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#### Abstract

- The distance $d(x, y)$ is the length of the shortest way between a couple of vertices $x, y \in V(G)$ of an associated chart $G=$ $(\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{E})$. Given a subset $S \subseteq V(G)$, the set $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{S})$ is the multiset of pairwise distances between the vertices of S . Additionally, $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{S})$ is the multiset of pairwise detour distances between the vertices of S. Two subsets of the vertex set of a graph G are said to be homometric if their distance multisets are the same. The detour distance $\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ is the length of the longest The homometric number of $G$, denoted by $h(G)$, is the largest integer $h$ for which there are two distinct homometric sets of order h in G . 
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## INTRODUCTION

Euclidean geometry is where the homeometric set problem in graphs comes from. It was first used in X-ray crystallography and later in DNA restriction site mapping. The fundamental issue under consideration is whether or not a given set of points can be identified from its distances. The issue of deciding homeometric sets likewise shows up in music hypothesis as the hexachordaltheorem. The identification of the multiset that corresponds to the multiset of distances realized by a set of points in Euclidean space of a given dimension is just one of many other areas of research in this field.

The idea of homeometric sets in graphs was introduced by Albertson et al. In 2011. In his introductory article, he studied the question, "How large can two disjoint homeometric sets be in a graph?" He defined the homeometric number of a graph G ; denoted by $\mathrm{h}(\mathrm{G})$ as the largest integer. h such that two disjoint homeometric sets $\mathrm{S}_{1}$ are; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ in G with $S_{1} \mid=\left\lceil S_{2}\right\rceil=h$.

He proved that every graph on $n$ vertices contains homeometric sets of size at least $\frac{c \log n}{\log \log n}$ : on the other hand, he only created a class of graphs where the size of homeometric sets cannot exceed $\frac{n}{4}$; In this way, the question of the right-order dimension of the connected ensemble on the maximum size of homeometric sets in graphs is left open. Aksenovich and Ozkahya gave a better lower bound on the size of maximal homeometric sets in trees. They showed that every tree on n vertices contains homeometric sets of size at least $\sqrt[3]{n}$ the bounds later established by Albertson et al. Diameter was modified for twodimensional graphs and for external planar graphs and trees.

[^0]The result presented below establishing the relationship between distance and turn distance for the cycle $C_{n}$ is well known, but the reference was not found and is therefore proved.

Theory 1.1: If $C_{n}$ is the cycle of order $n$, the $d(x, y)+D(x, y)=n$;
Evidence. If $C_{n}$ is a cycle of order $n$, then it is of size $n$ : let $x$; Let $y$ be any arbitrary pair of vertices in $C_{n}$ : Since $C_{n}$ is a cycle, there are two paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ of length $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ between $x$; $y$ : If $P_{1}$ is the shortest path, then $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ is the longest path. And, therefore $\mathrm{l}_{2}=\mathrm{n}-l_{1}$ :

## Sets and Graphs of Detour Homometric:

Definition 1.2: Let $G$ be a graph and let $S$ be a nonempty subset of $V(G)$ : the multiset $D(S)$ $=\{D(x, y): x ; y \in S\}$ element-wise turn distance $x, y \in S \subseteq V(G)$ is called the curve profile of S.Two disconnect subsets $\mathrm{S}_{1} ; \mathrm{S}_{2} \subseteq \mathrm{~V}(\mathrm{G})$ cycles are homeometric if their convolution profiles are equal. Vertigo homeometric number $h_{D}(G)$; hd is the largest integer; Such that there are two disjoint detour homometric sets $\mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ in a graph G with $\left|S_{1}\right|=\left\lceil S_{2}\right\rceil=h_{d}$.

By Theorem 1.1, homeometric sets for cycles and trees are also cycle homeometric sets and vice versa. Hence, $h(G)=h_{D}(G)$ if a graph $G$ is a cycle or a tree.

New Result on detour homometric number of a graph:

Proposition 1.2.1: If Gis a graph order which is greater than 2 then $1 \leq h_{D}(G) \leq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$.
Theory 1.2.2:If G is a Hamilton-connected graph of order n ; Then $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.
Evidence: Let G be a Hamiltonian connected graph with $V(G)=\left\{v_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ as its vertex set. G is Hamiltonian connected, $D\left(v_{i} ; v_{j}\right)=n-1$ for all $v_{i}, v_{j} \in V$. Let us consider sets whether the order of Gis odd or even $S_{1}=\left\{v_{1}: 1 \leq i \leq\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]\right\}$.

Multisets $D\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(S_{2}\right)$ have the same convolution profile with $\Pi(n-1)=\frac{\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{2}, \mathrm{~S}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ are cyclic homeometric sets. Further, $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\theta$ and $\lceil V\rceil-\left|S_{1}\right|\left\lceil S_{2}\right\rceil \leq 1$ it follows that $h_{D}(G)=\frac{n}{2}$.

The preceding theorem identifies an infinite class of nonisomorphic graphs for which $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$. Also, the next theorem has an immediate consequence.

## Corollary 1.2.3: Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a graph of order $\mathbf{n}$

1. If $G \cong K_{n}, n \geq 3$; shows the complete graph, then $h_{D}\left(K_{n}\right)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.
2. If $G \cong W_{n}, n \geq 3$, represents the graph of the wheel, then $h_{D}\left(W_{n}\right)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.
3. If $G \cong 2$-leaf connected, $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$..
4. If G is connected, $h_{D}\left(G^{3}\right)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ :
5. If $G \cong 4$-connected planar graph, then $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ :
6. If $G$ is 5 - Connected line graph of degree at least 6 , then $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$ :
7. If $G$ is a graph of order $n$ and size at least $\left(3^{n-1}\right) h_{D}\left(G^{3}\right)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.
8. Let Gbe a graph of order $n \geq 3$ : if $\kappa(G)>\beta_{O}(G)+1$; Then $h_{D}(G)=\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]$.

An n-barbell graph is a simple graph obtained by connecting two copies of the complete graph $K_{n}$ by a bridge. Since $K_{n}$ is a Hamiltonian connected graph, an n-barbell graph has exactly two Hamiltonian connected graphs, each of order $n$ as its induced subgraph. As done in the previous chapter, the idea of an $n$-barbell graph is generalized by replacing $K_{n}$ with a Hamiltonian connected graph n .

Theory 1.3.4:If G is an n -barbell graph, obtained by connecting two copies of the Hamiltonian connected graph to n by bridges, then $h_{D}(G)=n$.

Evidence: If H is a connected graph Hamiltonian of order n and G is a graph obtained by the statement of the theorem, then G is of order 2 n : Let $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ be two copies of H in G such that $V\left(H_{1}\right)=\left\{u_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ and $V\left(H_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$.Without loss of generality, let $u_{n} v_{n} \in E\left(B_{n}\right)$ be a bridge in G: the set $S_{1}=V\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $S_{2}=V\left(H_{2}\right)$ is a turn homeometric are because the multisets $D\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(S_{2}\right)$ have the same convolution profile with $\Pi(n-1)=$ $\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$.Since $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$ and $S_{1} \cup S_{2}=V(G) ; h_{D}(G)=n$.

A bipartite graph G with partitions $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{2}$ is Hamiltonian lessable, if for any $u \in V_{1}$ and $v \in$ $V_{2}$; is a Hamiltonian path whose terminal vertices are $u$ and $v$. The notion of Hamiltonian-lessable graphs is extended as strongly Hamiltonian lceablegraphs.

Theory 1.2.5: If G is a strongly Hamiltonian lceable graph of order n; Then $h_{D}(G)=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$
Evidence: If G is a strongly Hamiltonian laceablegraph of order n . Then G is a bipartite graph with equal partitions $V_{1}=\left\{v_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{u_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\right\}$ and, $D\left(v_{i} v_{j}\right)=D\left(u_{i}, u_{j}\right)=2 n-$ 2 and $D\left(v_{i} ; u_{j}\right)=2 n-1$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

The sets $S_{1}=V_{1}$ and $S_{2}=V_{2}$ are maximum detour homometric since $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset, S_{1} \cup S_{2}=$ $V(G)$ and $D\left(V_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(V_{2}\right)$ are multisets with the same convolution profile with $\Pi(2 n-2)=n 2$ : hence $h_{D}(G)=\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)$.

Although the turn homeometric number of a complete bipartite graph has been determined, the determination of the turn homeometric number of a complete tripartite graph is an open problem.

## CONCLUSION:

A newly introduced graph parameter cycle homeometric number $h_{D}(G)$ is tested for the class of graphs with at least one cycle. It is open to investigate the homeometric number of turns of many other graph classes. Determining the homometric number of turns of derivative graphs like complements, line graphs, aggregate graphs etc. also seem promising for future investigation.
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