

Vol 6 Issue 3 Dec 2016

ISSN No : 2249-894X

*Monthly Multidisciplinary
Research Journal*

*Review Of
Research Journal*

Chief Editors

Ashok Yakkaldevi
A R Burla College, India

Ecaterina Patrascu
Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Kamani Perera
Regional Centre For Strategic Studies,
Sri Lanka

Review Of Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Regional Editor

Dr. T. Manichander

Advisory Board

Kamani Perera Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka	Delia Serbescu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania	Mabel Miao Center for China and Globalization, China
Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest	Xiaohua Yang University of San Francisco, San Francisco	Ruth Wolf University Walla, Israel
Fabricio Moraes de Almeida Federal University of Rondonia, Brazil	Karina Xavier Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), USA	Jie Hao University of Sydney, Australia
Anna Maria Constantinovici AL. I. Cuza University, Romania	May Hongmei Gao Kennesaw State University, USA	Pei-Shan Kao Andrea University of Essex, United Kingdom
Romona Mihaila Spiru Haret University, Romania	Marc Fetscherin Rollins College, USA	Loredana Bosca Spiru Haret University, Romania
	Liu Chen Beijing Foreign Studies University, China	Ilie Pinte Spiru Haret University, Romania
Mahdi Moharrampour Islamic Azad University buinzahra Branch, Qazvin, Iran	Nimita Khanna Director, Isara Institute of Management, New Delhi	Govind P. Shinde Bharati Vidyapeeth School of Distance Education Center, Navi Mumbai
Titus Pop PhD, Partium Christian University, Oradea, Romania	Salve R. N. Department of Sociology, Shivaji University, Kolhapur	Sonal Singh Vikram University, Ujjain
J. K. VIJAYAKUMAR King Abdullah University of Science & Technology, Saudi Arabia.	P. Malyadri Government Degree College, Tandur, A.P.	Jayashree Patil-Dake MBA Department of Badruka College Commerce and Arts Post Graduate Centre (BCCAPGC), Kachiguda, Hyderabad
George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Political Sciences Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi	S. D. Sindkhedkar PSGVP Mandal's Arts, Science and Commerce College, Shahada [M.S.]	Maj. Dr. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary Director, Hyderabad AP India.
REZA KAFIPOUR Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Shiraz, Iran	Anurag Misra DBS College, Kanpur	AR. SARAVANAKUMARALAGAPPA UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI, TN
Rajendra Shendge Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Solapur	C. D. Balaji Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai	V.MAHALAKSHMI Dean, Panimalar Engineering College
	Bhavana vivek patole PhD, Elphinstone college mumbai-32	S.KANNAN Ph.D , Annamalai University
	Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut (U.P.)	Kanwar Dinesh Singh Dept.English, Government Postgraduate College , solan

More.....



THE FUTURE OF SPIRITUALITY IN SOCIAL WORK: FARTHER REACHES OF HUMAN NURTURE

Dr. D. G. Nejkar

Associate Professor , Department of Social Work Karmaveer Hire Arts, Science ,
Commerce and Education College, Gargoti, Kolhapur.

ABSTRACT :

This examines the improvement of the social work profession in relation to the subject of spirituality and proposes future conceivable outcomes and proposals for development. It presents chronicled slants inside four stages prompting to the present and past. Current patterns demonstrate quickly expanding amounts of distributions and other expert exercises about most profound sense of being inside an example of an ever farther achieving integrative approach that includes differing religious and nonreligious points of view, scholarly controls, global collaborations, and mankind's association with the Earth.

KEY WORDS: Human Nature, Social work, Spirituality, religion, diversity, transpersonal, history, future.

INTRODUCTION:

In many religious traditions, telling about



the future involves two functions that may or may not be combined: prognostication and prophecy. From Greek root words, prognostication literally means 'to know before'; a prognosticator predicts what will be. Also from Greek, prophecy literally means 'to speak before'; a prophet proclaims what should be. These kinds of foretelling set out the organization of this essay.

Regarding prognostication, this essay will present currently emerging trends based on evidence from the social work literature and my experience with the topic during the past 2 decades. Regarding prophecy, this essay will offer recommendations for future work that

expands on current trends. Prophecy arises from deeply felt moral, value, and ethical commitments. In both prediction and proclamation, the 'foretellers' own vantage point and biases influence what is said. So in order to avoid the pretense of objective or absolute truth, this essay is written in the 'first person' style of I, me, and my. Use of this style is not meant to imply that my view should set the standard for future work on spirituality in social work. Rather, it emphasizes the limits of my view and invites others to join in dialogue and critique.

In 1969, Abraham Maslow published a groundbreaking essay called "The Farther Reaches of Human

Nature." In that essay, he reviewed major trends in the field of psychology's understanding of human nature, identified the limits, and proposed an expansion of view toward the highest human possibilities of creativity and consciousness—beyond the egocentric to the transpersonal. Much of what I will say in this article is informed by a transpersonal perspective on social work (Canda & Smith, 2001; Robbins, Chatterjee, & Canda, 1998). The subtitle of my essay is a play on the words of Maslow's essay, since I explore the farther reaches of the social work profession's commitment to promote nurture, well-being, and justice for all people. As the essay proceeds to these 'farther reaches,' it may seem indeed more and more 'far-out' if not on the fringe'. However, it is necessary.

PROGNOSTICATION

In order to set a context for emerging directions of work, it is helpful to

consider where our profession has come from in its relationship with topics of spirituality and religion. Canda and Furman (1999) and Canda (2002a) have suggested a concise and simplified historical perspective. They suggest that our profession in the United States has moved through three broad overlapping phases and is in the midst of a fourth. The following discussion adapts and expands their view of these phases. This historical review is meant only as a helpful heuristic framework; it is an oversimplification of a complex and dynamic worldwide process. One of the most glaring limitations of this review is that it starts from a USA based professional social work outlook.

In phase one, sectarian origins, (colonial period through early twentieth century), many congregational and community based philanthropic activities, the settlement house movement, the Charity Organization Society, the Social Gospel movement, and social welfare institutions and policies that led toward the development of professional social work were strongly influenced by religious sectarian views, especially Christian and Jewish, as well as some nonsectarian humanistic spiritual ideologies (Cnaan, 1999). In addition, Indigenous, African American, and French or Spanish colonial influenced Catholic helping and healing traditions existed prior to, outside of, along with, and sometimes within the prior mentioned predominantly Northern European-American social welfare developments (Canda & Furman, 1999; Martin & Martin, 2002; Van Hook, Hugen, & Aguilar, 2001).

In phase two, professionalization and secularization (1920s to 1970s), social work solidified as a profession. Social work and social welfare education, practice, institutions, and policy became increasingly secularized in the mainstream due to concerns about separation of church and state, inappropriate religious proselytization and judgmentalism as well as optimism about scientific and humanist alternative approaches to human behavior and social problems. Social work education over time increasingly neglected the subjects of spirituality and religion. National curriculum guidelines of the Council on Social Work Education (CSWE) deleted references to these subjects in the 1970s and 1980s. However, religiously based social services, tacit religious ideologies, and community based religious helping traditions continued. Ideas from Asian religions and philosophies began to enter social work literature (e.g. Brandon, 1976). In addition, humanistic and other nonsectarian spiritual perspectives grew within social work, such as humanistic psychology, existentialism, and 12 Step programs (Robbins, Chatterjee, & Canda, 1998). Some authors called for attention to religion and spirituality, but these generally did not gain wide acceptance (e.g. Spencer, 1956; Towle, 1965).

In phase three, resurgence of interest in spirituality (1980s to mid 1990s), religiously based social services and ideas increased in diversity and were discussed more in the social work literature, such as Buddhism, Christianity, Confucianism, Hinduism, Judaism, Shamanism, Spiritism, and Taoism (Canda, Nakashima, Burgess, Russel, & Barfield, 2003). Nonsectarian spiritual perspectives such as existentialism, Gandhian social activism, and transpersonal theory increased.

One of the most significant innovations during this time was conceptualization of spirituality for social work purposes that addressed the holistic body-mind-spirit-relational qualities of human beings, encompassed diverse religious and nonreligious spiritual perspectives in a respectful way, and provided guidelines for dealing with spirituality consistent with professional values and ethics (e.g. Canda, 1988; Joseph, 1987; Loewenberg, 1988; Sheridan, Wilmer, & Atchison, 1994; Siporin, 1985). This approach overcame the concerns of many educators and practitioners that social workers might fall into religiously based biases, exclusivism, judgmentalism, discrimination, and oppression when dealing with spirituality in practice, policy, and education. It provided the beginnings of an orientation for social workers and clients of diverse religious and nonreligious perspectives to cooperate while honoring their own various religious and nonreligious commitments. It also emphasized that addressing spirituality is consistent with the historical foundations of our profession, the enduring person-environment social work vantage point, the reality of increasing diversification in the United States, and the professional mission of promoting dignity, respect, and well-being for all people.

Another significant innovation during this time was the establishment of professional networks and organizations that encouraged information sharing, collaboration and synergy among scholars and practitioners committed to an inclusive approach to spiritual diversity. For example, the Society for Spirituality and Social Work began with informal networking in 1986 and was founded as an organization in 1990. This organization also connected with Christian, Jewish, and other professional religious and nonsectarian spiritual networks and organizations. (See links for this Society and other groups dealing with spiritual diversity in social work at www.socwel.ku.edu/canda, retrieved on February 13, 2005.)

I believe that the establishment of an inclusive approach to spiritual diversity founded in professional values and ethics is a major reason why work on spirituality in our profession has been able to increase rapidly in quantity and quality during the next phase. This trend in social work was parallel to and fueled by similar trends in religious studies, anthropology, medicine, nursing, psychology, pastoral care, and other disciplines. It was also stimulated by increasing interests in spirituality (not always inclusive in nature) within the larger popular culture and political discourse.

PROPHECY

I believe that there is an extremely important general developmental process that enfolds the various trends of the fourth phase. Creative thinking and acting about spirituality in social work is catalyzing our profession to grow beyond egocentric, ethnocentric, nationalistic, humanocentric and other divisive and parochial views. It is not surprising that professional social work in the United States would have been biased toward Eurocentric, patriarchal, nation-centered, Judeo-Christian and human privileged perspectives during most of the 20th century because that was the conditioning cultural context. As Coates (2003) pointed out, professional social work formed within a modernist set of world view assumptions. However, it is remarkable and praiseworthy that the profession kept moving in the direction of inclusion, caring and justice from its inception moving into wider and deeper understandings of human diversity and ideals of well-being for all people.

On a practical level, the development of American social work to include and transcend bounded perspectives is shaped by a larger worldwide transformation of consciousness, culture, and technology. Given that virtually all people and all parts of the world are now actually or potentially in contact, through political and economic institutions, mass communications, movement of populations, and the internet, social work in any country can no longer rest on parochial views. For better and worse, globalization is happening. This includes the interconnection of social workers, social welfare organizations, informal community support systems, and spiritual perspectives around the world. As social workers outside the USA are also influenced by these world trends, they too are reaching out in expanded worldview and collaborations.

This developmental process is not just a reaction to social environmental pressures. Many social workers, including founders such as Jane Addams, had and continue to have creative and proactive spiritual visions of welfare and justice. We keep challenging ourselves to expand our understanding, compassion, and justice activism to ever widening circles of at-one-time unacknowledged and marginalized groups. This is a spiritual growth process toward a professional consciousness and culture of inclusion that appreciates diversity while including and transcending the spiritual insights of particular individuals, groups, communities, and religions. This developmental process helps us to respect spiritual beliefs and experiences in particular contexts. It also helps us to communicate, collaborate, and cohabitate in a mutually beneficial way. As the profession conveys expanded consciousness to students, it challenges them to expand their personal views toward spiritually sensitive and culturally competent ways of doing social work (Canda & Furman, 1999; Raines, 2004; Rey, 1997).

However, continued development toward greater inclusion within the profession in general or within the movement toward spiritual sensitivity is not automatic or guaranteed. This future will be a matter of decision, action, and perseverance along each moment of the present. All the trends of phase four need to continue for a long time if spirituality is to become pervasively understood and addressed in social work around the world. Dangers of religiously and ideologically based intolerance, divisiveness, and competition for privilege can derail or corrupt any of these trends.

However, if these trends continue at the present rate, I expect that there will be well-established movements of appreciation for spiritual diversity in social work on every continent within 20 years and that these will be interconnected thoroughly. I believe this development is crucial to meet the challenges of counter trends in the USA and around the world of imperialism, genocide, sexism, religious persecution, environmental racism, homophobia, and other forms of alienation and oppression (Coates, 2003).

CONCLUSION:

Perusal of the extensive bibliography on spiritual diversity in social work shows some gaps and limitations of current scholarship that should be addressed (Canda, Nakashima, Burgess, Russel & Barfield, 2003). We should

expand research about the range of spiritual perspectives within the USA and around the world. For example, there is still little published on Asian originated religions, First Nations religions, Islam, ecophilosophy, and transpersonal perspectives. Wicca, Neo-paganism, and alternative or new religious movements are barely addressed. Even within the spiritual perspectives considered so far in social work literature, greater depth and breadth of knowledge is needed, especially through ethnographic and participatory action research methods that give rich and detailed accounts of peoples experience of spirituality in their own terms and contexts.

More information and guidance are needed with regard to spiritually sensitive practice with specific cultural groups and in particular national contexts. Much more work on international and cross-cultural perspectives for social action and social development is crucial. Work on specific fields of social work practice needs much more expansion both through new studies by social workers and by drawing on rapidly increasing studies in other disciplines. For example, the adult mental health field has had most attention so far, but even that has not yet used much of the current work on spirituality in psychology of religions, transpersonal psychotherapy, and the consumer driven mental health recovery movement. Fields of gerontology, addictions, hospice, and health are good examples of recent rapid growth. But there is very little regarding school social work, agency administration, community organizing, macro social development, social policy, and international social work. There has been very little published in social work about issues of gender, disability, and sexual orientation connected to spirituality. There is very little published on addressing spirituality with children in social work.

Generally, we need to know more about what social workers actually do in practice (at all system levels and in all fields), what works well, and what spiritually focused helping approaches we could learn from helping professionals and community based religious helpers and healers beyond social work. Most studies of how social workers address spirituality in practice have been concentrated on clinicians through surveys, small-scale anecdotal reflections, and relatively brief interviews. While we need more of these, we also need greater attention to mezzo and macro levels of practice. We should engage in more direct observational and field studies with prolonged engagement for greater depth and realistic portrayal, mixed methods studies to join breadth and depth perspectives, and quasi-experimental and experimental designs to examine efficacy. We need to utilize more transpersonal research methods geared to the exploration of consciousness, alternative and complementary healing modalities, and spiritual qualities of group dynamics in collective action for justice (e.g. Braud & Anderson, 1998). And we can reach vision for inquiry, education, and practice even farther.

One of the most far-reaching visions of spirituality in social work is that shared by deep ecologists and eco-feminists. They call for an expansion of social work's person environment perspective and social justice action to encompass all people, beings, ecosystems, and the entire Earth. However, even these do not go far enough— they have not gone beyond concern with the Earth.

Some readers might be thinking that Earth wide consciousness and concern is already going far if not too far for social work. But I believe that our profession has quite a way to go if we are to catch up to the activities of national and international space agencies, military operations, communication technology companies, and new private entrepreneurial space tourism companies.

Human beings have already traveled beyond the Earth's atmosphere, walked on the moon, orbited surveillance and communication satellites, worked toward orbiting military weapons, lived together in a space station, landed rovers on Mars, and sent probes beyond the solar system. There are long range plans to colonize other planets. Perhaps social workers could encourage ecologically sustainable models of human interactions both within and beyond the Earth. We could advocate for distribution of resulting benefits in an equitable manner across nations and socio-economic classes; genuine respect for any sentient beings we may encounter; and appreciation for the human-Earth-cosmos interconnection wherever we are. Granted there are urgent and seemingly overwhelming needs for more conventional feet-on-the-ground social work in every country. All I am suggesting is that we should keep our minds open, stay alert for ever expanding arenas to advance well-being and justice, and do what we can. Otherwise, at some point, we are likely to be very badly surprised.

Another far-reaching vision about spirituality in social work goes to the transpersonal and nonphysical qualities of reality: subjectivity, consciousness, spirit, immanent sacredness and transcendent divinity. For example, various transpersonal theories and religious traditions explore altered or expanded states and flows of consciousness (as in trance, prayer, meditation, hypnotism). They posit nonphysical aspects of humans, other

beings, and the universe as a whole, such as souls; plant and animal spirits; spirits of mountains, waters, lands, skies, stars, and underworlds; angels, ancestors, ghosts, and deceased saints and sages; life force energies (such as kundalini); cosmic principles (such as Tao); and the ultimate nature of reality itself (such as God, Buddha Nature, Brahman, Tao, unitary consciousness). Some nonphysical entities are considered to be intrinsically good and helpful. Others are considered to be mischievous, ambiguous, or outright evil.

I do not believe that it is the proper domain of professional social work to proselytize particular metaphysical beliefs. However, given that most people in the world believe in nonphysical spiritual qualities and that some clients believe them to be crucially involved in their lives and goals, we should further explore their role, if relevant to clients, in helping or hindering individuals and communities. We need to become more familiar with such issues as differential assessment of spiritual crises from psychopathology; the way people experience the presence of God, angels, and helping spirits in recovery and resilience; how beliefs and experiences regarding harmful, imbalanced, or evil spiritual forces affect them; and how beliefs of communities about divine will, fate, cosmic evolution, and eschatology may shape views of personal situation, social policy and social justice. Social work may contribute to peoples experiences of well-being in relation with their own souls, the spirits around them, and Ultimate Reality itself, however they understand these spiritual phenomena.

As the farther reaches of human nurture extend, we are likely to discover more amazing possibilities for spirituality in social work. If we pursue the trends of the fourth phase, perhaps spirituality will no longer be viewed just as a special interest, but rather as the true heart of helping. Then we will have made a significant leap into a fifth phase or beyond.

REFERENCES

1. Braud, W. & Anderson, R. (1998). *Transpersonal research methods for the social sciences*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
2. Besthom, F. H. & Canda, E. R. (2002). Revisioning environment: Deep ecology for social work education. *Journal of Teaching in Social Work*, 22(1/2), 79-101,
3. Brandon, D. (1976). *Zen in the art of helping*. New York: Delta/Seymour Lawrence.
4. Bullis, R. K. (1996). *Spirituality in social work practice*. Washington, DC: Taylor & Francis.
5. Canda, E. R. (1997). Spirituality. In R. L. Edwards (Ed.-in-Chief), *Encyclopedia of social work* (19d' ed., Suppl, pp. 299-309). Washington, DC: NASW Press,
6. Canda, E. R. (1998). Afterword: Linking spirituality and social work: Five themes for innovation.
7. Canda, E. R. (2002a), A world wide view on spirituality and social work: Reflections from the USA experience and suggestions for internationalization. *Currents: New Scholarship in the Human Services* [on-line serial]. Available: <http://fsw.ucalgaiy.ca/currents/articles/articles/Candal/>
8. Canda, E, R, (1988). Conceptualizing spirituality for social work: Insights from diverse perspectives. *Social Thought*, 14(1), 30-46.
9. Banerjee, M. M, (1997). Strengths despite constraints: Memoirs of research in a slum in Calcutta. *Reflections: Narratives of Professional Helping*, 3(3), 36-45.
10. Sheridan, M. J. (2004), Predicting the use of spiritually-derived interventions in social work practice: A survey of practitioners. *Journal of Religion and Spirituality in Social Work: Social Thought*,



Dr. D. G. Nejkar

Associate Professor , Department of Social Work Karmaveer Hire Arts, Science ,Commerce and Education College, Gargoti, Kolhapur.

Publish Research Article

International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam,

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Books Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ Directory Of Research Journal Indexing
- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- ★ OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- DOAJ
- EBSCO
- Crossref DOI
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Database
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database

Review Of Research Journal
258/34 Raviwar Peth Solapur-
413005, Maharashtra
Contact-9595359435

E-Mail-ayisrj@yahoo.in/ayisrj2011@gmail.com