## Monthly Multidisciplinary Research Journal

# Review Of Research Journal

**Chief Editors** 

Ashok Yakkaldevi A R Burla College, India

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka

#### **RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595**

#### Welcome to Review Of Research

#### **ISSN No.2249-894X**

Review Of Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

#### **Regional Editor**

Manichander Thammishetty Ph.d Research Scholar, Faculty of Education IASE, Osmania University, Hyderabad.

#### Advisory Board

Kamani Perera Delia Serbescu Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania Lanka

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Fabricio Moraes de AlmeidaFederal University of Rondonia, Brazil

Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania

Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania Xiaohua Yang University of San Francisco, San Francisco

Karina Xavier Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), University of Sydney, Australia USA

May Hongmei Gao Kennesaw State University, USA

Marc Fetscherin Rollins College, USA

Liu Chen Beijing Foreign Studies University, China Mabel Miao Center for China and Globalization, China

Ruth Wolf University Walla, Israel

Jie Hao

Pei-Shan Kao Andrea University of Essex, United Kingdom

Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania

Ilie Pintea Spiru Haret University, Romania

Mahdi Moharrampour Islamic Azad University buinzahra Branch, Qazvin, Iran

Titus Pop PhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania

J. K. VIJAYAKUMAR King Abdullah University of Science & Technology, Saudi Arabia.

George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Anurag Misra Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi

**REZA KAFIPOUR** Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran

Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur

Nimita Khanna Director, Isara Institute of Management, New Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Delhi

Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur

P. Malyadri Government Degree College, Tandur, A.P.

S. D. Sindkhedkar PSGVP Mandal's Arts, Science and Commerce College, Shahada [ M.S. ]

DBS College, Kanpur

C. D. Balaji Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai

Bhavana vivek patole PhD, Elphinstone college mumbai-32

Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut (U.P.)

Govind P. Shinde Education Center, Navi Mumbai

Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain

Jayashree Patil-Dake MBA Department of Badruka College Commerce and Arts Post Graduate Centre (BCCAPGC), Kachiguda, Hyderabad

Maj. Dr. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.

AR. SARAVANAKUMARALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, TN

V.MAHALAKSHMI Dean, Panimalar Engineering College

S.KANNAN Ph.D, Annamalai University

Kanwar Dinesh Singh Dept.English, Government Postgraduate College, solan

More.....

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell : 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.ror.isrj.org



### **REVIEW OF RESEARCH**



ISSN: 2249-894X IMPACT FACTOR : 3.8014(UIF) VOLUME - 6 | ISSUE - 4 | JANUARY - 2017

#### A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF PERSONALITY DIMENISIONS OF M. Ed. STUDENT TEACHERS

Dr. Santosh K. Khirade Assistant Professor, Department of Education, North Maharashtra University, Jalgaon .

#### **ABSTRACT:**

The present study was conducted to compare the personality Dimensions of M. Ed student teachers. The study was conducted on a random sample of 98 M. Ed Students studying in M. Ed. The Dimensional Personality Inventory by Mahesh Bhargava was used to collect the data. The result of the present study reveals that, there is no significant difference between the Male and female Student Teachers in their personality Dimensions such as Activity- Passivity Trait, Enthusiastic and Non- Enthusiastic Trait, Suspicious –Trusting Trait, Depressive-Non Depressive Trait and Emotional Instability and Emotional Satiability, except Assertive- Submissive dimension of personality.

**KEYWORDS:** Personality, Dimension.



Dr. Santosh K. Khirade

#### **INTRODUCTION:**

Ordinarily, Personality is taken as the external appearance of the individual. The word personality has been derived from the Latin work "Persona'. Which means mask. Personality is a set of individual differences that are affected by the development of an individual: values, attitudes, personal memories, social relationships, habits, and skills. Personality can be determined through a variety of tests. However, dimensions of personality and scales of personality tests vary and often are poorly defined. (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Personality) "Personality" is a dynamic and organized set of characteristics possessed by a person that uniquely influences their environment, cognitions, emotions, motivations, and behaviours in various situations. The term personality represents the overall profile or combination of characteristics that capture the unique nature of a person as



that person reacts and interacts with others and how he views himself. Personality combines a set of physical and mental characteristics that reflect how a person looks, thinks, acts, and feels. Personality is understood as dynamic organisation of traits which determine person's unique adjustment to his environment. 'Personality is that pattern of characteristic thoughts, feelings, and behaviours that distinguishes one person from another and that persists over time and situation' In the workd of Gordon Allport, "Personality is the dynamic organisation within the individual of those psychophysical systems that determine his unique adjustment of his environment." Personality trait is characteristic of the individual. The types of Traits are Individual traits, Common traits, Cardinal traits, Central traits, Secondary traits, Expressive traits, attitudinal traits. The types of traits show that as compared to others, some traits are more motivating and changing. Psychology employs a number of methods by which it measures personality. In Briefly, the methods of measuring personality are..

- Case History Method,
   Interview Method
- 3) Questionnaire Method
- 4) Performance Method
- 5) Rating Method
- 6) Situation Test
- 7) Psycho-Analytic Test
- 8) Projection Method etc.

A personality test can provides us with a way to categorized different characteristics or traits that we might otherwise not be aware of. Personality is also important for Team spirit of individuals. Important Personality Traits needed for Teaching Effectiveness: The "Big five "Personality Traits: 1. Conscientiousness, 2. Emotional stability, 3. Agreeableness4. Extraversion, 5. Openness to experience (Muhammad Irfan Arif et.el). Personality tests attempt to measure an individual's personal traits scientifically. Training programmes can enhance teacher effectiveness by training them in empathy and interpersonal skills.

#### **SCOPE OF THE STUDY:**

The researcher work will be concentrated only M. Ed. student teachers. Only student Teachers in NMU campus are to be studied. The result and conclusion will therefore be limited to the target population that will be studied.

#### Statement of the Problem:

A Comparative Study of Personality Dimensions Of M. Ed. Student Teachers

#### **Objectives of the Study:**

The Major objectives of the study are...

1.To study the Activity- Passivity Trait, Enthusiastic and Non- Enthusiastic Trait, Assertive- Submissive Trait, Suspicious –Trusting Trait, Depressive-Non Depressive Trait and Emotional Instability and Emotional Satiability of M. Ed student teachers.

2.To find out if there is any significant deference among the personality dimension of Male and Female M. Ed student teachers.

#### **Hypotheses:**

The following hypotheses were formulated for the present study.

1)There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Activity-Passivity Dimension of personality.

2)There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Enthusiastic – Non enthusiastic Dimension of personality.

3) There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Assertive-Submissive dimension of personality.

4) There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Suspicious-Trusting dimension of personality.

5)There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Depressive-Non Depressive dimension of personality.

6) There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Emotional Instability-Emotional Stability dimension of personality.

#### **METHODOLOGY:**

Methodology occupies a very important position in any kind of research. The present study attempts to study of the personality of M. Ed. student teachers. To achieve these objectives, the survey method was adopted. **Sample:** 

This study was confined to Jalgaon district in Maharashtra. The sample sizes 98 M. Ed. Student teachers were randomly selected. For the present Study, the investigator has taken 98 M. Ed Student Teachers from various batches of M.Ed. The required data were collected from M. Ed Student Teachers.

#### **Tool Used:**

The tool is the date gathering instruments; the success of the investigation depends on the proper selection of research tool. In this present study, the investigator used the survey method. For the collection of data, in the present study the 'Dimensional Personality Inventory' by Mehesh Bharghava is used. It is a standardized test of personality.

#### **Statistical Techniques:**

The following statistical measures are used in the study.

Mean, Standard Deviation, Critical Ratio (t-Test)

#### Procedure:

Dimensional Personality Inventory (DPI) test was administered and scored as per manual of test. Data was analyzed with the help of Mean, S.D., and 't' Value.

#### **Data Analysis:**

Dimensions ⇒ Interpretation		Activity	Enthusiastic	Assertive	Suspicious	Depressive	Emotional Instability
Extremely High Level	Ą	0 %	0 %	4 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
Moderately High Level		4 %	8 %	12 %	8 %	2 %	0 %
Above Average Level		40 %	40 %	42 %	33 %	15 %	10 %
Average		44 %	40 %	36 %	34 %	29 %	50 %
Above Average Level		12%	12 %	4 %	21 %	39 %	29 %
Moderately High Level		0 %	0 %	2 %	0 %	15 %	12 %
Extremely High Level		0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
Interpretation∬ Dimensions ⇒		Passivity	Non- enthusiastic	Submissive	Trusting	Non- depressive	Emotional Stability

#### Table No. 1 Profile Showing Level of Degree of the Each Dimension Male Student Teachers

Dimensions ⇒ Interpretation ↓		Activity	Enthusiastic	Assertive	Suspicious	Depressive	Emotional Instability
Extremely High Level	Ą	0 %	2 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
Moderately High Level		9 %	22 %	4 %	0 %	2%	4 %
Above Average Level		39 %	15 %	26 %	2 %	7 %	54 %
Average		41 %	50 %	30 %	20 %	43 %	44 %
Above Äverage Level		11 %	9 %	35 %	54 %	33 %	33 %
Moderately High Level		0 %	2 %	0 %	24 %	15 %	9 %
Extremely High Level		0%	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %	0 %
Interpretation∬ Dimensions ⇒	1	Passivity	Non- enthusiastic	Submissive	Trusting	Non- depressive	Emotional Stability

#### Table No. 2 Profile Showing Level of Degree of the Each Dimension Female Student Teachers

#### Table No 3.

#### The Activity-Passivity Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

Sex	N	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	15.02	2.94		0.53			
Female	46	15.65	2.24	96		1.19	1.98	Not Significant *

#### \*Not Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 3 it is observed that the obtained t value (1.19) is less than the table value of 1.98 hence, it is not significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is retained.

#### Table No 4

#### Enthusiastic and Non-Enthusiastic Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	13.19	3.04		0.67			
Female	46	12.63	3.67	96		0.83	1.98	Not
								Significant *

#### \*Not Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 4 it is observed that the obtained t value (0.83) is less than the table value of 1.98 hence, it is not

significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is retained.

Sex	N	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	9.63	2.91		0.60			
Female	46	7.87	3.10	96		3.22	1.96	Significant *

 Table No. 5

 The Assertive-Submissive Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

\*Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 5 it is observed that the obtained t value (3.22) is greater than the table value of 1.96 hence, it is significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is rejected. Therefore research hypothesis that, is there is significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Assertive-Submissive dimension of personality. By observing the mean the mean of Male student teachers is greater than female student teachers.

Table No. 6The Suspicious-Trusting Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	4.38	3.27		0.62			
Female	46	3.35	2.80	96		1.68	1.96	Not Significant *

\*Not Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 6 it is observed that the obtained t value (0.83) is less than the table value of 1.98 hence, it is not significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is retained.

#### Table No. 7

#### The Depressive-Non Depressive Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

Sex	Ν	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	5.13	4.06		0.82			
Female	46	5.39	4.06	96		0.31	1.96	Not Significant *

\*Not Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 7 it is observed that the obtained t value (0.31) is less than the table value of 1.96 hence, it is not significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is retained.

#### Table No 8.

#### Emotional Instability and Emotional Stability Trait dimension among the Male and Female M. Ed Students Teachers.

Sex	N	Mean	SD	Df	SE	Calculated 't' Value	Table Value	Remark
Male	52	7.94	3.96		0.84			
Female	46	8.28	4.45	96		0.40	1.96	Not Significant *

\*Not Significant at 0.05 Level

Form Table 8, it is observed that the obtained t value (0.40) is less than the table value of 1.96 hence; it is not significant at 0.05 level. Therefore, the null hypothesis is retained.

#### **MAJOR FINDINGS:**

i. There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Activity-Passivity Dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 3)

ii. There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Enthusiastic – Non enthusiastic Dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 4)

iii. There is significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Assertive-Submissive dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 5)

iv. There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Suspicious-Trusting dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 6)

v.There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Depressive-Non Depressive dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 7)

vi. There is no significant difference among the Male and female Student Teachers in their Emotional Instability-Emotional Stability dimension of personality. (As per Table no. 8)

#### **CONCLUSION:**

The present study was conducted to compare the Personality Dimensions of M. Ed student teachers. The result of the present study reveals that, there is no significant difference between the Male and female Student Teachers in their personality Dimensions such as Activity- Passivity Trait, Enthusiastic and Non- Enthusiastic Trait, Suspicious –Trusting Trait, Depressive-Non Depressive Trait and Emotional Instability and Emotional Satiability, except Assertive- Submissive dimension of personality.

#### **REFERENCES:**

1.Bhargava, M.(2006). Manual for 'Dimensional Personality Inventory (D.P.I.), Har Prasad Institute of Behavioural Studies, 42, Hardeep Enclave, Agra.

2.Kothari, C. R., (1993). Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques', Wiley Estern Ltd., Second Edition, 4th Reprint.

3.Kaul Lokesh, (1984), Methodology of Educational Research, Vani Educational Books, New Delhi, 110002.

4.MANGAL, S. K. (November 2006). Statistics in Psychology & Education. New Delhi: Prentice Hall of India Private Limited.

5. Muhammad Irfan Arif & Aqeela Rashid & Syeda Samina Tahira & Mahnaz Akhter (September, 2012). Personality and Teaching: An Investigation into Prospective Teachers' Personality, International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, Vol. 2 No. 17; September 2012, www.ijhsnet.com

6.Panhalkar S. S. & Dr. Bhapkar D. S.( 1- Jan. 2014 ) ,A Study Of B. Ed. Student Teachers Differential Personality, Research Front journal, www.researchfront.in

7.https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Personality

## Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper,Summary of Research Project,Theses,Books and Books Review for publication,you will be pleased to know that our journals are

## Associated and Indexed, India

- Directory Of Research Journal Indexing
- International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- \* OPEN J-GATE

## Associated and Indexed, USA

- DOAJ
- EBSCO
- Crossref DOI
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Review Of Research Journal 258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-413005,Maharashtra Contact-9595359435 E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com Website : www.ror.isrj.org