

Vol 7 Issue 3 Dec 2017

ISSN No : 2249-894X

*Monthly Multidisciplinary
Research Journal*

*Review Of
Research Journal*

Chief Editors

Ashok Yakkaldevi
A R Burla College, India

Ecaterina Patrascu
Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Kamani Perera
Regional Centre For Strategic Studies,
Sri Lanka

Welcome to Review Of Research

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2249-894X

Review Of Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Regional Editor

Dr. T. Manichander

Sanjeev Kumar Mishra

Advisory Board

Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Mabel Miao Center for China and Globalization, China
Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Xiaohua Yang University of San Francisco, San Francisco	Ruth Wolf University Walla, Israel
Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Karina Xavier Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), USA	Jie Hao University of Sydney, Australia
Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania	May Hongmei Gao Kennesaw State University, USA	Pei-Shan Kao Andrea University of Essex, United Kingdom
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Marc Fetscherin Rollins College, USA	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania
	Liu Chen Beijing Foreign Studies University, China	Ilie Pinte Spiru Haret University, Romania
Mahdi Moharrampour Islamic Azad University buinzahra Branch, Qazvin, Iran	Nimita Khanna Director, Isara Institute of Management, New Delhi	Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai
Titus Pop PhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania	Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain
J. K. VIJAYAKUMAR King Abdullah University of Science & Technology, Saudi Arabia.	P. Malyadri Government Degree College, Tandur, A.P.	Jayashree Patil-Dake MBA Department of Badruka College Commerce and Arts Post Graduate Centre (BCCAPGC), Kachiguda, Hyderabad
George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi	S. D. Sindkhedkar PSGVP Mandal's Arts, Science and Commerce College, Shahada [M.S.]	Maj. Dr. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.
REZA KAFIPOUR Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran	Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	AR. SARAVANAKUMARALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, TN
Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur	C. D. Balaji Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai	V.MAHALAKSHMI Dean, Panimalar Engineering College
Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya	Bhavana vivek patole PhD, Elphinstone college mumbai-32	S.KANNAN Ph.D , Annamalai University
	Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut (U.P.)	Kanwar Dinesh Singh Dept.English, Government Postgraduate College , solan

More.....



RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ORGANIZATIONAL JUSTICE AND ORGANIZATIONAL CITIZENSHIP BEHAVIOR CONSIDERING THE MODERATING ROLE OF ISLAMIC WORK ETHIC

¹Dr.Hamidreza Kordlouei and ²Mostafa Sharifi,

¹Associate Professor, Accounting & Management Department, Islamshahr Branch, Islamic Azad University, Islamshahr Tehran,Iran.

²Ph.D. student, Accounting & Management Department, Qeshm International Branch, Islamic Azad University of Science and Research, Qeshm,Iran.

ABSTRACT: -

The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between organizational Justice and organizational citizenship behavior considering the moderating role of Islamic work ethic. This is an applied research in which the staff of the Islamic Republic Shipping organization of Iran in Tehran province as the research community and 286 employees were selected as the statistical sample of research. The required data was verified through the questionnaire which its content validity and reliability is provided by emphasizing on inner consistency via Cronbach's alpha model and then was analyzed using PLS software. The findings of this study showed that both procedural and distributive justice dimensions have positive and significant relationship with organizational citizenship behavior. In addition, the role of moderating Islamic work ethic has been confirmed in the two above-mentioned relationships.

KEYWORDS: Organizational Justice, Organizational Citizenship Behavior, Islamic Work Ethics.

INTRODUCTION:

In today's competitive world, organizations are constantly looking for new ways to maximize their employees' performance and effort. In order to compete on the global stage and satisfy the needs and expectations of customers and adapt to the changing nature of the occupations, organizations tend to try to select employees that go beyond the role and task assigned to job descriptions. It is obvious that In order to

survive in the 21st century, organizations must adapt themselves to the global mentality and change their leadership towards the global competition. Managers of organizations must learn how to manage the changes otherwise, they have no choice but to lose competitive conditions. Obviously, human resources are the only source of eternity which not only is easily accessible, but is also a phenomenon that, if properly managed, will provide the areas for management. In this regard, organizational citizenship behavior (OCB) and organizational justice (OJ) are important issues in organizational science which has attracted much attention from researchers over the past four decades (Carpenter et al., 2014; Podaskoff et al., 2009). This concern can be attributed to the significant contribution




of organizational citizenship behavior and organizational justice to organizational success and achievement (Podaskoaf et al., 2014). Because organizational citizenship behavior is a behavior over the assigned duties of the employees which can have positive effects on their participation and thus have a positive impact on the organization's performance. These behaviors are in fact spontaneous behaviors which places employees in a position that voluntarily go beyond their job expectations and job description (Rezayi and Mahmoodi, 2017). Podsakoff believes that good citizenship is a thinking that involves a variety of behaviors that some of its examples are: Accompanying side tasks, volunteering help to other people in their work, professional development in the field of work, obey the organizational regulations even in cases where no one is supervised, efforts to promote the organization and help maintain a positive attitude and endure the difficulties (Podsakoff et al, 2000). On the other hand, organizational justice also refers to mental perceptions and people's feeling of fairness in the organization which derives from staff judgments about the amount of receipts or its fairness, as well as the attitudes and behaviors of individuals, especially the directors of the organization. Concerning the perception of organizational justice must say that this perception is heavily influenced by the scenses that individuals have had in their previous experiences in relation to various issues and can it have positive or negative consequences, which are behavioral and functional. Researchers have mentioned different aspects for organizational justice. One of these organizational justice aspects and dimensions is procedural aspect or dimension which refers to fairness in laws, policies, processes and decisions. The other dimension of organizational justice is distributive justice which refers to the existence of fair and equal treatment in the provision of rewards and encouragements for those who are in the same position and are doing the same job (Marzooghi and Heidari, 2016). Researches have shown that there is a positive and significant relationship between employee perception of justice and their citizenship behavior (Chen and Jin, 2014). However, other scholars such as Hasan and Mahd Noor (2008), Tanski (1993) found that justice has no direct effect on organizational citizenship behavior. According to Muhammad, Qiuquib and Omar (2016), considering a third variable in this regard, such as Islamic work ethic, may help to eliminate the contradiction in current literature.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The theoretical framework of this study is based on the study of Muhammad, Qiuquib and Omar (2016) In which distributive justice and procedural justice are considered as independent variables, the behavior of employees as dependent variable and islamic work ethic as a moderating variable. Therefore, the hypothesis and conceptual model of research in order to answer the main research question is:

- Distributive justice has a positive relationship with the citizenship behavior of the personnel of the Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Organization in the province of Tehran.
- The procedural justice has a positive relationship with the citizenship behavior of the personnel of the Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Organization in Tehran province.
- Islamic work ethic, distributive justice, and citizenship behavior modify the staff behaviour of the organization of shipping of the Islamic Republic of Iran in Tehran province.

Figure (1): Conceptual Model of Research



METHODOLOGY OF RESEARCH

The present study is an applied research and in terms of nature and method which the researcher is trying to investigate the relationship between procedural and distributive justice by considering the moderating role of Islamic work ethic is placed in the descriptive- scrolling research category. The statistical population of this research includes all the staff members of the Islamic Republic of Iran Shipping Organization in Tehran province consisting of 971 people. To sample and determine the sample size and given the limited size of the statistical community the Cochran formula was used. And the sample size was estimated at 275. Finally, 286 questionnaires were distributed and analyzed for final analysis. It is necessary to mention that the questionnaire is a combination of organizational citizenship behavior questionnaire (Lee and Allen, 2002), distributive justice (Price & Mullerz, 1986), procedural justice (Niehoff and Murman, 1993) and Islamic work ethic (Ali, 1992) which its questions are divided as below:

Table (1) Combining questionnaire questions

Variable	Number of questions	Variable	Number of questions
Distributive justice	6	Organizational Citizenship Behavior	8
o Procedural justice	6	Islamic work ethic	17

To assess the validity of the questionnaire by referring to the content method, first the opinions of professors and experts in management science have been considered and after determining the validity of the questionnaire and applying multiple opinions and making the necessary reforms, the content validity of the designed questionnaire was approved by the experts. Then, by using the PLS software, the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was calculated as shown in Table (2).

Table (2) Cronbach's alpha coefficients

Variable	Number of questions	alpha coefficients
Distributive justice	6	0/902
Procedural justice	6	0/899
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	8	0/867
Islamic work ethic	17	0/897

Cronbach's alpha coefficients show the desirable reliability of the research questionnaires.

Research findings

Data collected in descriptive and inferential statistics was presented in this study. In descriptive statistics the way of statistical sample distribution in terms of demographic variables and main variables of research from mean values, standard deviation, variance and frequency in the inferential statistics, also using the path analysis and the research hypotheses were tested. The descriptive statistics of the research variables are presented in Table (3):

Table (3) describes the variables of research

variable	Number	Average	Standard deviation	Variance
Distributive justice	286	5	3.98	0.457
Procedural justice	286	5	3.88	0.492
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	286	5	4.02	0.483
Islamic work ethic	286	5	3.79	0.434

ANALYSIS OF HYPOTHESES

Before entering the the research hypotheses test stage, we must ensure the accuracy of the questions related to the variables of the research, therefore a confirmatory factor analysis is used at this stage. Depending on how much precision the investigator considers to remove the questions , values of criterion are given from 0.5 to 0.7 for factor loadings. In this study, the value of the criterion was considered as 0.6. The results of factor load estimation also showed that all of the above questions measure their variables with high percentage. And structures have a high correlation with their variables. .So no questions were removed from the analysis process. Then, in order to test the model for the existence of moderating relationships, the conceptual model of research was investigated in five levels in the PLS software.




Figure (1). First Level Model. Model in the state of examining direct relations
Figure (2). Second Level Model . entrance of moderating of Islamic Work ethic inmodel




Figure (3). Second Level model: Assessing the Moderating Role of Islamic Work Ethics in the Relationship between Distributed Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behavior
Figure (4). Second Level Model: A Study on the Moderating Role of Islamic Work Ethics in the Relationship between Procedural Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behavior

FITTING THE RESEARCH MODELS

In order to do fitting of the research of models, two R2 and GOF criterias were used which are applied in the least squares method. The researchers introduced three values of 0.19, 0.33 and 0.67 as the criterion value for weak, moderate and strong R2 values, and three values of 0.01, 0.25 and 0.36 as weak, moderate and strong values for GOF. Accordingly, the values obtained for both of these criteria are presented in Table (4):

Table (4) Research value of the first research model

Variable	Coefficient of determination of R2		
	Model (1)	Model (2)	Model (3)
Distributive justice	---	---	---
Procedural justice	---	---	---
Islamic work ethic	---	---	---
Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.859	0.873	0.861
GOF	0.603	0.621	0.619

As can be seen, according to the value obtained for the determination coefficient (R2), the quality of the research model can be determined, but for a higher confidence, the general fit model was also calculated which obtaining a value of 0.6 or more, confirms the fitting of the research models.

Examining the first and second hypotheses

Table (5) Review of the first and second hypotheses

Hypothes		The standardized path coefficient β	The statistics T	Significance	Accept or reject the hypothesis
Distributive justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	Organizational	0.343	7.462	Sig<0.05	Confirm
Procedural Justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	Organizational	0.373	4.434	Sig<0.05	Confirm

first hypothesis: The value of the standard path coefficient between distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior is 0.343, which indicates the positive effect of distributive justice variable on organizational citizenship behavior. As can be seen, the value of the t statistic is approximately 7.462 which is larger than the 1.96 limit. As a result, you can accept with at least 95% confidence which there is a significant relationship between distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior.

Second hypothesis: The amount of standard path coefficient between procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior was 0.373 which shows the positive effect of procedural justice variable on organizational citizenship behavior. And the value of the t statistic is approximately 4.434, which is larger than the boundary value of 1.96, as a result, you can accept with at least 95% confidence that there is a relationship between procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior.

Examining of the third hypothesis

Table (6) Review of the third hypothesis

Model			β Standard	Statistics T	significance	Hypothesise result
first model	Before enterance of the modifier variable	Distributive justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.272	7.462	Sig<0.05	Confirm
		Islamic Ethic> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.311	6.031	Sig<0.05	Confirm
Second model	After enterance of the modifier variable	Distributive justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.379	5.222	Sig<0.05	Confirm
		Islamic Ethic> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.371	3.332	Sig<0.05	Confirm
	Interaction	Distributive justice × Islamic work ethic	0.125	3.123	Sig<0.05	Confirm

The third hypothesis: In order to investigate the role of moderator in PLS software, we first need to examine the direct path of two independent and moderator variables with dependent variable, without considering the interaction or moderator. Then in the second step, the interaction of the independent variable × modifier was added to the model. If the interaction is meaningful, moderation can be accepted and observe changes in moderation. As shown in Figures 2 and 3 and Table 6 the interaction at the confidence level of 95% was significant and confirmed. Therefore, changes to the model before and after moderation can be made. In the first model, the magnitude and severity of the effect of the variable of distributive justice on organizational citizenship behavior was 0.272 and the intensity of the effect of Islamic ethic on organizational citizenship behavior was 0.311. In the second model, in order to investigate the moderating role of the variable of Islamic work ethic, the interaction of distributive justice × Islamic work ethic was introduced into the model. The effect of two variables was increased to 0.379 and 0.371, respectively. Meanwhile, the impact of the interaction is estimated at 0.125. With regard to increasing the path coefficient between the variable of distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior, it can be concluded that islamic work ethic can strengthen the relationship between distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior. As a result, the hypothesis of the moderating role of islamic work ethic in relation to distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior is accepted.

Examining of the fourth hypothesis

Table 7: Review of the fourth hypothesis

Model		path	β Standard	Statistics T	significance	Hypothesise result
First Model	Before enterance of the modifier variable	Procedural Justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.273	5.666	Sig<0.05	Confirm
Second Model		Islamic Ethics> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.311	6.031	Sig<0.05	Confirm
	After enterance of the modifier variable	Procedural Justice> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.333	5.871	Sig<0.05	Confirm
		Islamic Ethics> Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.473	4.531	Sig<0.05	Confirm
	Interaction	Procedural Justice of Islamic Work ethic	0.136	4.011	Sig<0.05	Confirm

Fourth hypothesis: As shown in Figures (2) and (4) and Table (7), the interaction was significant and confirmed at 95% confidence level. Therefore, modifications to the model before and after moderation can be done. In the first model, the magnitude and severity of the effect of the procedural justice variable on organizational citizenship behavior was 0.273 and the intensity of the effect of Islamic ethics on organizational citizenship behavior was 0.311. In the third level model, which is for investigating the role of the moderating variable of Islamic work ethic, the interactive effect of procedural justice in Islamic work ethics was introduced into the model and the effect of two variables increased to 0.333 and 0.473, respectively. Also, the impact of the interaction is estimated at 0.136. Considering the increase of the path coefficient between the procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior, it can be concluded that Islamic work ethic can strengthen the relationship between procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior. As a result, the hypothesis of moderating role of Islamic work ethic in relation to procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior is accepted.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- Considering the confirmation of the first hypothesis of the study based on the relationship between distributive justice and organizational citizenship behavior, it is suggested that, in order to equate rewards to the members of the organization, to design a performance-based compensation system; to re-design the occupations so that the duties and responsibilities of the people will be paid by establishing the relationship between the courses taught and the rights of the people appropriate to them, the necessary measures should be considered.
- Considering the confirmation of the second hypothesis of the research that the relationship between procedural justice and organizational citizenship behavior is verified, it is recommended that for the employees' satisfaction and in order to maintain and establish procedural justice, set more clarity in organizational guidelines and procedures. To provide. And in the implementation and enforcement of laws in organizations more than the past, consider justice to increase trust among employees, because sometimes injustice perceptions in procedures can cause employee's anger and appearance of malicious behaviors in the organization more than the unreasonable consequences.
- Considering the confirmation of the third and fourth hypotheses of the study confirming the role of moderating Islamic work ethic on the relationship between distribution justice and procedural justice with organizational citizenship behavior, it is recommended that by enhancing work ethic and it's principals in the organization, decrease discrimination, injustice, dissatisfaction on one hand and by increasing organizational morale and commitment creat satisfaction among employees on the other hand, enhance the growth of human beings, growth of organizations and the progress of society.

REFERENCE

- Rezaei, Layla, Mahmoudi, Mitra. (2017). Presenting a model for explaining organizational citizenship behavior based on job satisfaction and organizational justice at Islamic Azad University, Arsanjan Branch. A new approach to educational management, 8 (2): 263-281.
- Salajegh, Sanjar., Pourshishidi, Rostam, Koolak, Adil. (2013). Investigating the relationship between organizational Justice and organizational citizenship behavior in governmental organizations in Kerman. Journal of Ethics in Science and Technology, 8 (2): 1-9.
- Marzouqi, Rahmatullah., Heidari, Elham. (2016). Assessing the causal relationships of regulatory violation, perception of organizational justice and knowledge sharing behavior (Case study of Shiraz University of Medical Sciences). Health Management, 9 (64): 19-30.
- Ali, A. J. (1992). Islamic work ethic in Arabia. Journal of Psychology, 126(5): 507-520.
- Carpenter, N.C., Berry, C.M., Houston, L. (2014). A meta-analytic comparison of self-reported and other-reported organizational citizenship behavior. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 35(4): 547-574.
- Chen, H., Jin, Y.H. (2014). The Effects of Organizational Justice on Organizational Citizenship Behavior in the Chinese Context: The Mediating Effects of Social Exchange Relationship. Public Personnel

- Management, 43(3): 301-313.
- Hassan, A., Moh Noor, K. (2008). Organizational justice and extra-role behavior: Examination the relationship in the Malaysian cultural context. *IIMU Journal of Economics and Management*, 16(2): 187-208.
- Lee, K., Allen, N.J. (2002). Organizational citizenship behavior and workplace deviance: The role of affect and cognitions. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 87(1): 131-142.
- Mohammad, J., Quoquab, F., Omar, R. (2016). Factors Affecting Organizational Citizenship Behavior among Malaysian Bank Employees: The Moderating Role of Islamic Work Ethic. *Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 224 (2016): 562 – 570.
- Niehoff, B. P., Moorman, W.V. (1993). Justice as a mediator of the relationship between methods of monitoring and organizational citizenship behavior. *Academy of Management Journal*, 36(3): 527-556.
- Podaskoff, P. N., Whiting, S.W., Podaskoff, P. M., Blume, B.D. (2009). Individual- and organizational –level consequences of organizational citizenship behaviors: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(1): 122-141.
- Podaskoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Paine, J.B., Bachrach, D.G. (2000). Organizational citizenship behavior: A critical review of the theoretical and empirical literature and suggestion for future research. *Journal of Management*, 26(3): 513- 563.
- Podsakoff, N. P., Podsakoff, P. M., Mackenzie, S.B., Maynes, T. D., Trevor M., Spoelma, T. (2014). Consequences Of unit-level organizational citizenship behaviors: a review and recommendations for future research. *Journal of Organizational Behaviour*, 35(51): 87- 119.
- Price, J. L., Mueller, C.W. (1986). *Handbook of organization measurement*. Marshfield, MA: Pitman.
- Tansky, J. W. (1993). Justice and Organizational Citizenship Behaviour: What is the Relationship?. *Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal*, 6(3): 195-207.

Publish Research Article

International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Books Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ Directory Of Research Journal Indexing
- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- ★ OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- DOAJ
- EBSCO
- Crossref DOI
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Database
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Review Of Research Journal
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-
413005, Maharashtra
Contact-9595359435

E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com