ISSN No: 2249-894X

Monthly Multidisciplinary Research Journal

Review Of Research Journal

Chief Editors

Ashok Yakkaldevi A R Burla College, India

Ecaterina Patrascu Spiru Haret University, Bucharest

Kamani Perera

Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Lanka

Welcome to Review Of Research

RNI MAHMUL/2011/38595

ISSN No.2249-894X

Review Of Research Journal is a multidisciplinary research journal, published monthly in English, Hindi & Marathi Language. All research papers submitted to the journal will be double - blind peer reviewed referred by members of the editorial Board readers will include investigator in universities, research institutes government and industry with research interest in the general subjects.

Regional Editor

Dr. T. Manichander

Advisory Board

Delia Serbescu Kamani Perera Mabel Miao Regional Centre For Strategic Studies, Sri Spiru Haret University, Bucharest, Romania Center for China and Globalization, China Lanka Xiaohua Yang Ruth Wolf University of San Francisco, San Francisco Ecaterina Patrascu University Walla, Israel Spiru Haret University, Bucharest Karina Xavier Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), Fabricio Moraes de AlmeidaFederal University of Sydney, Australia **USA** University of Rondonia, Brazil Pei-Shan Kao Andrea May Hongmei Gao Anna Maria Constantinovici University of Essex, United Kingdom Kennesaw State University, USA AL. I. Cuza University, Romania Marc Fetscherin Romona Mihaila Loredana Bosca Rollins College, USA Spiru Haret University, Romania Spiru Haret University, Romania Liu Chen Beijing Foreign Studies University, China Ilie Pintea Spiru Haret University, Romania

Mahdi Moharrampour	Nimita Khanna	Govind P. Shinde
Islamic Azad University buinzahra	Director, Isara Institute of Management, New	* 1
Branch, Qazvin, Iran	Delhi	Education Center, Navi Mumbai
Titus Pop	Salve R. N.	Sonal Singh
PhD, Partium Christian University,	Department of Sociology, Shivaji University,	
Oradea,	Kolhapur	3
Romania		Jayashree Patil-Dake
	P. Malyadri	MBA Department of Badruka College
J. K. VIJAYAKUMAR	Government Degree College, Tandur, A.P.	Commerce and Arts Post Graduate Centre
King Abdullah University of Science &	S. D. Sindkhedkar	(BCCAPGC), Kachiguda, Hyderabad
Technology,Saudi Arabia.	PSGVP Mandal's Arts, Science and	Maj. Dr. S. Bakhtiar Choudhary
Gaarga Calin SEDITAN	Commerce College, Shahada [M.S.]	Director, Hyderabad AP India.
George - Calin SERITAN Postdoctoral Researcher	Commerce Conege, Shahada [141.5.]	Director, rry derabad 7 ir maid.
Faculty of Philosophy and Socio-Politica	Anurag Misra	AR. SARAVANAKUMARALAGAPPA
Sciences	DBS College, Kanpur	UNIVERSITY, KARAIKUDI,TN
Al I Cuza University Issi		

Al. I. Cuza University, Iasi C. D. Balaji V.MAHALAKSHMI Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai Dean, Panimalar Engineering College **REZA KAFIPOUR** Shiraz University of Medical Sciences Bhavana vivek patole S.KANNAN Shiraz, Iran

PhD, Elphinstone college mumbai-32 Ph.D, Annamalai University Rajendra Shendge Awadhesh Kumar Shirotriya Kanwar Dinesh Singh

Director, B.C.U.D. Solapur University, Secretary, Play India Play (Trust), Meerut Dept.English, Government Postgraduate Solapur College, solan (U.P.) More.....

Address:-Ashok Yakkaldevi 258/34, Raviwar Peth, Solapur - 413 005 Maharashtra, India Cell: 9595 359 435, Ph No: 02172372010 Email: ayisrj@yahoo.in Website: www.ror.isrj.org Review Of Research ISSN:-2249-894X

Impact Factor: 2.1002(UIF)
Vol. 3 | Issue. 1 | October 2013
Available online at www.ror.isrj.net





US POLICY TOWARDS MAOISTS OF NEPAL

Dr. Santosh Singh

Asst. Professor, Political Science Deptt., Agra College.

Abstract:-The US always maintained cordial and supportive relation with Nepal. When Himalayan Kingdom faced biggest threat in the form of Maoist insurgency in 1996, Washington did not have any coherent policy. But soon US began to provide political and military assistance to Nepal so it could face Maoist groups backed by China. This paper discusses Clinton and George W. Bush Administration's policy towards Maoist groups of Neapal.

KEYWORDS:

Maoists of Nepal, provide political and military assistance.

INTRODUCTION:

Before dealing Washington's Maoist policy, it seems to discuss the emergence of Maoist problem in Nepal. The Maoist suddenly emerged in Himalyan Kingdom in 1996. Their goal was to establish people's government in Nepal. To achieve their goal, they staged many deadly attacks on police and army. In 2004, Maoists cut off land routes to Kathmandu. In June 2005, Maoists exploded bomb in a crowded bus killing 38 and injuring more than 70. By 2005, Maoists ran parallel government in nearly 45 districts of Nepal. By 2006, Maoist violence had claimed more than 12000 lives and paralyzed Nepal's political system. As King Gyanendra's repression increased both democrats and Maoists came closer. In 2005, Maoists and seven main political parties secretly negotiated a deal in India to establish multiparty democracy in Nepal. After this deal Maoist declared ceasefire there. But they broke off cesefire again in January 2006.

Maoists were very critical of India as well as United States. They described US as the world's biggest terrorist. American action in Afghanistan was interpreted as a 'terrorist attack' by Maoist. They did not seen anything wrong in 9/11 terrorist attack on US in 2001. They justified Al Qaeda attack on America on the ground that terrorists too had the right to retaliate. Maoist's chief negotiator and Chairman Baburam Bhattarai threatened US with another Vietnam if it expanded its military aid to Nepal. He sent a letter to US Ambassador in Kathmandu which called on the US to stop interfering in the internal affairs of Nepal. Maoists killed two Nepalese Security guards deployed at the American Embassy in Kathmandu in 2002. They made it known that American tourists were not welcome in Maoists controlled Nepal. As if all these gestures against US were not enough, Maoist warned that they would target US backed organization in Nepal. Their leader Prachand clearly stated that groups funded by Washington would not be allowed to operate in Nepal.

In the beginning, the America was soft vis-à-vis Maoists. In this early phase of its policy, US stated that in the prevailing scenario, Maoist could not be described as real terrorists. In post 9/11 era, there occurred a clear shift in Washington's Maoist policy. In January 2002, US Secretary of State General (Rtd.) Colin Powell rejected Maoist violence in Nepal on the ground that there was no room for violence to create political change in a democracy. He acknowledged Nepal's right to protect its citizens

Shelly Gupta, IMPACT OF TRADE COSTS ON TRADE FLOWS Review of Research | Volume 3 | Issue 1 | October 2013 | Online & Print

and institutions from terrorist attacks. The Bush administration supported imposition of emergency as well as Nepal's army action against Maoist. Mr. Powell assured full US support to Nepal against terrorists and agreed to provide military equipment and training. He committed US dollar 20 million for military purpose.

In February, 2002 Nepalese PM Sher Bahadur Deuba urged international community, particularly US, to help Nepal in its fight against terrorism. He said "I believe that the international coalition must address all forms of terrorism. We appeal for aid in our fight against terrorism in Nepal." ⁵ To muster further US support, Prime Minister Deuba visited Washington in May 2002. There he held discussion with President George Bush, National Security Advisor Condoleeza Rice and members of US Congress. Mr. Deuba's sole objective behind the visit was to seek US military aid to crush Maoist insurgency in Nepal.⁶

In 2002 Maoist attacked several towns and police posts in western Nepal. Micheal Milinoski, the American Ambassador to Nepal visited the site of the attack and condemned violence. He compared Maoist with terrorist organizations such as Khme Rouge and Al-Qaida. On September 5, 2002 State department official while delivering a lecture at US Institute of Peace said, "Nepal continued to confront a violent Maoist insurgency which had left over 4000 dead. The Maoist had employed ruthless tactics in the field and conducted terrorist attacks against both government and innocent civilians". At this stage Washington Nepal government's tough actions against Maoists saying Nepal Government was duty bound to protect its citizens within the framework of its constitution. Regarding US economic assistance to end violence in Nepal, American Ambassdor said: "The United States is finalizing plans for assistance as part of an international response to end the brutal conflict and help bring peace to Nepal. Our programmes are intended to facilitate the government efforts both to restore the security and to focus on development and poverty reduction.....All must work to find the common ground on which to began rebuilding what the Maoists have destroyed. We can assist in that reconstruction by continuing to aid Nepal's economic development".

NepalCommon Protect**

Reproduction

In 2002

Nepal

Deveroment

Nepal

Deveroment

In October 2002, the Bush administration stated that it did not want to see the Maoists prevail in Nepal. It accused them of destroying schools, torturing, killing civilians and bombings. In 2002 a US Special Forces team visited area of Western Nepal where the Maoist had been active. Their purpose was not just to boost the morale of Nepalese army but help them prepare a proper strategy to defeat Maoists. Testifying before House International Relations Committee on March 20th 2003, Assistant Secretary of State for South Asia Christina put the US Maoist policy as: "Nepal's democracy, stability and its economic and social development are now threatened by a ruthless Maoist insurgency. The Maoist leadership has made it clear that its ultimate aim is to establish communist regime. Such development could contribute significantly to instability in region......our support for settlement has led us to increase our development assistance to \$38 million requested in the President's FY 04 budget an all time high and to restructure our programmes better to address the economic causes of the insurgency.."

In a bilateral purchase deal, the US provided a consignment of 5000 US made M.-16 rifles to Nepal in early months of 2003. These weapons were expected to enhance significantly Nepal's anti-insurgency capabilities. The US supply of arms and military training was deeply resented by Maoist leaders. In April 25, 2003 Nepal and US signed a Memorandum of Intent (MoI) under its Anti Terrorism Assistance Programme. The cooperation was basically designed to enhance the anti-terrorism skill of the country concern by providing training equipment necessary to counter terrorist threats. In year 2000 and 2003 over 200 Nepali officials from police and army received training in several specialized areas both in Nepal and US under the programme. In September 2003, 50 US Special Forces began providing training to quarter of Nepalese army through a three month long programme in counter insurgency. In Financial Year 2006, US executive request proposes an increase from \$ 1488,000 to \$4,000,000 for Forein Military Financing (FMF) for Nepal and stable \$ 650,000 for IMET and training for Nepal. High priority items for FMF assistance to the Royal Nepal Army included small arms, grenade launchers, night vision googles, body armour, communications equipment and mobility plateforms.

Overall it can be said that US wanted to strengthen Himalyan Kingdom to prevent Maoist takeover and for achieving that goal it provided diplomatic, financial and military assistance to Nepal. Washington's efforts to stop Maoist influence was in consonance with its policy of democracy promotion in South Asia.

END NOTES

- 1. Vaughn, Bruce (2005): 'Nepal: Background and US Relations', CRS Report (R131599), p.14.
- 2. Ibid.
- 3. Kathmandu Post, october 23, 2001.
- 4.Raj, Prakash A., (2004): 'Recent Trend of US Interest in Nepal', in Nepal's Relations with United States, IFA (Policy Study Series-4), Kahtmandu, p.60
- 5. "Prime Minister Deuba's Exclusive Interview with Time", The Time, February 25, 2002.
- 6.Celiva W. Duggar, 'Nepal: Rebels, Ceasefire Rejected', The New York Times (International), May 10, 2002.
- 7. "Maoist are same as Khame Rouge or Al Qaida", Spot Light (Kathmandu), March 1, 2002).
- 8. Christina Rocca, Strob Talbott, Nayan Chanda, delivered speech titled "Prospects for peace in South Asia" iaat US Institute for peace, Washington D.C. on September 5, 2002. (Online: Web) Accessed on May 4, 2007 URL http://www.state.gov/p/sca/rls/rm/13376.htm.
- 9.Raj, Prakash A., (2004): 'op.cit'.
- 10.US Congressional Hearing (2003): "United States Reltions with South Asia", Christina Rocca Testifies before House International Relations Committee on Asia and Pacific on March 20, 2003 in Washington D.C., (Online: Web) Accessed on October 22, 2006 URL http://www.state.gov/p/sca/rls/rm/18893.htm.
- 11.Kronstadt, K. Alan (2003): "Nepal: Background and US Relations", CRS Report (RL 31599), April 1, 2003, p.14.
- 12. Khanal, Madhab P. (2004): 'op.cit', p. 19.
- 13. Ibid.
- 14. Vaughn, Bruce (2006): 'Nepal: Background and US Relations', CRS Repot, updated on 2nd February 2006 (R131599), p.17.



Dr. Santosh Singh

Asst. Professor, Political Science Deptt., Agra College.

Publish Research Article International Level Multidisciplinary Research Journal For All Subjects

Dear Sir/Mam.

We invite unpublished Research Paper, Summary of Research Project, Theses, Books and Books Review for publication, you will be pleased to know that our journals are

Associated and Indexed, India

- ★ Directory Of Research Journal Indexing
- ★ International Scientific Journal Consortium Scientific
- * OPEN J-GATE

Associated and Indexed, USA

- DOAJ
- EBSCO
- Crossref DOI
- Index Copernicus
- Publication Index
- Academic Journal Database
- Contemporary Research Index
- Academic Paper Databse
- Digital Journals Database
- Current Index to Scholarly Journals
- Elite Scientific Journal Archive
- Directory Of Academic Resources
- Scholar Journal Index
- Recent Science Index
- Scientific Resources Database