REVIEW OF RESEARCH





ISSN: 2249-894X IMPACT FACTOR : 5.7631 (UIF) UGC APPROVED JOURNAL NO. 48514 VOLUME - 8 | ISSUE - 8 | MAY - 2019



STUDY ON ZOOPLANKTON DIVERSITY OF RISHI LAKE, KARANJA (LAD), DIST. WASHIM, MAHARASHTRA, INDIA

Ingole P. A. and R. A. Gulhane

S. S. S. K. R. Innani Mahavidyalaya, Karanja (Lad), Dist: Washim.

ABSTRACT:

The study was conducted during February 2014 to January 2016 to analyse the zooplankton status of Rishi lake of Karanja (Lad), Dist. Washim, Maharashtra, India. During the period of investigation, fifty one species belonging to five groups were identified. The maximum number of individuals was observed during winter and lower by summer and monsoon. The Rotifers were obtained in maximum quantity while Cladocera and Copepoda showed the moderate population. The present investigation suggested the potential

nutrient content in Rishi lake of Karanja (Lad), Dist. Washim, Maharashtra, India.

KEYWORDS: Zooplankton, Rishi lake, Karanja (Lad), Washim, Maharashtra,

INTRODUCTION:

Among entire aquatic biota, the zooplanktons are one of the important biological indiactor that represent the health of water body. Zooplankton is small creatures suspended in the water section Pawar (2016). Like phytoplankton, these species have created components that shield them from sinking to more profound waters, including drag-initiating bodv structures and the dynamic flicking of limbs, for example, radio wires or spines. Staying in the water segment may have its preferences as far as sustaining, yet this current zone's absence of refugia leaves zooplankton powerless against predation Taruni and Manoj

(2017). Accordingly, a few species, particularly Daphnia sp., make everv dav vertical relocations in the water segment by latently sinking to the darker lower profundities during the day and effectively moving towards the surface during the night Sivalingam (2018). Likewise, on the grounds that conditions in a lentic framework can be very factor crosswise over seasons, zooplankton can change from laying standard eggs to resting eggs when there is an absence of nourishment. temperatures fall beneath 2 °C, or if predator plenitude is high. These resting eggs have a diapause, or torpidity period that ought to permit the Narasimman et al. (2018). In this concern, the study was conducted during February 2014 to January 2016 to observed the zooplankton status of Rishi lake

of Karanja (Lad), Dist. Washim, Maharashtra, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Rishi lake is located between 77° 29' E and 20° 29' N at 1318 feet above mean sea level. During monsoon reservoir gets enough water but in post monsoon period particularly March and April water level is very much reduced. The reservoir is surrounded by red laterite soil and black cotton soil. The inland reservoir is fed by seasonal drainage to its periphery and streams nearby local and springs.Water samples were collected from the dam early in the morning from 07.00 a.m. to 08.30 a.m. (APHA, 1998) in the first week of each season for two vears from Monsoon 2016 to Summer 2018. Each of the 1 lit samples collected was centrifuged to concentrate the

plankton organisms. These samples were made up 100 ml after removing the surface water in the centrifuge tube. Phytoplankton was studied for qualitative details. Observations were made using a microscope with 40x magnification (Trivedy and Goel 1986).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

During the period of investigation, fifty one species belonging to five groups were identified. The maximum number of individuals was observed during winter and lower by summer and monsoon. The Rotifers were obtained in maximum quantity while Cladocera and Copepoda showed the moderate population. The Rishi lake represented rich zooplankton diversity. Biodiversity for the most part alludes to the assortment and inconstancy of life on Earth. Biodiversity normally quantifies variety at the hereditary, the species, and the environment level. Earthly biodiversity will in general be more prominent close to the equator, which is by all accounts the consequence of the warm atmosphere and high essential profitability. Biodiversity isn't uniformly dispersed; rather it shifts extraordinarily over the globe just as inside locales. Among different variables, the decent variety of every single living being relies upon temperature, precipitation, elevation, soils, geology and the nearness of different species (Arora and Mehara, 2009).

Table 1: Zooplankton groups with their respective genera composition	
A] Rotifera	B] Cladocera
1. Ascomoypha saltans	1. Bosmina longirostris
2. Asplanchna prodota	2. Ceriodaphnia laticaudata
3. Brachionus bidentata	3. Chydorus spharicus
4. Brachionus calyciflorus	4. Dadaya sp.
5. Brachionus caudata	5. Daphnia laevis
6. Brachionus plicatilis	6. Leydigia acanthocercoides
7. Cephallodella forficulla	7. Macrothrix sp.
8. Colurella obtusa	8. Moina brachiata
9. Conochilus unifornis	9. Moinodaphnia macleayli
10. Epiphanes senata	
11. Euchlanis sp.	C] Ostracoda
12. Filinia longiseta	1. Condona ohioensis
13. Gastropus minor	2. Cyclocypris sp.
14. Gastropus stylifer	3. Cyprinotus glaucus
15. Harringia rousseleti	4. Cypris subglobosa
16. Hexarthra mira	5. Stenocypris sp.
17. Horella brahmi	
18. Keratella coachlearis	D] Copepoda
19. Keratella hiemalis	1. Cyclops sp.
20. Keratella quadrata	2. Diaptomus edax
21. Keratella tropica	3. Diaptomus marshianus
22. Keratella vulga	4. Eucyclops agilis
23. Lacane luna	5. Nauplii sp.
24. Lepadella ovalis	6. Senecel calanoides
25. Limnias melicerata	
26. Monommata grandia	
27. Monostyla lunais	
28. Monostyla mucronata	
29. Notholca acuminate	
30. Philodina roseola	
31. Trichocerca sp	

Among these, the aquatic biodiversity can be defined as the variety of life and the ecosystems that make up the freshwater, tidal, and marine regions of the world and their interactions. Aquatic biodiversity encompasses freshwater ecosystems, including lakes, ponds, reservoirs, rivers, streams, groundwater, and wetlands. As a important part of this, Zooplanktons are very diverse organisms and can be categorised in many ways (Kedar *et al.*, 2008)



Figure 2: Quantitative analysis of zooplankton (org/L) at Rishi lake



According to Rajashekhar et al (2010), the zooplankton is a categorization spanning a range of organism sizes including small protozoans and large metazoans. It includes holoplanktonic organisms whose complete life cycle lies within the plankton, as well as meroplanktonic organisms that spend part of their lives in the plankton before graduating to either the nekton or a sessile, benthic existence. Although zooplankton are primarily transported by ambient water currents, many have locomotion, used to avoid predators or to increase prey encounter rate. During the period of investigation, species belonging to four groups namely Rotifera, Cladocera, Ostracoda and Copepoda were identified. The maximum number of individuals was observed during winter and lower by summer and monsoon. The Rotifers were obtained in maximum quantity while Cladocera, Copepoda and Ostracoda showed the moderate population.

The observed species composition was found to be in well agreement with many of previous studies that mainly deals with zooplankton diversity of lake ecosystem. These recent studies mainly included Sadashivappa *et al* (2011), Patra *et al* (2011), Bhoopendra *et al* (2012), Shukla and. Hassan

(2013), Dutta (2014), Nair *et al.* (2015), Anand *et al* (2016), Kadam (2016), Sivalingam *et al.* (2016), Krishna and Kumar (2017), Narasimman *et al.* (2018), Sivalingam (2018), Manickam *et al.* (2015) and name a few.

CONCLUSION

In brief concluding the present study, fifty one the species belonging to four groups namely Rotifera, Cladocera, Ostracoda and Copepoda were identified. The maximum number of individuals was observed during winter and lower by summer and monsoon. The Rotifers were obtained in maximum quantity while Cladocera, Copepoda and Ostracoda showed the moderate population. The present investigation suggested the potential nutrient content in Rishi lake of Karanja (Lad), Dist. Washim, Maharashtra, India.

REFERENCES

- Anand YA, Linz BG and HN Highland (2016). Study of Physico-Chemical Parameters Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. International Research Journal of Environment Sciences 5(7): 1(6).
- APHA (1998). Standard methods for examinations of water and waste water, 20th Editions Washington, DC. 169 pp
- Arora J and. K. Mehra (2009). Seasonal dynamics of zooplankton in a shallow eutrophic, man-made hyposaline lake in Delhi (India): role of environmental factors. Hydrobiologia 6(26):27–40
- Bhoopendra K, Vipul S, Kuldeep G and M Sharma (2012). Studies on Phosphate in Reference to Zooplankton: a Short Review. Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences, 1(5): 71-81.
- Dutta S (2014). Monthly variations in physico-chemical characteristics of water, MPN index and zooplankton of Devak stream, at Shiv temple complex, Udhampur, Jammu, India. Journal of Applied and Natural Science 6 (2): 816 824
- Kadam SS (2016). Zooplankton Diversity of Bhogaon Reservoir in Parbhani District Maharashtra, India. International Journal of Research & Review 3(6):52-60
- Kedar G, Patil G and S Yeole (2008). Effects on physico chemical factors on seasonal abundance of Zooplanktons in Rishi lake. The World Lake Conference 08:88-91
- Krishna P and H Kumar (2017). Seasonal Variations of Zooplankton Community in Selected Ponds at Lake Kolleru Region of Andhra Pradesh, India. Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci. 6(8): 2962-2970
- Manickam N, Saravana Bhavan P and P Santhanam (2014). Seasonal Variations of Zooplankton Diversity in a Perennial Reservoir at Thoppaiyar, Dharmapuri District, South India. Austin J Aquac Mar Biol – 1(1): 1-7.
- Nair MS, Reshma JK, Anu M and A Ashok (2015). Effect of Water Quality on Phytoplankton Abundance in Selected Ponds of Nedumangad Block anchayat, Kerala. Emer Life Sci Res 1(2): 35-40.
- Narasimman M, Periyakali S and P Santhanam (2018). Impact of seasonal changes in zooplankton biodiversity in Ukkadam Lake, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India, and potential future implications of climate change. The Journal of Basic and Applied Zoology 79 (15): 1-10.
- Patra A, Kalyan B and C Manna (2011). Ecology and diversity of zooplankton in relation to physicochemical characteristics of water of Santragachi Jheel, West Bengal, India. J Wet Eco 11 (5): 20-39.
- Pawar R.T (2016). Zooplankton diversity and seasonal variation of Majalgaon Reservoir, Maharashtra State, India. International Journal Of Environmental Sciences, 6(5): 718-725.
- Rajashekhar M, Vijaykumar K and Z Paerveen (2010). Seasonal variations of zooplankton community in freshwater reservoir Gulbarga District, Karnataka, South India. International Journal of Systems Biology, 2 (1):6-11.

Reynolds (1980).

Sadashivappa S, Siddalingappa T and B Hiresagarhalli (2011). Zooplankton Diversity and its Relationship with Physico- Chemical Parameters in Kundavada Lake, of Davangere District, Karnataka, India. ProEnvironment 4 (11): 56 - 59

- Shukla SK and N Hassan (2013). Water Quality Assessment Of Gorama Dam Of Hanumana Rewa (M.P.) India, With Special Reference To Zooplankton. International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology, 2(6): 2362-2363
- Sivalingam P (2018). Physico-Chemical Parameters and Plankton Diversity of Manchiryal Town Lake Adilabad District, Andra Pradesh, India. Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresearch. 1(2):1-3
- Sivalingam P Swamy M and T Reddy. (2016). Zooplankton Composition Correlation With Physico Chemical Parameters Bangal Lake, Nirmal, Adilabad District Telangana state. World Journal Of Pharmacy And Pharmaceutical Sciences, 5(5): 897-904
- Taruni S and K. Manoj (2017). A Preliminary Study on Physico-Chemical Parameters and Zooplankton Diversity of Tapi River at Utran, Surat, Gujarat. International Journal For Innovative Research In Multidisciplinary Field, 3(8): 179-184
- Trivedi RK and PK Goel (1986).Chemical and biological methods for water pollution studies environmental Publications, Karad. 129 pp.