



## SEED STORAGE PROTEIN QUANTIFICATION BY BRADFORD'S METHOD IN WILD CHICKPEA AND ITS INDUCED MUTANTS

Dr. Girish Charandas Kamble<sup>1</sup> and Dr. H. J. Petkar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Botany, SRRL Science College, Morshi (Dist-Amravati) Maharashtra, India.

<sup>2</sup>Centre For Information And Language Engineering, Mahatma Gandhi Antarrashtriya Hindi Vishwavidyalaya, Wardha, India.

### ABSTRACT

The chickpea is known as one of important worldwide crops. it is one of the chief sources of protein and protein content extending from 20% in pea to 40% lupine. The protocol of Mutagenesis is extensively used to mute the quantitative and qualitative seed protein profile. A legume seed protein is considered to be associated with enhancement of the nutritional quality as structure, texture, flavour and colour. The present study has been executed to assess and evaluate the mutagenic effect of the physical and chemical mutagens on seed storage protein content in  $M_2$  generation.

**KEYWORDS:** Wild chickpea, Bradford Assay, Sodium Azide, Induced Mutants.

### INTRODUCTION

Legume seed proteins constitute water-soluble albumin and salt soluble globulins and their proportion can be altered under the impact of mutated genes which result into the improvement of nutritional value (Amirshahi and Tavakoli, 1970). Legume seed proteins improve the qualitative nutritional aspect such as the structure, texture, flavour and colour to food products. Inter and intra specific variation in seed protein have been reported in wheat, barley and their wild relatives (Masood *et al.*, 1994). The various doses and concentration in physical and chemical mutagens has been reported to cause the morphological variation and seed storage protein in *Phaseolus vulgaris* (Belele *et al.*, 2001), variation in cowpea (Odeigah *et al.*, 1998). *Cicer reticulatum* is annual wild species of the cultigens and the wild progenitor of cultivated chickpea (Ahmad and Slinkard, 1992). The many chemical and physical mutagenic agents are applied in the mutation breeding process.

### MATERIAL AND METHOD

The wild germplasm of chickpea *Cicer reticulatum* for present study was procured from the ICRISAT, Patancheru, India. The physical and chemical mutagenic treatment was given to the seeds independently and in combination. Sodium azide was used for the chemical mutagenic treatment while x ray for physical mutagenic treatment in the present study. The seeds treated separately with different concentration of Sodium Azide as 0.1%, 0.2%, and 0.3% were encoded as  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ , treatments respectively. The another set of seeds first treated with various concentration of SA, followed by different doses of x rays viz. 0.1% SA +5KR, 0.2% SA +10KR, 0.3% SA +15KR as combined treatment encoded as  $T_5$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$  respectively. The seeds of 3<sup>rd</sup> set were treated with various doses of x radiation viz. 5KR, 10KR, 15KR and encoded as  $T_8$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  treatments respectively whereas the untreated normal seed formed as control treatment  $T_1$ . The treated



seeds were sown to raise M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>1</sub> seeds yield were sown to raise M<sub>2</sub> generation to derive M<sub>2</sub> seed yield for the present study of protein estimation. The test seeds of T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub>, T<sub>4</sub>, T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> of M<sub>2</sub> generation were used for the protein quantification in M<sub>2</sub> generation.

The seed flour of test seeds of M<sub>2</sub> generation was formed thereafter 25 mg of seed powder was mixed with 1ml of Protein Extraction Buffer (0.05 M Tris -H CL , 0.2 % SDS , 5 M Urea and 1% β - Mercaptoethanol with pH-6.8-7.00) followed by centrifugation at 15000×g rpm for 7 Minutes at 4°C for seed storage protein extraction . The Supernatant thus collected was stored in the refrigerator for protein estimation by dye-binding method (Bradford, 1976). The estimation of soluble protein was performed with Red dye Coomassie Brilliant Blue G-250, which turned blue when added to the protein. The Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) was used as standard protein in the present study. The fresh reagent was prepared at the every time of use during experimentation. The Protein reagent (0.01%) was used in the present study to assess the seed protein content of mutant and control by using Bradford assay (1976) against BSA as standard. The 10µl (0.1ml) protein extract in PEB aliquot was taken and adjusted volume 1ml with phosphate saline buffer (PSB) followed by each test seed sample assayed with 5ml of CBB G-250. The protein quantification in unknown sample was assessed for all the treatments using standard protein curve of BSA Bovine Serum albumen following Bradford (1976) dye-binding method (Prasad *et al.*, 1986). Each sample was taken in triplicate and absorbance was measured as an optical density. The protein estimation by Bradford assay for all the treatments of M<sub>2</sub> generation has been represented in Table 1.

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The seed storage protein content for all the treatment as compared to the control was found to be increased by Bradford assay and represented in the **Table 1**. The higher seed protein content was observed in T<sub>2</sub> treatment as 30 µg . The enhancement of protein content has been reported in *Phaseolus* treated with the mutagens (Prasad *et al.*, 1986); in *Cicer arietinum* treated with different concentration of sodium azide (SA), ethyl methane sulphonate (EMS) and gamma radiation (GR) in M<sub>3</sub> generation (Barshile and Apparao, 2009); in *Cicer reticulatum* treated with EMS and gamma radiation independently and in combination in M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>2</sub> generation (Kamble *et al.*, 2015 a, b) . The highest increase in protein content have been reported in 5KR and 10KR in two different *Phaseolus* variety and this induction of high protein mutant may be assigned to the micromutation with positive effects (Prasad *et al.*, 1986). Tallberg (1981) confirmed that the change of protein composition is due to mutated genes. The proteins are the direct gene products therefore mutation in gene(s) might be reflected in the polypeptides (Prasad *et al.*, 1986). Gamma ray induced protein mutants reported in *Cicer* (Sheikh *et al.*, 1978). The treatment with EMS, gamma rays and Sodium Azide in *Vigna* has been reported high protein mutant (Tahir Nadeem *et al.*, 1978). The 21-34.95% high protein has been reported in M<sub>5</sub> generation of *Vicia* treated with gamma rays (Abo-hegazi, 1979). The similar result relative to enhancement of seed protein in the induced mutant treated with chemical and physical mutagen independently and in combination has been observed in the present study.

## CONCLUSION

The seed storage protein profile of the induced mutants in M<sub>2</sub> generation represented variation as compared to the untreated control in the present quantitative study. The chemical and physical mutagens have potential to bring about mutation in the chickpea. The quantitative variation was observed between control and its induced mutants. The induced mutants may be harnessed in the improvement breeding programme.

## REFERENCES

[1] Abo-Hegazi, A. M. 1979, High protein lines in field bean *Vicia faba* from a breeding programme using γ-rays. *Seed protein improvement in cereals and Grain Legumes II*. I.A.E.A. Vienna, pp 33-36.

- [2] Ahmad, F. and Slinkard, A. E. 1992, Genetic relationships in the genus *Cicer* L., as revealed by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis of seed storage proteins. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 84, pp 688-692.
- [3] Amrishahi, M. C. and Tavakoli, M. 1970, Protein content of different varieties of five species of pulses. Improving plant protein by nuclear Techniques (*Proc. Symp. Vinna, 1970*) I.A.E.A. Vienna, pp 331-333.
- [4] Barshile, J. D. and Apparao, B. J. 2009, Genetic Improvement of Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Using Induced Mutations. In: Q.Y. Shu (Ed.) *Induced Plant Mutations in the Genomics Era*. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and IAEA, Rome, pp 91-94.
- [5] Belele, C. L., Vieira, G. S., and Goulart, L. R. 2001, Effect of gamma radiation on morphological traits and seed storage protein of bean. *Legume Research Issue*, 45, pp 23.
- [6] Bradford, Marion M. 1976, A Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Quantitation of Microgram Quantities of Protein Utilizing the Principle of Protein-Dye Binding. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 72, pp 248-254.
- [7] Kamble, G. C., Petkar, H. J. and Patil, A. S. 2015, "a" Comparative Study on Protein of M 2 Generation in Wild Chickpea Treated with EMS and Gamma Radiation Independently and in Combination. *International J. of Science and Research*, 4(10), pp 2137-2139.
- [8] Kamble, G. C., Petkar, H. J. and Patil, A. S. 2015, "b" Comparative Protein Quantitation in Wild Chickpea and Its Induced Mutants, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Applied Sciences*, 5(10), pp 71-76.
- [9] Massod, M. S., Oikuno, K. and Anwar, R. 1994, Inter and intra-specific variation in SDS-PAGE electrophorograms of total seed protein in wheat, barley and their wild relatives. In: Genetic resources of Cereals and their utilization in Pakistan (A. A. Jaradat Ed.). *Proceeding of National Seminar 8-10 Feb.* Islamabad, Pakistan, IPGRI, pp 125.
- [10] Odeigah, P. G. C. and Osanyinpeju, A. O. 1998, Induced mutation in cowpea *Vigna unguiculata*. *J. Genet breeding*, 51, pp 126-132.
- [11] Prasad, A. B., Verma, N. P., Jha, H. M. 1986, Seed protein content and protein pattern in gamma ray induced *Phaseolus* mutant. In: *Mutagenesis Basics and Applied* Ed. A. B. Prasad, Print House (India), Lucknow, pp 158-184.
- [12] Sheikh, M. A. U., Kaul, A. K., Mia, M., Chaudhary, M. H. and Bhuiya, A. D. 1978, Screening for natural variants and induced mutants in some legumes for protein content and yielding potentials Seed Protein Improvement by Nuclear Technique (*Proc. Res. Coord. Meeting, Baden and Vienna, 1977*) IAEA, Vienna, pp 223-233.
- [13] Tahir Nadeen M., Abdul Shakoar, Abid Ali and Sadiq, M. 1978, Seed protein improvement in wheat and pulses through induced mutation, Seed Protein Improvement by Nuclear Technique (*Proc. Res. Coord. Meeting Baden and Vienna, 1977*). IAEA, Vienna, pp 59-68.
- [14] Tallberg, A. 1981, Protein and lysine content in high lysine double-recessive of barley I. Combination between mutant 1508 and Hiproly backcross. *Hereditas*, 94, pp 253-260.

**Table 1: Protein Quantification in M<sub>2</sub> Generation of *Cicer reticulatum* L. and its mutants (Bradford's Assay).**

Sr. No	Treatment	Protein Sample Extract (in PEB)	Phosphate Saline Buffer (PSB pH=7.00)	Protein Reagent	Optical Density at 595 nm	Quantity of Protein µg/250µg Seed flour	Mg/100 mg w/w
1	T <sub>1</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1430	15 µg	6.00
2	T <sub>2</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2934	30 µg	11.9
3	T <sub>3</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2348	26 µg	10.2
4	T <sub>4</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2045	21 µg	8.6
5	T <sub>5</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1907	19 µg	7.9
6	T <sub>6</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2045	21 µg	8.6
7	T <sub>7</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1854	18 µg	7.5
8	T <sub>8</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.2833	27 µg	11.4
9	T <sub>9</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1436	16 µg	6.4
10	T <sub>10</sub>	10µl	90µl	5ml	0.1436	16 µg	6.4