERTY IN PUBLIC SPEHERE, LGBT, LOW MONITORING, AIMLESS PROJECTS IN THE NAME OF CREATIVITY) were exists and there are forerunner of this movements are enjoying and demanding much independence which should be a pre-condition in a democratic country; but we should remember this type of ultra societal independence, low monitoring lead us to chaos and disintegration. And also when there is large economic or cultural emptiness faced by these countries, then the leaders, system, norms become the self target. This people then goes to helm of autocracy and take shelter under cruel ignorant autocracy leaving democracy. If we closely observe there is no difference between autocracy and a power full group controlled democracy behind the curtain of law, liberty, and independence. Many will criticize this statement and will state that there are many examples of uprising, of rebellion in different countries around the world; these also are not ideal thinking although- the type of actual autocracy should be controlled by individual or small group of power full people, but that should be directed to welfare of other section of people; who totally surrender themselves. For example in the middle age there are good numbers of intelligent kings, apart from this in the 18th and 19th century, many zamindars and native kings in India had solve many public problems and successfully implemented many reforms and work for public interest, where they did not take any public mandate, there were also examples of ruthless kings and zamindars who mercilessly tortured people for tax, interest. Here we can state that man can reach to the peak point of
independence in democracy. But in the name of democracy and freedom, they often create problems and make various obstacles to exercise other rights. Many intellectuals declare that in democracy people can enjoy a rare type of independence which cannot be possible in any system. United states, Canada, England and in Nordic countries are the best examples where state believes that people’s creativity can took a major part in the development. This creativity may also come from autocracy if it follows certain system. For example ‘A’ is a autocratic ruler and ‘B’ ‘C’ ‘D’ ‘E’ are working under ‘A’ and they are representative of different segment of society. Again under B there are’ B1’, ’B2’, ‘B3’ and likewise under the previous groups there are ‘C1’, ‘C2’, ‘C3’ who are skilled people in different section of the working system they will abide by instructions of its immediate upper ranks; they are also allow to exercise their creativity for the well being of people and according to law of nature, but here is a question arise if this type of discipline will blocked the creativity of the people in future or if autocracy turned itself into a blood line regime line North Korea. This problem can be solved by wise and intelligent ruler.

In the previous paragraph, we can find that A is ruling B, C, D, E and they all A, B, C, D, E make an autocratic pyramid. In this society, who belongs to the lower level(B1,B2),(C1,C2),(D1,D3),if needed they can change their profession and may shift to the other groups and they can create some new path, inventions for public interest. Their choice of path change scrutinize by experts in selected field. In a democratic society, where people enjoy maximum freedom as well as have the freedom to take other profession, they are free to choose- but without proper channel and path all those fields, professions are useless. In postmodern era we are witnessing that technologist sometimes considered as an artist. Again we also witnessed that politicians are nominated and awarded Noble prize in economics. Although in a democratic society people enjoys various type of freedom but in various section of society at the same time they also suffers from indiscipline. In democracy we witnessed that the torture upon women increases, different types of scams, misuse of power-authority, in political and business dealings, different types of tax imposes in national and international trades and failure to stop the terrorist attack on the country.

In a democratic society, the indiscipline’s which came to my notice and which can be easily controlled in an egalitarian dictatorship. Autocratic society with strict discipline and regular scrutiny-monitoring can be implemented a total justice. Indiscipline’s in a democratic society listed are as below:
1. Extremist and terrorist attack.
2. Tortures upon female.
3. Demand of lawlessness.
4. Demand of less controlled public sphere.
5. Demand of separation, sectarian agenda, and regionalism.
6. Agitation for autonomy for small regions.
7. Protest and agitation for religious purpose.
8. Excess expenditure in governmental administration.
9. Backing up mediocrity in different types of job depriving deserved ones.
10. Failure to implement unification in international political rules and regulation.
11. Less importance given by the administration to stop illegal arms trading, drugs and human trafficking.
12. To bring politics in every sphere and create an obstacle in rise and tie up of industry, mafia, political leaders, economical transaction.

If people get absolute freedom, they can break rule and discipline of the society for their own well being in the name of liberty, then this type of work, theoretically and practically may not be considered, as a way for the betterment of the society as a whole.

In autocracy, that can be easily possible to control and stop the evil process, but we need a self sufficient wise experienced people as a ruler. If decision is taken correctly, then it will not be different in different parts of the world. Because according to law of physics the whole world is running by a uniform law. So why will we expect different result in case of development. It is quite right that the nature of people will vary with the different climate, region, nature of surrounding region and geography. But in present
world, the barrier of nature cannot affect so much on the nature of people because of technical and scientific advances. Today the world is in our grip due to advancement of science. So autocracy or knowledge full dictatorship whichever it may be, it is better than democracy. In this type of society, the decision implemented is called as ‘decision which is impersonal’. The freedom evolves from this system of democracy; most of the time supports capitalism.

Here freedom does not means freedom of economy, social justice, politics. So the cream of any development will easily reach to the upper layer of society. It is said that in this system; individual can relish the totally. But it is never possible if we think it properly and logically. In a conjugal life, a man can demand (in case of sexual relation) anything which may not be acceptable to his counterparts. In a present society a man can exercise a usual and normal process and a woman may raise the point the pregnancy will obstruct her freedom of work, freedom of choice in the name of democratic liberty. But in this way every woman try to avoid pregnancy then human race will lead to annihilation.

This all are the escapist way in the name of modernism. If from the very childhood we try to teach the child that except the difference of gender there is no difference exists between man and woman in economically, politically, socially. Then the end of paternal society can be possible. As well as the economical difference between ultimately neutralize.

In ideal autocratic society, the ruler must have to well informed, wise, intelligent she/he should have sufficient knowledge about science, social norms and cultures, economy; otherwise he/she may directed blind by other associates. In democracy there are many examples where the bureaucrats, technocrats, some portion of intellectuals and middle men, often directed by the ministers in the wrong path for their own interest. And in this case autocracy will become the only alternative way- to overcome from this wrong system. In the age of information virtual education will mix up with rules and principles which is implemented and regulated by core group or deserving individual. This knowledge full power will come from the brain of individual or group of individual autocratic ruler. Here the segment of workers or intellectuals will be prepared in such a manner that if needed or they feel bored they can be shifted in other branch of profession or art according to their eligibility and social need; this facility will have to be remain forever. There will be a provision that in a gap of ten years they can change their profession- as a result- new ideas will come to the way of development and creativity will increase many times.

CONCLUSION:

In the present unrest and turmoil world politics, we need such educational system where from we can collect a good, lawfukll, honest leader. We will hope there will be scientist or researchers who research about scientific nature of human being and try to implement it society. And at the same time he will have the power to pulse of the anti societal things. It is a very common idea that autocratic ruller means an oppressive and tyrant ruler. If the ruller is honest and wise, well educated, well disciplined it can make the state good for all as we want.

If autocracy run by a tyrant, dishonest, uneducated then the matter is separate thing; otherwise it is not possible in autocracy the middleman, bureaucrat, military will take all the benefits in their pocket. Poor people may be they are named as so called illiterate actually they are simple. But oppression tortures, poverty are going on year after year that is as obvious as a law of human nature they will rebel against the system. Being frustrated with that result of failed democracy and bad autocracy people will leave them and take the ruling of the country in their own hands and they make autocracy of general people and ultimately will reach the peak of economic-scientific-literary pyramid of human civilization.
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