



The Sociology of Children and Youth

Dr. Ashok Yakkaldevi

Assistant Professor, Dept. of Sociology,
A.R. Burla Mahila Varishtha Mahavidyalaya, Solapur

ABSTRACT:

The investigation of kids and youth—or adolescence thinks about—includes specialists from various orders who guess and lead research on kids and teenagers.

Subsequent to the late 1980s, sociologists have made sizable commitments to the investigation of youngsters and youth, and the field of adolescence studies has gotten to be perceived as a real field of scholastic enquiry. Progressively, youth is utilized as a social position or an applied class to concentrate on. Like ladies' studies, the investigation of kids has developed as an interdisciplinary field. Scientists of youngsters from built up controls, for example, human studies, instruction, history, brain research, and social science, have found a meeting place in this rising interdisciplinary field of youth studies.

I. Introduction

The investigation of youngsters and youth—or adolescence examines—includes analysts from differing disciplines who speculate and direct research on kids and teenagers.

Subsequent to the late 1980s, sociologists have made sizable commitments to the investigation of youngsters and youth, and the field of adolescence studies has ended up perceived as a genuine field of scholastic enquiry. Progressively, youth is utilized as a social position or a calculated class to consider. Like ladies' studies, the investigation of kids has risen as an interdisciplinary field. Specialists of youngsters from built up controls, for example, human studies, instruction, history, brain research, and human science, have found a meeting place in this rising interdisciplinary field of adolescence studies.

In the accompanying segments, I will first layout the relative commitments of various ways to deal with the field of youth studies. Some methodologies locate a home inside of one order, while different methodologies are utilized by more than one control. In particular, I will inspect approaches outside humanism, for example, recorded, formative mental, and youngsters' writing, and afterward I will examine four points of view utilized by sociologists, specifically the social approach, the social basic approach, the demographic methodology, and the general socialization approach. While sociologists utilize these four points of view, youth researchers prepared in different teaches additionally utilize these viewpoints. I will then consider the value of youth studies as an interdisciplinary zone of study and present a dream for the eventual fate of adolescence studies inside of human science.

II. Contributions of Different Approaches to Childhood Studies

A. Historical Approaches to Childhood Studies

Verifiable exploration educates what the idea of adolescence means. Aries ([1960] 1962) made the principal contention that youth is socially and truly developed. He didn't see it as a characteristic state characterized by science. By analyzing gems going back 1,000 years, he noticed a distinction in the rendering of kids preceding the 1700s, wherein youngsters were delineated as meager grown-ups and not as a particular gathering. In concurrence with Aries, Demos (1970) set forth a comparative contention utilizing proof accumulated on the Puritans of the Plymouth Colony in the 1600s, taking note of that youngsters were not viewed as an exceptional gathering with shared needs or status. These analysts declared that the movement from regarding kids as little grown-ups to youngsters as significant people to be ensured runs as an inseparable unit with other societal moves, for example, the spread of educating and the decrease of tyke mortality.

While Aries' theory has been tested and censured by recorded exploration and observational proof (see Gittins 2004; Nelson 1994), his thoughts have propelled social researchers to study standard kids, and numerous studies have been created thus. As a dialog with the work of Aries, De Mause ([1976] 1995:4) built up a psychogenic hypothesis of history, which affirmed that parent-youngster relations have advanced to make more noteworthy closeness and higher passionate fulfillment after some time. De Mause clarified that parent-tyke relations advance in a direct manner and that parent-youngster connections change incrementally and, thus, fuel further chronicled change. Because of this, Pollock (1983) rejects the discoveries of analysts, for example, Aries, Demos, and De Mause, who state the cutting edge or incremental way to deal with adolescence, contending that "folks have constantly esteemed their youngsters: we ought not seize too heaps of crucial change in parental dispositions after some time" (p. 17). While Pollock particularly counters the finishes of Demos on kids living in the 1700s in the Plymouth province, his decisions react to all earlier research placing that adolescence is a cutting edge idea.

Authentic examination records that the thought of adolescence radiates from the white collar class as individuals from the working class initially propelled laws to cutoff tyke work and advanced instruction and assurance of kids (Kehily 2004). The movement of youngsters from financial to enthusiastic benefactors of the family after the seventeenth century occurred first among white collar class young men and later turned into the desire for all kids, paying little mind to social class or sex (Zelizer 1985). A decent sample of this middleclass point of view is outlined in the composition of Mayhew, a social pundit from the nineteenth century (1861, in Kehily 2004), who expounds on a distraught eight-year-old road seller from the regular workers who has "lost every single whimsical route" in the Watercress Girl in London Labor and the London Poor.

While Mayhew points out the situation of common laborers youngsters in the mid-nineteenth century, other exploration (Steedman 1990; Gittins 1988) demonstrates that it is not until the mid twentieth century that the adolescence idea is reclassified for average workers kids in the United Kingdom. Youngster neediness and sick wellbeing were seen as social issues and brought about a shift far from monetary to expanded enthusiastic estimation of kids and adjusted desires that kids ought to be secured and instructed (Cunningham 1991).

The thought of lost or stolen youth keeps on being conspicuous in well known talks of adolescence (Kehily 2004:3). With this, verifiable methodologies offer an incredible arrangement to the field of youth studies since they permit us to see the idea of adolescence as moldable. The youth idea does not have the same importance today as it did 300 years back in a given society, and it doesn't have the same significance from society to culture or even crosswise over social classes amid a recorded minute. Most recorded exploration concentrates on Western types of youth, yet these builds might be helpful for comprehension certain parts of adolescence in non-Western settings, particularly when comparative financial elements, for example, industrialization, and a movement from an agrarian to a money economy, might outline conditions.

Thoughts regarding how adolescence is bound by society, political economy, and age keep on being played out today in numerous non-Western settings. For instance, Hollos (2002) found that another organization family sort developed close by the heredity based framework as a little Tanzanian group experienced a movement from subsistence farming with tool development to wage work. These family sorts showed two unmistakable parental points of view on what youth ought to be and how kids ought to invest their energy. Organization families rising with a money economy tend to see their kids as a method for happiness and delight, while heredity based families normally see their kids as essential for work needs in the close term and as speculations and maturity protection in the long haul.

Along these lines, recorded points of view can possibly educate contemporary social and social useful hypotheses on youngsters and youth concentrates on. The following step is to move past Aries and the dialog he made to address the determination of current social issues that include youngsters, for example, tyke neediness, kid work, and aberrations crosswise over childhoods around the world (see Cunningham 1991).

B. Formative Psychological Approaches to Childhood Studies

Sully's Studies of Childhood (Sully [1895] 2000, cited in Woodhead 2003) notes, "We now talk about the start of a cautious and methodological examination of kid nature." By the mid twentieth century, formative brain science turned into the prevailing worldview for considering youngsters (Woodhead 2003). Formative brain science has concentrated on and denoted the stages and moves of Western youth. Piaget's (1926) model of formative stages remains as the establishment. Inside of the formative brain science structure, kids are grown-ups in preparing and their age is connected to physical and intellectual improvements. Youngsters travel a formative way taking them in due time to a condition of being grown-up individuals from the general public in which they live (Kehily 2004). Youngsters are hence seen as learners with potential at a specific position or stage in a voyage to kid to a grown-up status (Verhellen 1997; Walkerdine 2004).

Social and social scientists have investigated the formative mental methodology, to a great extent blaming its treatment of kids as potential subjects who must be comprehended along the tyke to-grown-up continuum (Buckingham 2000; Castenada 2002; James and Prout [1990] 1997; Jenks 2004; Lee 2001; Stainton Rogers et al. 1991). Qvortrup (1994) noticed that formative brain science outlines youngsters as human becomings instead of people. Adding to this, Walkerdine (2004) recommends that while brain research is helpful in comprehension youngsters, this convenience might be bound to Western fair social orders at a particular verifiable minute.

Still, Lee (2001) alerts that we ought not give formative brain research a wholesale hurl, taking note of, "What could growing up mean once we have removed ourselves from the overwhelming systems' record of socialization and improvement?" (p. 54). In like manner, Kehily (2004) takes note of that considering contrasts in the middle of human science and formative brain science is helpful, yet it is likewise valuable to consider what is shared or corresponding over the two.

Formative therapists have not achieved accord on the relative significance of physical, mental, social, and social variables in molding kids' improvement (Boocock and Scott 2005). Gittins (1988:22) urges social researchers examining kids to tolerate personality a main priority the nature versus support banter about. Bruner (2000) clarifies that both organic and social elements are vital in light of the fact that infants are conceived with start-up learning, which they then include and correct with backgrounds. Agreeing with this methodology, Chomsky (1996) clarifies that a tyke's organic cosmetics is "stirred by experience" and "honed and advanced" through associations with different people and questions.

Walkerdine (2004) considers formative brain research as constrained on account of its deterministic direction and human science as restricted due to its exclusion of mental variables nearby sociological or social elements. Walkerdine (2004) focuses to a few formative mental ways to deal with consider the social generation of youngsters as subjects, to be specific arranged learning (Cole and Scribner 1990; Haraway 1991), gaining information through practice or apprenticeship (Lave and Wenger 1991), on-screen character system hypothesis (Law and Moser 2002), and the thought of gatherings as kids figure out how to fill a tyke part in the public arena (Deleuze and Guattari 1988). These methodologies permit the analyst to incorporate youngsters' inner and outside learning practices and procedures.

All things considered, formative brain science can keep on adding to adolescence contemplates. In the 1990s, sociologists separated and recognize helpful ideas and instruments for adolescence thinks about by censuring formative brain science. As the field of youth studies keeps on developing into a characterized and perceived control, valuable devices and ideas from formative brain science ought to be incorporated. In like manner, Woodhead (2003) attests that few ideas and apparatuses from formative brain science—eminently platform, zone of proximal advancement, guided support, social instruments, groups of practice—are likewise important for youth ponders (see Lave and Wenger 1991; Mercer 1995; Rogoff 1990; Wood

1988). Clinicians' worry with the individual tyke can supplement sociological examination that considers youngsters as they associate inside of their surroundings.

C. Kids' Literature as an Approach to Childhood Studies: Adolescence as a different phase of life is depicted

III. Considering Sociology and Childhood Studies

Inside of human science, researchers approach the investigation of youngsters from numerous points of view. A few sociologists take a strict social constructivist approach, while others merge this way to deal with a crystal that considers social structures that are forced on kids. A few sociologists concentrate on demographic change, while others keep on concentrating on parts of socialization as childhoods are built through strengths, for example, buyer products, youngster work, kids' rights, and open arrangement. Every one of these researchers add to the examination essentialness and broadness of adolescence studies. Also, kids and youth thinks about exploration focuses, degree projects, and courses started to be built up in the 1990s, the greater part of which have profited from the commitments of sociologists and the hypotheses and strategies for human science.

Adolescence contemplates increased firm ground in 1992 in the United States when individuals from the American Sociological Association (ASA) framed the Section on the Sociology of Children. Later, the area name was changed to the Section on the Sociology of Children and Youth to advance comprehensiveness with researchers who inquire about the lives of young people. Notwithstanding including youths, American sociologists are likewise expressly open to all strategies and speculations that attention on kids. The plan of the Children and Youth Section has been encouraged by its individuals' introduction and proceeded with production of the yearly volume *Sociological Studies of Children* since 1986. In concurrence with the ASA segment name expansion, the volume as of late expanded the volume name with and Youth and got to be formalized as the yearly volume of ASA Children and Youth Section. The volume was at first created and altered by Patricia and Peter Adler and later altered by Nancy Mandell, David Kinney, and Katherine Brown Rosier.

Outside the United States, the investigation of kids by sociologists has increased impressive ground through the International Sociological Association Research Group 53 on Childhood, which was built up in 1994. Two fruitful global diaries, *Childhood and Children and Society*, advance insightful exploration on youngsters from numerous controls and methodologies. Specifically, British youth analysts have conveyed impressive steam to the improvement of adolescence studies through educational modules advancement. In particular, youth scientists composed four initial course books distributed by Wiley for an objective class on adolescence offered by the Open University in 2003. The books are *Understanding Childhood* by Woodhead and Montgomery (2003), *Childhoods in Context* by Maybin and Woodhead (2003), *Children's Cultural Worlds* by Kehily and Swann (2003), and *Changing Childhoods* by Montgomery, Burr, and Woodhead (2003).

The relationship between the order of human science and youth concentrates on has all the earmarks of being advantageous. Indeed, even as sociologists state that the investigation of kids is its own field, this does not block the improvement of youth studies crosswise over disciplinary limits. Sociologists catch the social position or status of youngsters and have the strategies for analyzing how youth is socially built or arranged inside of a given society. Sociologists can likewise keep on discovering shared conviction with other adolescence researchers from different controls to grow better strategies and refine hypotheses that clarify kids' lives. Progresses in the interdisciplinary field of youth studies serves to fortify the examination of sociologists who center their work on kids. In like manner, sociological difficulties to the interdisciplinary field of youth studies subsequent to the 1990s have given helpful purposes of study and change to the investigation of youngsters' conduct and kids' lives.

IV. Current and Future Research: Social Policy and Children's Rights

Within human science, scientists approach the examination of youths from various perspectives. A couple of sociologists take a strict social constructivist approach, while others blend along these lines to manage a gem that considers social structures that are constrained on children. A couple of sociologists focus on demographic change, while others continue focusing on parts of socialization as childhoods are worked through qualities, for instance, purchaser items, youth work, children's rights, and open course of action. Each one of these analysts add to the examination vitality and broadness of youth studies. Likewise, children and youth considers investigation centers, degree activities, and courses began to be developed in the 1990s, most of which have benefitted from the duties of sociologists and the speculations and procedures for human science.

Immaturity examines expanded firm ground in 1992 in the United States when people from the American Sociological Association (ASA) surrounded the Section on the Sociology of Children. Later, the region name was changed to the Section on the Sociology of Children and Youth to propel thoroughness with analysts who ask about the lives of youngsters. Despite including young people, American sociologists are in like manner explicitly open to all procedures and theories that consideration on children. The arrangement of the Children and Youth Section has been energized by its people's presentation and continued with creation of the yearly volume *Sociological Studies of Children* since 1986. In simultaneousness with the ASA portion name extension, the volume starting late extended the volume name with and Youth and got the chance to be formalized as the yearly volume of ASA Children and Youth Section. The volume was at initially made and adjusted by Patricia and Peter Adler and later modified by Nancy Mandell, David Kinney, and Katherine Brown Rosier.

Outside the United States, the examination of children by sociologists has expanded noteworthy ground through the International Sociological Association Research Group 53 on Childhood, which was developed in 1994. Two productive worldwide journals, *Childhood* and *Children and Society*, advance quick investigation on youths from various controls and techniques. In particular, British youth experts have passed on noteworthy steam to the change of immaturity studies through instructive modules progression. Specifically, youth researchers made four beginning course books circulated by Wiley for a target class on immaturity offered by the Open University in 2003. The books are *Understanding Childhood* by Woodhead and Montgomery (2003), *Childhoods in Context* by Maybin and Woodhead (2003), *Children's Cultural Worlds* by Kehily and Swann (2003), and *Changing Childhoods* by Montgomery, Burr, and Woodhead (2003).

The relationship between the request of human science and youth focuses on has every one of the reserves of being beneficial. In reality, even as sociologists express that the examination of children is its own particular field, this does not hinder the change of youth concentrates transversely over disciplinary points of confinement. Sociologists get the social position or status of adolescents and have the techniques for breaking down how youth is socially fabricated or masterminded within a given society. Sociologists can in like manner continue finding imparted conviction to other immaturity analysts from various controls to develop better techniques and refine speculations that illuminate children's lives. Advances in the interdisciplinary field of youth studies serves to invigorate the examination of sociologists who fixate their work on children. In like way, sociological troubles to the interdisciplinary field of youth studies ensuing to the 1990s have given supportive purposes of study and change to the examination of adolescents' behavior and children's lives.

Bibliography:

1. Adler, Peter and Patricia Adler. 1988. *Peer Power: Preadolescent Culture and Identity*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
2. Alanen, Leena. 2001. "Explorations in Generational Analysis." Pp. 11–22 in *Conceptualizing Child-Adult Relations*, edited by L. Alanen and B. Mayall. London, England: Routledge/Falmer Press.
3. Alwin, Duane. 2001. "Parental Values, Beliefs, and Behavior: A Review and Promulga for Research into the New Century." Pp. 97–139 in *Children at the Millennium: Where Have We Come From, Where Are We Going?*, edited by S. L. Hofferth and T. J. Owens. Oxford, England: Elsevier Science.

4. Ambert, Anne-Marie. 1992. *The Effect of Children on Parents*. New York: Haworth Press.
5. Ambert, Anne-Marie. 1995. "Toward a Theory of Peer Abuse." *Sociological Studies of Children* 7:177–205.
6. Aries, Philippe. [1960] 1962. *Centuries of Childhood: A Social History of Family Life*. Translated by R. Baldick. New York: Vintage Books.
7. Bass, Loretta E. 2004. *Child Labor in Sub-Saharan Africa*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner.
8. Bass, Loretta E. and Jane Mosley. 2001. "Assessing the Impact of the 1996 Welfare Reform Legislation on Children's SSI Receipt." Joint Center for Poverty Research Working Paper Series, University of Chicago/Northwestern University
9. Bluebond-Langner, Myra. 2000. "Opening Remarks." Rutgers Center for Children and Childhood Studies. Opening Conference for Rutgers Center for Children and Childhood Studies, quoted in newsletter for Center. Camden, NJ: Rutgers University.
10. Boocock, Sarane S. 1976. "The Role of the Parent: Problems and Prospects." Pp. 257–66 in *The Family: Can It Be Saved?* edited by V. C. Vaughan and T. Berry Brazelton. Chicago, IL: Year Book Medical.
11. Boocock, Sarane S. and Kimberly A. Scott. 2005. *Kids in Context: The Sociological Study of Children and Childhoods*. New York: Rowman & Littlefield.
12. Bruner, Jerome S. 2000. "Tot Thought." *New York Review of Books*, March 9, pp. 27–30.
13. Buckingham, David. 2000. *After the Death of Childhood: Growing Up in the Age of Electronic Media*. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.
14. Buckingham, David. 2004. "New Media, New Childhoods? Children's Changing Cultural Environment in the Age of Digital Technology." Pp. 108–22 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. New York: Open University Press.
15. Casper, Lynne and Suzanne Bianchi. 2002. *Continuity and Change in the American Family*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
16. Castenada, Claudia. 2002. *Figurations*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
17. Cherlin, Andrew, Frank Furstenberg, Lindsey Chase-Lansdale, K. E. Kiernan, P. K. Robins, D. R. Morrison, et al. 1991. "Longitudinal Studies of Effects of Divorce on Children in Great Britain and the United States." *Science* 252: 1386–89.
18. Chomsky, Noam. 1996. *Language and Problems of Knowledge* (audio tapes of Managua Lectures, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1988). New York: University Press Audiobooks.
19. Clarke, Lynda. 1996. "Demographic Change and the Family Situation of Children." Pp. 66–83 in *Children in Families: Research and Policy*, edited by J. Brannen and M. O'Brien. London, England: Falmer Press.
20. Cole, Michael and Sylvia Scribner. 1990. *The Psychology of Literacy*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
21. Cook, Dan. 2004. *The Commodification of Childhood*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
22. Coontz, Stephanie. 1997. *The Way We Really Are: Coming to Terms with America's Changing Families*. New York: Basic Books.
23. Corsaro, William. 1988. "Routines in the Peer Culture of American and Italian Nursery School Children." *Sociology of Education* 61:1–14.
24. Corsaro, William and Donna Eder. 1990. "Children's Peer Cultures." *Annual Review of Sociology* 16:197–200.
25. Costello, E. Jane, Scott Compton, Gordon Keeler, and Adrian Angold. 2003. "Relationships between Poverty and Psychopathology." *Journal of the American Medical Association* 290:2023–29.
26. Crittenden, Ann. 2001. *The Price of Motherhood*. New York: Holt.
27. Crowell, Nancy and Ethel Leaper. 1994. *America's Fathers and Public Policy: Report of a Workshop*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
28. Cunningham, Hugh. 1991. *The Children of the Poor*. Oxford, England: Blackwell.
29. Deleuze, Gilles and F. Guattari. 1988. *A Thousand Plateaus: Capitalism and Schizophrenia*. London, England: Athlone.
30. De Mause, Lloyd. [1976] 1995. *The History of Childhood*. Reprint, Northvale, NJ: John Aronson.

31. Demos, John. 1970. *A Little Commonwealth: Family Life in Plymouth Colony*. New York: Oxford University Press.
32. de Winter, Micha. 1997. *Children as Fellow Citizens*. Oxford: Radcliffe Medical Press.
33. Fine, Gary Alan and Kent Sandstrom. 1988. *Knowing Children: Participant Observation with Minors*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
34. Foner, A. 1978. "Age Stratification and the Changing Family." Pp. 340–65 in *Turning Points: Historical and Sociological Essays on the Family*, edited by J. Demos and S. S. Boocock. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
35. Frosh, Stephen, Ann Phoenix, and Rob Pattman. 2002. *Young Masculinities*. Basingstoke, England: Palgrave.
36. Galinski, Ellen. 1999. *Ask the Children: What America's Children Really Think about Working Parents*. New York: Morrow.
37. Gittins, Diana. 1988. *The Child in Question*. New York: St. Martin's Press.
38. Gittins, Diana. 2004. "The Historical Construction of Childhood." Pp. 25–38 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
39. Goodwin, Marjorie H. 1990. *He-Said-She-Said*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
40. Haraway, Donna. 1991. "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective." Pp. 183–201 in *Simians, Cyborgs, and Women: The Reinvention of Nature*, edited by D. Haraway. New York: Routledge.
41. Hardman, Charlotte. 1973. "Can There Be an Anthropology of Children?" *Journal of Anthropological Society of Oxford* 4(1):85–99.
42. Harris, Judith Rich. 1998. *The Nurture Assumption*. New York: Touchstone Rockefeller.
43. Hernandez, Donald J. 1993. *America's Children: Resources from Family, Government, and the Economy*. New York: Russell Sage.
44. Hetherington, E. Mavis and John Kelly. 2002. *For Better or For Worse: Divorce Reconsidered*. New York: W. W. Norton.
45. Hey, Valerie. 1997. *The Company She Keeps: An Ethnography of Girls' Friendships*. Buckingham, England: Open University Press.
46. Hollos, Marida. 2002. "The Cultural Construction of Childhood: Changing Conceptions among the Pare of Northern Tanzania." *Childhood* 9(2):167–89.
47. Hofferth, Sandra L. and J. F. Sandberg. 2001. "Changes in American Children's Time, 1981–1997." Pp. 193–229 in *Children at the Millennium: Where Have We Come From, Where Are We Going?* edited by S. L. Hofferth and T. J. Owens. Oxford, England: Elsevier Science.
48. Hunt, Peter. 2004. "Children's Literature and Childhood." Pp. 39–56 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
49. James, Alison and Alan Prout. [1990] 1997. *Constructing and Reconstructing Childhood*. 2d ed. London, England: Falmer Press. James, Alison, Chris Jenks, and Alan Prout. 1998. *Theorizing Childhood*. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.
50. Jenks, Chris. 2004. "Constructing Childhood Sociologically." Pp. 77–95 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
51. Jing, Jun. 2000. *Feeding China's Little Emperors: Food, Children and Social Change*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
52. Kehily, Mary Jane. 2004. *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
53. Kehily, Mary Jane and J. Swann, eds. 2003. *Childhood*, vol. 3, *Children's Cultural Worlds*. Chichester, England: Wiley/Open University Press.
54. Lamb, Sharon. 2001. *The Secret Lives of Girls*. New York: Free Press.
55. Landsdown, G. 1994. "Children's Rights." Pp. 33–44 in *Children's Childhoods: Observed and Experienced*, edited by B. Mayall. London, England: Falmer Press.
56. LaReau, Annette. 2002. *Unequal Childhoods: Class, Race, and Family Life*. Berkeley: University of California Press.
57. Lave, Jean and Etienne Wenger. 1991. *Situated Learning: Legitimate Peripheral Participation*. New York: Cambridge Press.

58. Law, John and Ingunn Moser. 2002. *Managing Subjectivities and Desires*. Lancaster, England: Centre for Science Studies, University of Lancaster.
59. Lee, Nick. 2001. *Childhood and Society*. Buckingham, England: Open University Press.
60. Lee, Yun-Suk, Barbara Schneider, and Linda Waite. 2003. "Children and Housework: Some Unanswered Questions." *Sociological Studies of Children and Youth* 9:105–25.
61. Leonard, Madeleine. 2005. "Involving Children in Social Policy: A Case Study from Northern Ireland." Pp. 153–69 in *Sociological Studies of Children and Youth*, vol. 10, edited by L. E. Bass. Oxford, England: Elsevier Science.
62. Mayall, Berry. 2000. "Conversations with Children: Working with Generational Issues." Pp. 120–35 in *Research with Children: Perspectives and Practices*, edited by P. Christensen and A. James. London, England: Falmer Press.
63. Mayall, Berry. 2002. *Towards a Sociology for Childhood: Thinking from Children's Lives*. Buckingham, England: Open University Press.
64. Maybin, Janet and Martin Woodhead, eds. 2003. *Childhood*, vol. 2, *Childhoods in Context*. Chichester, England: Wiley/Open University Press.
65. Mayhew, Henry. 1861. *London Labour and the London Poor*. London, England: Griffin, Bohn.
66. Mercer, Neil. 1995. *The Guided Construction of Knowledge*. Clevedon, England: Multilingual Matters.
67. Montgomery, Heather, Rachel Burr, and Martin Woodhead, eds. 2003. *Childhood*, vol. 4, *Changing Childhoods: Local and Global*. Chichester, England: Wiley/Open University Press.
68. Moore, Kris, S. Jekielek, and C. Emig. 2002. "Marriage from a Child's Perspective: How Does Family Structure Affect Children, and What Can We Do about It?" *Child Trends Research Brief*, June, pp. 1–8.
69. Neiwenuys, Olga. 1994. *Children's Lifeworlds: Gender, Welfare and Labour in the Developing World*. London, England: Routledge.
70. Nelson, Janet. 1994. "Parents, Children and the Church in Earlier Middle Ages." *The Church and Childhood*, vol. 31, *Studies in Church History*, edited by D. Wood. Oxford, England: Blackwell.
71. Oakley, Ann. 1994. "Women and Children First and Last: Parallels and Differences between Children's and Women's Studies." Pp. 13–32 in *Children's Childhoods: Observed and Experienced*, edited by B. Mayall. London, England: Falmer Press. Opie, Iona and Peter Opie. 1969. *Children's Games in Street and Playground*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
72. Passuth, Patricia M. 1987. "Age Hierarchies within Children's Groups." *Sociological Studies of Child Development* 2:185–203.
73. Piaget, Jean. 1926. *The Language and Thought of the Child*. London, England: Routledge & Kegan Paul.
74. Pollock, Linda. 1983. *Forgotten Children: Parent-Child Relations from 1500–1900*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
75. Postman, Neil. 1982. *The Disappearance of Childhood*. New York: Delacorte Press.
76. Qvortrup, Jens. 2000. "A Generational Approach to a Sociology of Childhood." Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Sociological Association, August, Washington, DC. Qvortrup, Jens. 1993. *Childhood as a Social Phenomenon: Lessons from an International Project*. Eurosocial Report 47. Vienna, Austria: European Centre.
77. Qvortrup, Jens. 1994. *Childhood Matters*. Aldershot, England: Avebury.
78. Reynolds, Pamela. 1989. *Children in Crossroads*. Johannesburg, South Africa: Philip.
79. Rogoff, Barbara. 1990. *Apprenticeship in Thinking: Cognitive Development in Social Context*. New York: Oxford University Press.
80. Rossi, Peter H. and Alice Rossi. 1990. *Of Human Bonding*. New York: Aldine Transaction.
81. Saporiti, Angelo, Ferran Casas, Daniela Grignoli, Anonio Macini, Fabio Ferrucci, Marina Rago, et al. 2005. "Children's Views on Children's Rights." Pp. 125–52 in *Sociological Studies of Children and Youth*, vol. 10, edited by L. E. Bass. Oxford, England: Elsevier Science.
82. Scott, Kimberly A. 2002. "You Want to Be a Girl and Not My Friend? African-American Girls' Play Activities with and without Boys." *Childhood* 9:397–414.
83. Skolnick, Arlene. 1978. "The Myth of the Vulnerable Child." *Psychology Today*, February, pp. 54–56.
84. Smart, Carol, Bren Neale, and Amanda Wade. 2001. *The Changing Experience of Childhood: Families and Divorce*. Cambridge, England: Polity Press.

85. Spufford, Francis. 2002. *The Child that Books Built: A Memoir of Childhood and Reading*. London, England: Faber & Faber.
- Stainton Rogers, W. 2004. "Promoting Better Childhoods: Constructions of Child Concern." Pp. 125–44 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
86. Stainton Rogers, Wendy, D. Hevey, Jermy Roche, and E. Ash. 1991. *Child Abuse and Neglect: Facing the Challenge*. London, England: Batsford/Open University Press.
87. Steedman, Carolyn. 1990. *Childhood, Culture and Class in Britain, Margaret McMillan 1980–1931*. London, England: Virago.
88. Stephens, Sharon. 1995. "The Cultural Fallout of Chernobyl Radiation in Northern Sami Regions." Pp. 292–318 in *Children and the Politics of Culture*, edited by S. Stephens. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
89. Tapscott, Don. 1998. *Growing Up Digital: The Rise of the Net Generation*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
90. Thompson, Michael, Catherine Grace, and Lawrence Cohen. 2001. *Best Friends, Worst Enemies: Understanding the Social Lives of Children*. New York: Ballantine Books.
91. Thorne, Barrie. 1993. *Gender Play*. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press.
92. U.S. Bureau of the Census. 2002. *Who's Minding the Kids? 1997 SIPP Report*. Washington, DC: Bureau of the Census.
93. Van Ausdale, D. and Joe Feagin. 2001. *The First R: How Children Learn Race and Racism*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield.
94. Verhellen, Eugene. 1997. *Convention on the Rights of the Child*. Leuven, Belgium: Garant.
95. Walkerdine, Valerie. 2004. "Developmental Psychology and the Study of Children." Pp. 96–107 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
96. Wood, David. 1988. *How Children Think and Learn*. Oxford, England: Blackwell.
97. Woodhead, Martin. 1999. "Reconstructing Developmental Psychology: Some First Steps." *Children and Society* 13:1.
98. Woodhead, Martin. 2003. "Childhood Studies: Past, Present and Future." Keynote lecture at the Open University Conference "Childhood Reconsidered," Faculty of Education and Language Studies, June, Open University, Oxford, England.
99. Woodhead, Martin. 2004. "Foreword." Pp. 39–56 in *An Introduction to Childhood Studies*, edited by M. J. Kehily. Maidenhead, England: Open University Press.
100. Woodhead, Martin and Heather Montgomery, eds. 2003. *Childhood, vol. 1, Understanding Childhood*. Chichester, England: Wiley/Open University Press.
101. Zelizer, Viviana. 1985. *Pricing the Priceless Child*. New York: Basic Books.
102. Zelizer, Viviana. 2002. "Kids and Commerce." *Childhood* 9:375–96.
103. Zinnecker, Jurgun. 2001. "Children in Young and Aging Societies: The Order of Generations and Models of Childhood in Comparative Perspective." Pp. 11–52 in *Children at the Millennium: Where Have We Come from, Where Are We Going*, edited by S. L. Hofferth and T. J. Owens. Oxford, England: Elsevier Science.